

GOVT. COLLEGE, LIBRARY

KOTA (Raj.)
Students can retain library books only for two

weeks at the m	ost	
BORROWER S No	DUE DTATE	SIGNATURE

INTERNATIONAL RAIL TRANSPORT

The Royal Institute of International Affairs is an unofficial and non political body, founded in 1920 to encourage and facilitate the scientific study of international questions

international questions
The Institute, as such, is precluded by its Royal
Charter from expressing an opinion on any aspect
of international affairs Opinions expressed in
this book are, therefore, purely individual

INTERNATIONAL RAIL TRANSPORT

Бу

SIR RALPH L WEDGWOOD

CB CMG

assisted by

J E WHEELER

Issued under the auspices of the Royal Institute of International Affa rs

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
LONDON NEW YORK TORONTO

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS AMEN HOUSE, E C 4

LONDON EDINBURGH GLASGOW NEW YORK TORONTO MELBOURNE CAPE TOWN BOMBAY CALCUITA MADRAS

GEOFFREY CUMBERLEGE PUBLISHER TO THE UNIVERSITY

CONTENTS

CHAP								PAGE
	PREFACE					•	•	1X
	GENERAL	INTRODUC	TION					X
	Abbrevia	TIONS						XII
1.	EUROPE:	ORGANIZA	MITION	BEFORE	THE F	irst Wo	RLD	
	WAR							1
	INTROD	UCTORY						1
	INTER-C	OVERNMEN	TAL O	RGANIZA	riovs			2
	INTER-A	DMINISTRA	TIONAL	ORGAN	ZATIO	vs .		5
	SUMMAR	Y.						7
π	. Europe :	ORGANIZ	MOTTA	AFTER	тите Р	trst Wo	RLD	
	WAR							8
	'INTROL	UCTORY						8
	PRINCI	AL INTER	NATION	AL ORG	ANIZAT	IONS CO	1-	
	CERN	ED WITH I	EUROPE	AN RAIL	TRANS	PORT	٠	9
	LEAGUE	OF NATIO	NS TRA	NSIT OR	GANIZA	TION		10
	UNION	INTERNATI	ONALE	DES CHE	MINS I	DE FER		11
	VEREIN	DEUTSCHE	R EISE	NBAHN-V	ERWAL	TUNGEN		13
	UNITÉ	TECHNIQUE						15
	INTERN	ATIONAL E	XCHAN	GE OF R	OLLING	STOCK		17
	INTERN	ATIONAL T	IME-TA	BLES				20
п	. Europe:	Berne U	NION					23
	INTROI	UCTORY						23
	CENTR	AL OFFICE						23
	COMITÉ	INTERNAT	IONAL I	DES TRAN	SPORTS	PAR CHE	ains	-
	DE E	ER .	~	~		~	~	24
		CONVENTI	ON FO	R THE	RANSP	ORT OF 1	IER-	
		NDISE			•	•	٠	25
		CONVENTA		THE TR	ANSPOR	T OF PAS	EN-	
		S AND BAG		•	•	٠	•	2 9
	GREAT	BRITAIN A	ND TH	E BERNE	CONVI	ENTIONS	•	31

CONTENTS V2

CHAP		PAGE
IV	EUROPE MISCELLANEOUS	33
	COMBINED TRANSPORT	33
	UNIFICATION OF NATIONAL LAWS	33
	UNIFICATION OF STATISTICS	34
	INTER RAILWAY ACCOUNTING	34
	SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES .	35
	SANITARY CONTROL	35
	LABOUR	35
	OTHER INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	36
v	EUROPE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS	39
	DEVELOPMENT OF RAILWAYS AND RAIL TRAFFIC	39
	THE RAILWAYS IN MODERN TRANSPORT	49
	STANDARDIZATION OF GOODS TARLEFS	54
	SOME ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF TARIFF POLICIES	56
	GERMAN TARIFF POLICY	57
	SPECIAL CASES OF COMPETITIVE TARIFFS	59
	SOME EFFECTS OF RAIL TARIFF POLICY ON INLAND WATER TRANSPORT	63
	TARIFF UNIONS .	64
	PASSENGER TARIFF POLICIES	66
ΔI	Europe Problems Arising out of the First World War	68
	RAILWAYS IN THE SETTLEMENT OF FRONTIERS	68
	THE RESTORATION OF THROUGH SERVICES AND TARIFFS AFTER THE FIRST WORLD WAR	69
	THE GERMAN RAILWAYS IN THE PEACE SETTLEMENT	74
	REORGANIZATION OF THE AUSTRO HUNGARIAN SYSTEM	77
	GREEK RAILWAYS	87
VI	Africa	90
	INTRODUCTION	90
	INTERNATIONAL MACHINERY	90
	TECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION	91
	DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS	91

CONT	ENTS

VЦ

CI AP	PAGE
OUTLETS FOR THE KATANGA MINERAL REGION	93
INTER TERRITORIAL ARRANGEMENTS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN RAILWAYS	93
SOUTH AFRICA-MOZAMBIQUE	94
RHODESIA-MOZAMBIQUE-NYASALAND	94
ABYSSINIA	96
VIII THE MIDDLE EAST	97
GENERAL	97
RAILWAYS IN TURKEY	97
BAGHDAD RAYLWAY	98
HEJAZ RAILWAY	99
DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS	100
IX THE FAR EAST	101
CHINA	101
MANCHURIA	102
THE CHINA-BURMA CONNECTION	106
X AMERICA	107
PAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENTS	107
NORTH AMERICA .	107
INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION	107
STRUCTURE OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF RAILROADS	108
TECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION .	113
INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE OF ROLLING-STOCK	114
OTHER INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	114
TRANS BORDER RAILWAYS	115
TARIFFS AND CLASSIFICATION	115
SOUTH AMERICA	117
GENERAL DEVELOPMENTS	117
OUTLETS FOR BOLIVIA AND PARAGUAY	117
INTERNATIONAL TECHNICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE	
ARRANGEMENTS .	120
ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL QUESTIONS AFFECTING RAILWAY OPERATION .	120

ш		CONT	ENTS .				
YX IX	GENERAL INTERN	ATIONAL R	EGIME \				I2
XII.	THE FUTURE OF	INTERNATI	ONAL R	ail Tr	ANSPORT		12
	ORGANIZATIONS	OF WORLD	-WIDE (HARACT	ER		12
	REGIONAL ORGA	ANIZATIONS					12
	REGIONAL OF	GANIZATIO	N IN EU	ROPE			12
	TASKS AND ORGANIZAT		OF EU	OPEAN	REGION	AL.	13
	TRANSPORT CO	NSIDERATIO	NS AND	POLITIC	AL BOU	N-	
	DARIES .	•					140
	Note on Source	ıs .					14.
	INDEX .						15

PREFACE

IN September 1940 Chatham House entrusted to Sir Osborne Mance the preparation of a study of International Transport and Communications It was later decided to issue the work in separate sections, four of which, International Telecommunications, International Air Transport, International River and Canal Transport and International Sea Transport, have already been published. At Sir Osborne Mance's suggestion I undertook the preparation of the present wolume. It was prepared in the summer of 1943 and embodies such conclusions as it was found possible to suggest at that date. Unfortunately, publication has been delayed, but at a later stage, when the series has been completed, it is hoped to summarize in a final volume the result of the work as a whole with such amended and additional conclusions as may appear to be justified when post war international relations have taken on a more settled aspect.

While the responsibility for the opinions expressed in the present volume is entirely my own, I have been fortunate in obtaining the assistance of a number of experts, who have spared no trouble to ensure the accuracy of the facts and to whom I am indebted for many valuable suggestions. I am also indebted to the Foreign Office, the Ministry of War Transport, the Railway Research Service and other organizations for the facilities for research which have been placed at my disposal and for permission to use information which would not otherwise have been available.

Finally, it would have been quite impossible for me to have undertaken the study without the invaluable assistance of Mr J E Wheeler, who has had the difficult task of collecting and checking the information from many sources, and even since his return to the International Labour Office has contributed many valuable suggestions. Since his departure the work of collecting supplementary information and of checking the final manuscript has rested in the able hands of Dr. Paul Christopherson.

R L WEDGWOOD

April 1946

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

THE organization of railways has always been national in its inception, international organization has been a plant of slow growth and has taken different shapes in different regions of the world In Europe, with its numerous State-owned railways, it has taken the form of agreements or, in fact, treaties between national Governments, as well as of agreements between railway Administrations, and the distinction is one which must be kept constantly in mind if we are to form a true impression of the organization which has been evolved In North America, where most of the railways are privately owned and national differences are less pronounced, a simpler and far more comprehensive organization has been built up, based wholly on voluntary agreements between Administrations Whatever may be the model to be followed, it is evident that there is room and need for a further and substantial expansion of inter national organization, if railways are to play the part in world development which they are capable of playing

All forms of transport will have their share in that development, and the future will no doubt have many changes in store. Air transport will cater increasingly for high class passenger and freight traffic over long distances and long distance services, whether by rail or sea, will reflect the changed conditions. On the other hand, it may be doubted if the growth of road transport will exercise any outstanding influence on the contribution which railways will be in a position to make to international transport as a whole It is evident that there is much to be gained from a well considered co-ordination of all forms of transport, and that some advance can and should be made in this direction. At the same time, a complete scheme of co-ordination is still, and is likely for some time to remain, outside practical politics.

If we turn our eyes from such a picture of general co ordination to the co-ordination of the railways themselves as a single form of transport, we shall be struck with the perfection of that co-ordination in certain spheres, such for instance as the formulation of international time-tables, and at the same time with the vast field which is still left open for further co-ordination and thereby for further improvement in the international usefulness of the rail transport service

There are only two international bodies designed to deal with railway questions in every part of the world—the League of Nations
Transit Organization, a governmental body, and the International Railway Congress Association a governmental and administrational

body of a purely deliberative character, occupied solely in investigation and periodical discussion. There is nothing which corresponds with the Universal Postal Union, the International Commission for Air Navigation or the International Telecommunication Union This state of affairs reflects the national or, at most, regional

Air Navigation or the International Telecommunication Union This state of affairs reflects the national or, at most, regional character of railway development

Many bodies of world wide scope are concerned in some of their activities with railway transport, the International Labour Office, for instance, or the International Chamber of Commerce, and it seems reasonable to suppose that the development of world intercourse will bring increasing need for some general international organization more fully representative of railway transport, whether on its governmental or administrational side, as a service of world wide significance

ABBREVIATIONS

AAR	Association of American Railroads
AE	Archiv fur Eisenbahnwesen
BCC	Bureau central de compensation
BIC	Bureau international des containers
BFSP	British Foreign and State Papers (see Note on Sources)
BUIC	Bullenn de l'Union internationale des chemins de fer
CCMR	Comite de circulation du materiel roulant dans l'Europe centrale
CEI	Commission électrotechnique internationale
CIW	Convention internationale concernant le transport des marchandises par chemins de fer
CIT	Comité international des transports par chemins de fet
CIV	Convention internationale concernant le transport des voyageurs et des bagages par chemins de fer
Cmd	Command Papers published by H M Stationery Office
DC	Dispositions complémentaires
GREM	Premier groupement regional des chemins de fer européens membres de l U I C
IATA	International Air Traffic Association
LNTS	League of Nations Treaty Series (see Note on Sources)
MER	Matteleuropäisches Reiseburo
RIC	Regolamento internazionale carozze, Union internationale des voitures et fourgons
RIV	Regolamento internazionale veicoli. Union internationale des wagons
UIB.C	Union internationale pour l'émission de billets à coupons combinés
UIC	Union internationale des chemins de fer
VDEV	Verein deutscher Eisenbahn Verwaltungen
VMEV VTW	Verein mitteleuropäischer Eisenbahn Verwaltungen Verkehrstechnische Woche
ZVDEV	Zeitung des Vereins deutscher Eisenbahn Verwaltungen
ZVMEV	Zeitung des Vereins mitteleuropäischer Eisenbahn Verwaltungen

ORGANIZATION BEFORE THE T EUROPE FIRST WORLD WAR

INTRODUCTORY

BEFORE the war of 1914-18 passenger travel and freight carriage in Europe had reached an advanced stage of development, both in volume and in freedom, with the important exception of certain national and international waterways, the railways had an almost complete monopoly in meeting inland requirements. International road transport was confined to a small volume of pleasure travel conducted under considerable difficulties

The development of rail traffic was not achieved without a high degree of international co-operation between the railway Admini strations themselves and between the Governments concerned There were many special agreements between individual Admini strations in regard to particular facilities-through services, exchange accommodation, etc -and some of these go back to very early days in the development of the railway system of Europe

Nevertheless, it was soon felt that something more was required It became clear that, if international traffic was to develop freely, there must be some nucleus of international agreement upon physical standards, and at the same time some basis of agreed legislation defining the obligations of the railways to one another and to the public This was evidently beyond the scope of individual Admini strations. Governments alone could take the action required Considerations such as these gave the impulse towards the establish-

ment of international understandings

That impulse followed two main directives, both originating primarily from the initiative of the Swiss Government First in priority came the effort to establish uniform commercial conditions for international transport, second the endeavour to standardize physical factors in the exchange and through conveyance of rolling stock It was the second line of action which first achieved success. the Unite Technique, founded in 1882, is, as its full name proclaims, an International Conference for promoting Technical Uniformity on Railways The realization of uniformity in commercial conditions of international transport took longer to accomplish, it was attained in the Berne Convention of 1890 and the foundation in 1893 of the Central Office for International Railway Transport Both these organizations had their seat at Berne, and both of them directed their activities to the maintenance and development of inter governmental agreements, binding, in respect of international traffic,

on the railway Administrations in all the countries parties to the agreements

It is proper to give such inter governmental agreements priority of place in describing the international organization of rail transport as it existed before 1914 But it is well to bear in mind that historical priority has to be conceded to the arrangements made between individual railway Administrations, whether inside the boundaries of one State or passing outside such boundaries and becoming truly international in character. Such arrangements or agreements are here spoken of as inter administrational, to distinguish them from inter governmental agreements, which have the force of public

These inter administrational agreements remained to a large extent regional in character The most notable of the organizations formed under such agreements, the Verein Deutscher Eisenbahn-Verwaltungen (VDEV-Union of German Railway Administrations) began in 1846 as a Union of Prussian Railway Administrations only, it was extended in the following year to comprise other German railway managements, but even in its latest phase before the first world war, when it included 92 railways, it covered only Germany, Austria Hungary and a few of the neighbouring countries (see p 5) Nevertheless, it played an important part in the organization of international rail transport

It was inevitable that there should be some overlapping between

the regulations adopted by such regional bodies as the V D E V and the regulations based on the Berne Convention The development of these two independent lines of action, beginning, so to speak, at opposite ends of the scale, clearly carried with it great possibilities either for conflict or co-operation. It is remarkable that so little conflict arose, and that so notable a measure of co-operation was, in fact, achieved.

It is desirable, at this stage, to trace in its main outlines the progress made up to the outbreak of the first world war, leaving to a later stage (pp 13-30) a full account of the activities of these organiza

tions and their ultimate development

INTER GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

Technical Standardization of Rolling Stock and Permanent Way (Unite Technique)

On the initiative of the Swiss Federal Government a first Technical Standards Conference was held at Berne in 1882. It was attended by representatives of Austria-Hungary, France, Germany, Italy and Switzerland Its Final Protocol, dated 21 October 1882, laid down

the conditions to be fulfilled by rolling stock passing between the countries named, together with provisions relating to gauge, clearance, etc

A second Conference (10 States) was held at Berne in 1886, and a third (16 States) in 1907. The regulations of 1907 were revised in 1911 and 1912. All continental European Governments whose main line railways were of standard gauge joined in the organization, which became known, for short, as the 'Unite Technique'. The countries with broad gauge railways took no part.

The Unite Technique issued books setting out the standard requirements laid down in their regulations, covering gauge, con struction details, maintenance of wagons on foreign lines and loading. The various Governments implemented the decisions reached by the Unite Technique, but this legislation is not (like the Bette Convention referred to later) embodied in international treaters.

The administration was in the hands of the Swiss Federal Council

International Conveyance of Merchandise Traffic (Berne Convention)

The first move to establish an inter governmental understanding on the commercial conditions of rail transport was taken by the Swiss Government in 1874. At the suggestion of two Swiss lawyers, Christ and de Seigneux, the Government invited the Government of the four neighbouring countries to a conference for the unification of the legal provisions governing the carriage of goods by rail. The suggestion was accepted, and the Swiss Government was invited to draft an international convention. A series of inter governmental meetings was held at Berne from 1876 onwards, and the range of the discussions was considerably enlarged. At these meetings the Berne International Convention on the Transport of Merchandise by Rail took shape. It was finally ratified on 14 October 1890, and came into force on 1 January 1893. The participating Governments were those of Austria Hungary, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxemburg, the Netherlands, Russia and Switzerland.

The Convention, known as the CIM (Convention Internationale concernant le Transport des Marchandises par chemins de fei), when ratified, became by legislation part of the law of each ratifying country. It became, therefore, obligatory as regards international traffic on the rational deformance of the rational deforma

On the other hand, there was no obligation upon any Government to enter into the agreement, and it was open to any of the Govern ments who did so to dissent from particular items included in the agreement There was no machinery for bringing compulsion to beat on any individual Government in such a case. It was felt, probably with justice, that the desire to share in international traffic would be sufficient to secure acceptance of uniform standards, since any dissenting State would thereby place itself at a disadvantage in the necessary organization of exchange

The Convention defined the obligations accepted by the participating States, the conditions of the contract entered into, the responsibility of the railways to one another and to the senders, conditions of packing, delivery, etc. It laid down in particular that there was to be no discrimination between one sender and another, and that railway taitfis were to be published and accessible to all.

but it did not prescribe the actual tariffs or charges

It established a Central Office at Berne, under the supervision of the Swiss Federal Council, whose duty it was, among other things, to receive suggestions for modifications of the Convention, to prepare for the periodical meetings of the Conference, to arbitrate on disputes, and to facilitate financial relations between the member Administrations

In order to supplement the provisions of the CIM, a Union of Railway Administrations was established in 1902 which in turn set up a special Committee, known as the CIT (Comité International des Transports par chemins de fer). It was the special function of the CIT to deal with the regulations supplementary to the CIM and to keep them up to date. These regulations, together with those of the CIM itself, formed the Règlement uniforme pour le tran sport international des marchandises par chemins de fer?

International Conveyance of Passenger Traffic

Passenger traffic regulates itself more easily than goods traffic, accordingly the need for an international convention was felt to be less urgent. The difficulties of achieving uniformity were also greater owing to the diversity of national laws and regulations.

The question was first considered by the Berne Conference in 1907. A draft, prepared by the Central Office, was circulated in 1909, considered and amended at an international conference at Berne in 1911, but the war of 1914–18 broke out before it received the formal approval of a diplomatic conference. This approval was in fact only given in 1924 (see p. 26).

Prior to this date there was no general international code for passenger traffic such as is represented for merchandise traffic by the CIM. There were nevertheless numerous individual agree ments, often of a detailed and complicated character, and a large

measure of co-operation between individual Administrations Agreement on time tables was a matter of outstanding importance, and this had been initiated administrationally at an early date

INTER ADMINISTRATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

Verein Deutscher Eisenbahn Verwaltungen (V D E V)

This organization centred in Berlin. It was inaugurated, as has been seen, in 1846 as the Verein Preussischer Eisenbahn Verwaltungen, but changed its name in 1847 to Verein Deutscher Eisenbahn-Verwaltungen, its object was to create uniformity in the regulations for the carriage and exchange of traffic between the Prussian State Railways in the first instance, and later between the Prussian State Railways and the neighbouring German Administrations. It was subsequently extended to include all German speaking States, and later dealt with transport relations, in certain of their aspects, between Austria Hungary, Germany, Luxemburg, the Netherlands and Roumana

The V D E V was a regional organization of railway Administrations It dealt with both technical and transport questions (goods and passenger) When the Berne Convention (C I M) came into force on 1 January 1893, the Verein accepted the terms of the C I M and confined its activities, on this side, to the provisions supple mentary thereto. It continued to deal with all questions affecting international passenger and baggage traffic, and with technical questions.

In 1914 the Vecen covered 113,000 kilometres of line Its managing Administration (since 1884) was the Eisenbahn Direktion, Berlin Through its standing committees, as well as through the preponderant importance of its managing Administration, it exercised a more continuous and more effective control over the activates of its member Administrations than was possible under the more generalized regime which centred upon Berne.

Exchange Unions

The V D E V was only one of many regional organizations set up for joint purposes between the railway Administrations of single States or of States having a community of interests, language, etc. There were exchange unions, in particular, set up as between individual Administrations, whose particular function it was to regulate the use of freight rolling stock in international traffic, and to liquidate the various financial settlements involved thereby. The most notable of these was the International Exchange Union, with its head office at Magdeburg, which was responsible for enforcing

the 'Regulations for the Use of Rolling Stock' between its member Administrations This Union covered the greater part of the Austro Hungarian, Belgian, Dutch, French, German, Luxenburg, Rou manuan and Swiss Railways There were other Unions whose names sufficiently indicate their spheres of activity, such were the Austro-Oriental Union (with offices at Budapest), and the Union between Railways of Central Europe and Italy (with offices at Strasburg and Mardeburg).

The question of the exchange of passenger vehicles in international traffic was also one which called for an early solution. The initiative came from the Prussian and Bavarian Railways, who invited their neighbour Administrations to a conference in 1889. As a result of this action a Conference, their known as the Union Internationale des Voitures et Fourgons, was founded in 1889 to regulate the international use of carriages and vans. Meetings were held in conjunction with the European Time Table Conference.

European Time Table Conference

Another urgent need for the development of international passen ger travel was the establishment of an agreed ume-table for passenger services. The first time table conference took place at Mumch in 1860. The Bavarian Railways called the meeting, in which the rail wavs of Bavaria, Baden, Wuttenburg, Austria and France took part From 1871 onwards these conferences were extended under the auspices of the V D E V and fixed to take place twice a year—for winter and summer time tables. In 1891 they took the title of the European Time Table Conference

At that time it had not been found necessary to establish a Goods Train Time Table Conference, and in fact no such conference was established before the war of 1914–18

Special Agreements

Alongside the exchange unions, there were a multitude of special agreements with regard to through fireight services, especially for perishable metchandize by passenger or goods train. Through services by rail were also linked up by agreements with inland and ocean water services, in a way which was of great benefit for the development of the European export trade to Asia Minor, Africa and South America.

The question of fronter farilities and arcommodation was also the subject of many agreements, inevitably of a detailed and complicated character, in some cases large international stations were erected, providing not only for the proper discharge of customs requirements, but also for the whole business of the exchange of international freight, baggage and passenger traffic. The importance and complexity of those arrangements became only too evident when the question of new frontier stations arose after the war of 1914-18

SUMMARY

Generally speaking, the picture of European rail transport before the war of 1914-18 is one of close and growing co-operation between the various Administrations, based on a general sense of stability, political and financial, and a far sighted desire to co-operate in the development of international trade and travel

On the State level, the regulations of the Unité Technique and the CIM had succeeded in establishing a general international uniformity in a limited field, but with notable omissions. The question of passenger transport still remained to be harmonized on the same lines, and a fully integrated organism had still to be established for the continuous development of co-operation in technical, operating, commercial, statistical and accountancy questions in step with the development of international trading recoursements.

On the level of railway Administrations, a great deal had been accomplished by arrangement between individual Administrations or groups of Administrations, but the VD EV remained by far the most important combination, covering the whole field of international railway conditions within its geographical limits. Whilst accepting the over all regulations of the Unite Technique and the CIM, it exercised through its standing committees, and through the comprehensiveness of its organization generally, a detailed and effective influence on the international transport arrangements of its member. Administrations It remained, however, a regional organization, as it had been from its inception

II EUROPE ORGANIZATION AFTER THE FIRST WORLD WAR

INTRODUCTORY

THE post war postuon presented the railways of Europe with a more difficult setting. It was not merely that they required rehabilitation, repair and re-equipment, or that the new political boundaries paid little regard to the lines of the railway network. The strained and stormy political atmosphere, and the growing feeling of instability made international negotiation, even on technical matters, much more delicate, and at the same time the railways found themselves used to an increasing extent as instruments of State policy, whether for the development of national ports, for the shipment of international traffic or more generally for strengthening the hold of the parent State in particular international fields of production or consumption.

Nevertheless, notable progress was made, and in some ways the railways came closer together than ever before. One factor in this development, at any rate after 1910, was the growing pressure of road competition. It may be said that the railways closed their ranks in the presence of a common enemy, better services were developed both for passengers and goods and new international organizations were set up. Long stretches of line were electrified and improved rolling stock was introduced. The mileage of many national railway systems continued to expand, though there is undoubtedly much room still left for further expansion in Russia, in South Eastern Europe and in Asia Minor.

It may be said, generally, that as a railway unit, Europe was functioning far better than as a political or an economic unit

The pre war international organizations, which during the war had been in a state of suspended animation, slowly came to life once more, and new organizations were established partly with the aim of reviving international transport, partly also in the hope of creating a better co-ordinated and more effective instrument for the future development of international transport relations. Those hopes were not destined to be realized. In fact, the old organizations continued their independent existence and expanded their activities alongside the new Sodies, while the new Sodies complicated the old plan instead of simplifying it

It will be necessary to trace the history of the new organizations, and to follow up the post war development of the older ones, if we desire to have a comprehensive picture of the machinery in existence for the regulation of international rail transport in Europe in the years immediately preceding the outbreak of the present war

It may be well to begin with a list of the organizations to which reference will be made in the pages that follow

PRINCIPAL INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS CONCERNED WITH EUROPEAN RAIL TRANSPORT

Organizations exclusively concerned with railway transfort Inter governmental

League of Nations Transit Organization

Advisory and Technical Committee

Sub-Committee on Rail Transport

International Conference for promoting Technical Uniformity on Railways (Unité Technique) Berne Union

Central Office for International Railway Transport

(Convention Internationale-Marchandises) (Convention Internationale-Voyageurs)

Inter administrational

(a) General

Union International des Chemins de fer (UIC)

Bureau Central de Compensation (B C C)

Comite International des Transports par Chemin de fer (CIT) Union Internationale des Wagons

(Regolamento Internazionale Veicoli-R I V)

Union Internationale des Voitures et Fourgons (Regolamento Internazionale Carozze—R I C)

Union Internationale pour l'Emission de Billets a Coupons Combines (UIBC.)

European Time Table Conference

European Goods Train Time-Table Conference

(b) Regional

UIC-Eastern European Group (GREM)

Verein Deutscher (later Mitteleuropaischer) Eisenbahn Verwal tungen (VDEV VMEV)

Association of Northern Railway Officials

Inter governmental and Inter administrational International Railway Congress Association

Organizations partly concerned with railway transport International Container Bureau (BIC) International Electrotechnical Commission (CEI)

Central Council for International Touring International Chamber of Commerce (I C C)

Organizations co-operating with railway organizations International Telecommunication Union International Air Traffic Association (I A T A)

LEAGUE OF NATIONS TRANSIT ORGANIZATION

The detailed history of the development of international transport organization as a result of the peace of 1919 will be given in the final volume in this series. It is only necessary here to trace that history in outline, as it affects railway transport in particular

The Covenant of the League of Nations forms part of the Treaty of Versailles and of the treaties signed by Germany's ex allies after the first world war Article 24 of the Covenant places under the League 'all international bureaux already established by general treaties, if the parties to such treaties consent', and 'all commissions for the regulation of matters of international interest hereafter constituted.

A Commission of Enquiry on Freedom of Communications and Transit was formed in 1919, and in 1920 the Council of the League invited the Commission to submit proposals for a permanent organization, under the League of Nations to deal with matters of communication and transit

The Council of the League, meeting at Rome in May 1930 authorized the convocation of a Conference on Communications and Transit in 1921 at Barcelona At this Conference a scheme for a permanent Communications and Transit Organization was approved That organization appointed an Advisory and Technical Committee, and that Committee in turn appointed a Sub Committee (later Permanent Committee) for Transport by Rail

The League itself was world wide, but the influence of the Sub-Committee on Rail Transport has been practically confined to Europe As a body consisting of Government representatives it stands, by its nature, on the same footing as the Berne Union, indeed, it would seem appropriate that the Geneva and the Berne organizations should have placed themselves in some organic relationship with one another

This, however, did not take place Article 24 of the Covenant gave no compulsory powers over international buseaux already established, and the compulsory powers expressly given in the case of international bureaux and all commissions hereafter constituted were disregarded when, as related in a subsequent paragraph, the Economic Conference at Genoa in 1922 set up the International

Railway Union In these conditions the League of Nations Transit Organization assumed the position of an advisory or consultative body only I tidd much useful work in special questions such as the negotiability of railway transport documents, the questions of frontier sections of line and frontier stations, but the development of the CI M and the similar Convention relating to passenger train traffic remained, on the governmental level, exclusively in the hands of the Berie Union

UNION INTERNATIONALE DES CHEMINS DE FER

On the administrational level, the principal post-war development was the establishment of the International Railway Union (Union

Internationale des Chemins de fer-UIC)

The International Economic Conference held at Genoa in 1922 felt the need of setting up an international body which should represent the nalway Administrations of Europe, as such A body of this kind nught have been placed under the aegis of the League of Nations Transit Organization. This, however, was not done. The Conference recommended that as a first step the French Railway Administrations should summon a conference of technical representances of all European railway Administrations with the object of restoring international traffic and creating a permanent conference of railway Administrations to deal with questions of construction, equipment and operation

As a result of this recommendation the International Railway Union was formed on 1 December 1922. Its purpose is declared to be to unify and improve conditions relating to the construction, equipment and operation (etablissement et exploitation) of railways

engaged in international traffic

Membership is open to European railway Administrations operating at least 1,000 kilmetres of standard or broad gauge line, and to other Administrations in adjoining territories which participate in through traffic Other railways and companies directly interested in international traffic are admitted as associates Practically all European railways, including the Russian, are members, as are also railways in French North Africa, China and Japan Among the associates is the Wagon Lits Company.

The organization of the Union consists of

- (a) a general assembly which meets every 3 years,
 (b) a managing committee of 19 members,
- (c) five permanent committees, as follows
 - (i) Passenger Traffic, (ii) Goods Traffic,

- (m) Accounts, Exchange and Clearing,
 - (iv) Rolling Stock Exchange,
 - (v) Technical (this is again split up into sections)

It may be noted that special Sub Committees (UIC-CCIF, UIC-CCIT) have been set up to deal with matters of concern to the International Telecommunication Union?

In the assembly, members have voting rights in proportion to their mileage. Binding decisions can only be taken by a four fifths majority of the managing commuttee or of the general assembly, provided they are not opposed by one-tenth of the total votes of all members to be bound by the decision. Each of the Union's decisions indicates whether it is obligatory or recommendatory.

An important organ of the UIC is the Bureau Central de Compensation (BCC) with its office at Brussels, whose function it is to clear debts and other payments between members (see p 35). The members of the UIC contribute to its finances in proportion to their voltan power

Regional groups may be formed within the framework of the Union, but only one such group had been formed before the out break of war This was the Eastern European Group (GREM), consisting of the State Railway Administrations of Bulgaria, Czechoslowakia, Poland, Roumania and Yugoslavia

Apart from the Committees of the League itself, the UIC was the only international rail transport organization of a general character to be created as a consequence of the last war. An endeavour was made to associate it with the various bodies already dealing with the technical and commercial aspects of railway tran sport, as an integrated organization. It was agreed that the UIC, as an inter administrational body, could only make suggestions to the inter governmental bodies, such as the League Committees, or the Unité Technique, or the Berne Union, on the other hand, it was hoped that the existing inter administrational bodies might ulti mately be combined under the UIC The matter was considered at some length in 1923, but it was ultimately decided that nothing more than the minimum of co-operation could be established. There was to be interchange of information, and questions of general interest might be referred to the UIC (October 1923) Later (1923-7) an endeavour was made to secure closer co-ordination with the CIT, but this also led to nothing. The CIT was invited to sit in an advisory capacity at the meetings of the UIC, and the CIT reciprocated the invitation

The attempt to establish a comprehensive and co-ordinated inter

¹ See Mance and Wheeler International Telecommunications Oxford University Press 1943 P. ²

national organization, on the administrational level, failed, and was not renewed. Whether this result should be accepted as final to a matter for further consideration (see p. 129). At present it is enough to note that there is no comprehensive. European organization charged with the responsibility of co-ordinating the various sectional and departmental organizations, and of presenting their recommendations, as the general recommendations of the railway Administrations, to a council of Government representatives, for legislative action.

Nevertheless, the UIC has done useful work in matters relating to technical standardization, where its Technical Committee has taken full responsibility for moderuizing the regulations of the Unite Technique (p. 13), in the creation of an international Cleaning Office (B C C), in drawing up model international passenger and goods tatiffs, and in the issue of model regulations on a great variety of subjects. It also issues a very useful monthly journal, the Bulletin de l'Union Internationale des Chemins de fer, and an annual volume of international railway statisties.

VEREIN DEUTSCHER EISENBAHN VERWALTUNGEN VEREIN MITTELEUROPAISCHER EISENBAHN VERWALTUNGEN

later

Looking backward, one may feel now that after the first world war an opportunity was missed in that no adequate steps were taken to link the UIC, as an administrational body of general European character, into organic connection with the Berne Union, a govern mental body of similar European character It was perhaps even more unfortunate that the opportunity was missed of establishing the UIC as the over all administrational authority for all Europe, and of bringing all European regional organizations into subordination to it The Verein Deutscher Eisenbahn Verwaltungen was such a regional organization, and it was a member of the UIC, but it continued, in fact, to function as a separate and independent authority By following a persistent policy of expansion it came to exercise a dominating influence over shadowing the UIC through out wide areas of the continent of Europe Such a position was constitutionally unsound, and exercised an unhealthy influence. It will be convenient to deal with the VDEV at this stage of our account of the developments which followed the close of the first world wat

In 1914 the Verein covered 113,000 kilometres of railway (see p 1) It included the German the Austro-Hungarian, the Dutch, the Luxemburg, and the Roumanian Railways Art the end of the first world war the kilometrage was reduced by about 20 per cent, the

Netherlands Railways and the Luxemburg Prince Henri Railway remained as the only non German members Nevertheless, the Verent quickly resumed its essential activities. Its headquarters were still fixed at Berlin, and the Reichsbahn Direktion, Berlin, has been continuously re-elected as the managing Administration.

In 1929 the Swedish, Norwegian and Danish State Railways, and the Swiss Federal Railways, joined the Verein as associates without voting right In 1932 the title of the Verein was changed to Verein Mitteleuropaischer Eisenbahn Verwaltungen (V.M.E.V.) as a better indication of its field of activity and its international stensificance

The activities of the Verein cover almost every department of railway work. A general meeting is held every three years. Members have one vote for every 1,000 kilometres of line, and Administrations operating more than 2,000 kilometres of line have ten extra votes Smallet companies are grouped and have one vote per 1,000 kilometres. Majority decisions are, with few exceptions, binding on all the members, but not upon associates

The work of the Verein is largely conducted through six standing

- (1) Administrative.
- (ii) Passenger Traffic,
- (m) Goods Traffic,
- (iv) Wagon Exchange,
- (v) Technical (this is again split into six sub committees),
- (vi) Prices and Costs

It publishes two useful periodicals, the Zeitung des Vereins Mitteleuropaischer Eisenbahn Vern altungen (weekly) and the Organ fur die Fortschritte des Eisenbahnwesens (twice monthly)

The Verein has co operated with other Európean administrational organizations, indeed, in many cases, these took the regulations of the Verein as the basis for their own proposals. On the other land, it has accepted the regulations laid down by the governmental organizations which framed the Berne Conventions, in 1918 the Verein modified its own regulations so as to conform to the regulations of the Berne Conventions on goods and passenger traffic (CIM and CIV, see pp. 25–29), and these were ratified by the German Government

The Reichsbahn in its position as the managing Administration of the Verein, and owing to its preponderant size, has exercised very great influence, not always to the benefit of the smaller members Moreover, the Verein has been pressed into the service of the German political system, and has itself contributed to the power of that system. It is with this in mind that we must recognize its successes

Over its own area it effectively discharges the functions shared by half a dozen diverse unions over Europe as a whole

UNITÉ TECHNIQUE

The institution of the League Organization for Communications and Transit remained, as we have seen, without effect upon the activities of the Unite Technique and the Berne Union (pp 10-13). Both these bodies resumed their work where it had been left unfinished before the first world war, though it may be noted that the Unite Technique has availed itself to a very considerable extent of the assistance of the UIC, as an administrational body, acting through its Technical Communitiee, and in this field the UIC has done bethan its most valuable work.

The work of technical standardization, appropriate to the Unite

Technique, falls naturally under several heads

General

The Unité Technique was fully conscious of the rapid technical progress which was taking place. Standards of all kinds needed revision, and new standards needed to be created. The old machinery working through governmental representation and diplomatic conferences was found too rigid and cumbrous. Its results were embodied in State documents, and the procedure for their modification proved altogether too slow to meet the urgent needs of changing circumstances.

Greater elasticity was required, and the work of standardization fell more and more into the hands of administrational bodies who drew up codes of supplementary regulations, accepted between Administrations, but having no governmental sanction behind them In this field the UIC, through its Technical Committee, performed useful work and made many recommendations on the physical

standardization of rolling stock, signalling, bridges, etc

A general revision of the code of standards laid down by the Unite Technique was taken in hand by the UI C in 1924. The final drafting was completed in 1935, and the new code came into force in 1938. It was accepted by the Governments of eighteen standard gauge, the Pyrenees to the western frontiers of Russia and of the Baltic States. The code is divided into six parts: (a) standard gauge, (b) conditions governing acceptance or refusal of wagons, (c) construction of vehicles, (d) condition of vehicles, (e) loading of wagons, (f) transport of goods subject to customs, etc.

Continuous Brakes

The need for the standardization of the continuous brake is self evident. The subject had been remitted to the Unite Technique in 1909. Experiments were put in hand, but the work was interrupted by the first world war.

After the war, the study of the subject was taken up once more The question was not forgotten in the Treaty of Versailles Under Article 270 Germany was put under obligation to fit her wagons with continuous brakes that would work in with any form of continuous brake that might be adopted by the Alked Powers parties to the Unite Technique whether on the trains of those Powers or on German trains In 1923 the representatives of Belgium, Czechoslovakia, France, Great Britain, Greece, Italy, Roumania, Yugoslavia and (later) Poland agreed upon the adoption of the Westinghouse brake, or any other compressed air brake that could be coupled to and with it In the meantime Germany had adopted the Kunze-Knorr brake, in 1926 this brake, which can be used in connection with the Westinghouse brake, was accepted by the Technical Committee of the UIC as admissible for international traffic-and as implementing the obligations imposed upon Germany in the Treaty of Versailles

Other types of brake have since been approved by the UIC, which drew up (in 1936) a set of 29 conditions to be satisfied by continuous brakes for passenger trains in international traffic

Automatic Couplings

The question of an agreement for the introduction of automatic couplings was brought up at the International Labour Conference

in 1923, and taken up with the UIC in 1924

The UTC undertook statistical and technical studies on the subject, they also had down guiding principles for the examination of experimental types of coupler. Progress was slow, and in 1931 the 1LO proposed the raising of an international fund of six million Swiss francs in order to finance trails of automatic couplings. The Governments consulted declined to contribute, and the UTC, although they continued to handle the question up to the outbreak of war, were unfavourable to the change on the grounds that the very low frequency of accidents did not justify the enormous expense involved, and further that the American semi automatic system was not markedly safer than the European system. It may be noted that since the outbreak of war automatic couplings are in course of being introduced on the railways of the USSR and on a few special types of trains elsewhere

Electric Traction

In many countries railway electrification policy has been shaped by the general electricity policy of the country. No attempt has therefore been made to adopt international standards in electric fraction

At the end of 1938 main line electrification in Europe was operated in part on the single phase alternating current system, in part on high tension direct current, and to a small extent on three phase

current (For further details, see pp 53 and 135)
Collaboration has been established between the UIC, the International Electrotechnical Commission (C E I) and the International Union of Tramways, Local Railways and Public Motor Transport, and in 1929 these bodies set up a joint committee (Comité mixte du materiel de traction electrique) with a secretariat provided by the C.E I The title of the joint committee sufficiently indicates its scope Various matters have been discussed, but no notable progress has been recorded

Wagons

The UIC has framed technical regulations for refrigerator and other special wagons, it has also laid down the conditions to be satisfied by existing and new wagons running at special speeds up to 100 kilometres an hour, and has elaborated a universal clearance gauge for wagons

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE OF ROLLING STOCK

Whilst the Unite Technique prescribed the conditions concerning the construction and maintenance of vehicles intended for inter national exchange, there still remained a whole series of technical or commercial questions relating to the use of the vehicle when it had left the parent Administration, and of the payments to be made for that use

The various Administrations which participate in the carriage of a passenger or a commodity divide between themselves the charge made to the public for that carriage. This division, which may be on a mileage or some other basis, takes no special account of the ownership of the vehicle in which the carriage is effected. That is the subject of a separate transaction under which the Administration owning the vehicle receives payment from the other participating Administrations There is an evident advantage in regulating such transactions as far as possible, on standard lines

The problem is more intricate in the case of goods vehicles than

passenger vehicles

Goods Vehicles

The first attempt to solve it naturally took place between neighbouring Administrations, and these, as we have seen (p 5), generally took the shape of exchange unions After the war of 1914-18 it was felt that some more comprehensive system was needed. The question was taken up in 1920 at the instance of the Italian State Railways, and a Conference was held at Stresa (1921) in which fifteen States

At this Conference the Union Internationale des Wagons was formed The new International Wagon Union drew up the 'Regle-. ment pour l'emplot réciproque des wagons en trafic international This document is known by its Italian name, Regolamento Internazionale Veicoli, or for short R I V, and the name of the document has come to be adopted as the name of the organization which

applies it and keeps it up to date

The members of the organization are those Administrations which are subject to the Unité Technique, and it may be regarded in its own sphere as the administrational counterpart of the Unité It is governed by a general assembly which sits normally every five years, and by a management committee The general assembly elects the managing Administration from the members of the management committee. So far, the choice has always fallen on the Swiss Federal Railways

At the assembly each member Administration has one vote plus one additional vote for every 100 kilometres of line operated Decisions are taken on a majority of votes, except in the case of amendments to the Statutes and Regulations, when a two thirds majority is necessary. All decisions taken are obligatory

The management committee consists of six permanent members (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Poland and Switzerland) and three

non permanent members appointed every five years

The RIV has established a clearing office, and the ultimate settlement of balances is effected through the BCC (p 12) The services of the Hauptwagenamt, Berlin, are also available for clearing and settlement

The Regolamento covers the following points

A fully loaded wagon must be allowed to continue its journey over the frontier to destination

The receiving Administration pays rent for the wagon to the owning Administration This is a uniform rent calculated per diem

To prevent delay in the return of the wagon, the rent is on a sliding scale, reaching its maximum after fifteen days

To save empty haulage, wagons after unloading may under pre-

scribed conditions be diverted to pick up a return load either for an internal or for an international journey

Repairs and technical treatment of wagons are subject to the rules of the Unite Technique

Fines may be imposed for breach of rules

The last revision of the RIV came into force on 1 January 1935. There have since been certain amendments made in 1936 and 1938.

The use of private wagons in international traffic was regulated partly by the RIV (1921), partly by supplementary rules, revised by the UIC in 1923. These were eventually incorporated in the CIM (see p. 27).

Goods vehicles are of many different types, and the type limits the use to which they can be put. It is therefore important to indicate the type of wagon by certain 'vehicle type symbols' of a standard character which can be inscribed on the vehicle. The UIC took this matter in hand (through their Technical and Rolling-Stock Commissions) and in 1921 drew up a list of eighteen indications to be shown on all goods wagons used in international traffic, these cover, among other things, ownership, serial number, carrying capacity, ter, wheel base and date of last overhaul.

Change of gauge is an important matter in Eastern Europe. It is sometimes effected by changing the axles of the wagons. Rules for the operation of the special equipment required have been laid down by two Associations, both of which were administered by the Hauptwagenamt, Berlin. They covered the railways of Germany, Poland, the USSR, Luthuania, Latvia and Estonia. In 1931 the UIC adopted detailed regulations on the same subject for general application.

Passenger Vehicles

The exchange of passenger vehicles is governed by the Union Internationale des Voitures et Fourgons This organization may be said to have been founded in 1889 (see p. 6), though the name by which it is now known was adopted at a later date. The members are the continental European standard-gauge main line systems, but no railways in Spain, Portugal, Estonia, Finland or the U S S R are associated, the Swiss Federal Railways are the managing Administration, they provide the Secretariat and Clearing House.

In 1913 the Union drew up a 'Convention pour l'emplor réciproque des voitures et des fourgons en service international'. This is known as the RIC from its Italian title, Regolamento Internazionale Carozze, and these initials, as in the case of the RIV, are commonly used to denote the Union itself.

Apart from the formulation of the Convention, the RIC estab

lished periodical meetings, which came to be known as the European Through Carriage Conference, and later as the European Conference for Through Services These meetings are held in conjunction with the European Time-Table Conferences described later (p. 20). Plenary sessions are held annually Group meetings are also held, and the groups themselves are split up into sections corresponding to the routes concerned. All the movements thus agreed compose the European Table of Through Carriages Each Administration has one vote at plenary sessions, together with supplementary votes based on a complicated formula having reference to the number of axles, vehicles, etc., engaged in the service. Decisions are by majority, with the same qualifications as in the case of the R IV. and are obligatory

Payments between the various Administrations are based on a special unit, the axle kilometre, and every endeavour is made to arrange the services so that the balances cancel out If there are any cash balances they are transferred by the Swiss Federal Railways to

the RIV account and settled through the BCC

The RIC deals with the interchange of passenger vehicles generally, with accounts and clearing, and also with rechuical rules governing (a) operation, and (b) construction and maintenance. The rules governing operation (inpkeep, cleaning, water supply, etc) call for no special comment, those governing construction and maintenance are based on the code of the Unité Technique (see p. 17), but supplement it by additional rules dealing with continuous brakes, heating, lighting, etc., which have no statutory force, but constitute agreements between railway Administration.

INTERNATIONAL TIME TABLES

In this field the need of international agreement necessarily extends to the smallest details of the international services, nor is the need local to two or more Administrations. A changed timing may affect other services over a very wide area.

Passenger Time Tables

The formation of the European Time Table Conference dates back to 1891 and has already been described (p 6) It existed in fact before that date though under another name The first regular Conference was held in 1871

In 1920 formal standing orders were adopted Membership includes Governments, the League of Nations, railway and shipping undertakings, sleeping and ditting car companies and air transport companies The Swiss Federal Railways are the managing Administration

There is a vast network of international services, which calls for no special comment. Some reference should, however, be made to

the question of 'summer time', and the complications to which it

has led Although the UIC recommended international agreement in 1923, no steps were actually taken to synchronize the dates for beginning and ending summer time until 1930 Great Britain had adopted a fixed date, but Belgium, France, Luxemburg and the Netherlands each went their own way, and often fixed the dates at very short notice. The confusion in continental and international services was a cause of serious inconvenience. The International Chamber of Commerce took an active share in urging uniformity, but the difficulty was never wholly overcome. In 1930 a variable arrangement, based on the date of Easter, was adopted, but this was modified in 1938, when fixed dates for the commencement of summer time were for the first time agreed between France and Great Britain, leaving Belgium, Luxemburg and the Netherlands to go their own way It may be hoped that some more stable and general agreement will be reached in the future

Goods Time-Tables

The institution of Goods Time Table Conferences is a new feature, there were no such conferences before the war of 1914-18, and few international through goods trains. The development of such trains has been one of the most marked and civilizing advances in the railway service of Europe, I to opened out new markets, particularly for perishable traffics, and held out hopes of rendering an even more beneficeral service in the future.

The Supreme Economic Council in 1920 set up the Comité Central de Circulation du Matériel Roulant dans l'Europe Centrale (C.C.M.R.), with its office at Vienna, to control the movement across frontiers of Austro Hungarian rolling stock the future owner slup of which had not yet been determined, and the establishment of through goods services in South Eastern Europe This body, however, lost much of its utility when the transport position in South Eastern Europe became more stabilized and the ownership of the rolling stock find been decided (see pp. 78–82)

In the meantime, at the instance of the Czechoslovak Administration, international conferences for goods train time-tables were organized These conferences began on a small scale, including only Austria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Italy and Yugoslavia. But an increasing number of Administrations associated themselves with EUROPE ORGANIZATION AFTER THE FIRST WORLD WAR

the movement, and in 1928 the Conference took more definite shape In that year it issued a Livret International des Trains de Marchandises The rules of the Goods Train Time Table Conference were pub lished and brought into force in the following year A great impetus

was thereby given to the development of through services, and by 1930 Italy, for example had established twelve through trains for 1950 11419, 101 CARLEPAR HALL CORDUSTION WEITER HOUSE HAITS 101 INTERNATIONAL TRAINER WITH GERMANY, AUSTRIA, SWITZERLAND and France "Foodstuff export expresses' were formed, with covered vans and continuous heating and braking together with trains of refingerated vehicles for the conveyance of fruit, vegetables, etc., from Italy to

venicus ion the conveyance of that, vegetables, etc., from tany to distant markets. Even London and other destinations in Great Britain were, by the medium of train ferries, placed in a position to ontain were, by the medium of dam termes, praced in a position to share in the traffic. A large refrigerated warehouse was established

The Conferences were held annually, and the Czechoslovak at Verona Administration was charged with the preparations for them

III EUROPE BERNE UNION

INTRODUCTORY

QUESTIONS affecting the exchange of wagons and coachingstock, through services and time tables are technical in character and as matters of administration group themselves naturally under the general competence of the Unité Technique as a presiding governmental oreanization

As we have seen, however, the Unite Technique was only one phase of international governmental activity, in particular it did not touch the conditions under which merchandize and passengers are carried, the contract of carriage or the mutual obligations as between the railways themselves, or between the railways and the public they served. These questions form a separate field of study, quite apart from questions of rolling stock, track or equipment

This field is covered by the Betne Conventions, drawn up and sanctioned by the Berne Union. They are known as the CIM for merchandize traffic, and the CIV for passenger traffic. Their history prior to the first world war has been outlined on pages 3-4 it is now necessary to follow their progress between the two wars and their final state of development at the outbreak of the second world war.

CENTRAL OFFICE

(Office Central des Transports Internationaux par Chemins de fer)

A Central Office for the transport of goods by rail had been set up under the Berne Convention (CIM) in 1893, its duties were later extended to cover passenger traffic under the Convention (CIV) of 1924

The Central Office has the following duties

(1) Correspondence with the contracting States,

(2) Collection and publication of information, (3) Rendering awards in disputes between railways, at the request of the parties,

(4) Facilitating financial relations between the various railways and (5) Examination of requests for the amendment of the Conventions, and proposals for convening revision conferences

The expenses of the Central Office are borne by the contracting States in proportion to the nuleage of railway and other undertakings covered by the Convention—water transport undertakings at half rate The full rate is 1 40 Swiss francs per kilometre

The Central Office publishes a monthly Bulletin containing information necessary for the application of the Convention

It assists, on request, in the settlement of overdue accounts, but does not decide such issues. If it considers that the debtor Administration has adequate grounds for refusal to pay the amount claimed, it advises the parties to have recourse to the competent court. In the last resort the debtor Administration may be removed from the list of participants, or the State to which it belongs must guarantee its solvence.

The position of the Central Office under the CIV is the same as under the CIM, except that the annual contribution may not exceed a 80 Swiss frain per kilometre

COMITÉ INTERNATIONAL DES TRANSPORTS PAR CHEMINS DE FER

In 1902 (see p 4) a Union of Railway Administrations was established, which in turn set up the Comite International des Transports par Chemins de fer (known as the C.IT) to frame regulations supplementary to those contained in the Convention (CIM) and to put forward any proposals that might be necessary for keeping the Convention itself up to date. This Committee continued its activities after the war of 1914-18 The supplementary provisions which it drew up were known as Dispositions Comple mentaires (DC) These provisions have themselves in course of time been supplemented and further defined by the Dispositions Complementaires Uniformes (D C U) and the Dispositions Complementaires Speciales (D C S) When the Convention for the transport of passengers and luggage was concluded in 1924 (see p 29), the functions of the Union and of the CIT were correspond ingly extended to cover the whole field of the development of international transport law based on the Berne Conventions, and the uniform regulation of other questions relating to international transport

Membership of the CIT is limited to those Administrations which accept both the CIM and the CIV and the supplementary provisions (D C) connected with them as approved by the CIT Those which accept one of the Conventions only may be members for the purpose of that Convention

The Swiss Federal Railways are the managing Administration, and the Central Office is at Berne. The CIT and the UIC, which from this point of view may be regarded as parallel organizations, are represented at each other's meetings in an advisory capacity for the discussion of matters of common interest.

BERNE CONVENTION FOR THE TRANSPORT OF MERCHANDISE

General Provisions

The Berne Convention of 1890 (CIM) was supplemented by additional Conventions in 1898 and 1906 A fourth revision was undertaken in 1923, resulting in the Convention of 1924 The Convention was last revised in November 1933

This revision came into full force on 1 October 1938 By April 1944 it had been ratified by all the countries of continental Europe except the USSR The USSR has neither signed nor ratified

the revised Convention

The CIM, as last revised, consists of sixty five Articles, and eight Annexes

It deals mainly with the contract of transport, and the rights and labilities of the carrying companies ni a ni one another and the public. The Annexes deal with supplementary matters—forms to be used, the special regulations about private wagons, about the carriage of explosives, etc., and the carriage of express parcels, the establishment of the Central Office (p 23) and of a Committee of Experts

For full details a reference to the Convention itself is indispens able ¹ The following is, however, a summary of its provisions in

broad outline

The Convention applies to all consignments of goods despatched under a through consignment note (lettre de voiture) for transport over the territory of at least two of the contracting States (Att 1)

Every railway governed by the Convention is required to under take the transport of goods within the terms of the Convention provided the consignor complies with the provisions of the Convention (Art. 1)

A consignment note must be made out in the prescribed form for each consignment of goods (Art 6) Annex II sets out the pre

scribed form

Charges must be calculated according to tariffs legally in force and duly published The tariffs shall be uniformly applied to all persons, and there shall be no private agreements granting reductions

to particular consignors (Art 9)

The railway which accepts goods for transport is responsible to the consignor for the proper transport of the consignment over the whole route up to delivery This covers delay in delivery beyond the permitted period (Arts 26 and 27)

In the absence of supulations in the Convention the national laws and regulations concerning transport in each State are to apply

1 See L.NTS vol CKCII p 189

(Art 53), and the procedure to be followed is that of the competent

court (Art 14)

The Swiss Government are bound to call a Conference of the Contracting States five years after the entry into force of the modifications of the Convention agreed at the last Conference, or earlier at the request of one-third of the Contracting States (Art 66)

The obligations of each Contracting Party are renewed from three years to three years A State may withdraw on one year's notice

given on 31 December in each year (Art 62)

Special provisions in regard to prepayment of charges are made in order to meet the emergencies of currency fluctuation (Art 65)

Inter Railway Regulations

The Convention deals principally with relations between rail ways and the public Only six of its articles (Arts 47-12) deal with the mutual relations between railway Administrations

Transport charges may be paid either on the despatch or on the delivery of the goods. The railway receiving the payment is responsible for paying their proper share to the other railways concerned (Art 47).

Payment on claims for damage, delay, etc., is to be borne by the railway or railways responsible. Where the responsibility cannot be assigned, all railways must share in the payment on a kilometrage basis. (Art. 48)

Annexes to the C.I M

As already mentioned, the Convention includes eight Annexes,

dealing with supplementary matters

Anner I consists of 'regulations concerning certain articles accepted for transport subject to certain conditions'. These are divided broadly into six classes—explosives, substances liable to spontaneous combustion, inflammable, poisonous and corrosive substances, and substances which are obnoxious or lable to cause infection. The conveyance of each of these classes of goods is subject to regulation of a more or less strangent character.

The regulations are, as may be supposed, of a very intricate character, and when last revised in 1933, the code included 813 numbered paragraphs A suggestion was under consideration for detaching the code altogether from the CIM so that it could be

more easily amended

Apart from the general code in this Annex, various groups of countries have concluded special mutual agreements relative to one or more of the articles covered by the Annex

Annex II sets out the standard form of consignment note for general use (see p 25)

Annexes III and IV contain other forms of less immediate

importance
Annex V contains regulations relative to the Central Office

Annex VI deals with the organization and procedure of a Committee of Experts whose duty it is to keep Annex I up to date France, Germany and Italy are permanent members, but all other parties to the CIM may be represented Decision is by a majority, but a decision is not accepted if two Governments object within two months.

Annexes VII and VIII contain regulations relative to privately owned wagons and to express parcels respectively Both these subjects are dealt with below under separate headings

Privately Owned Wagons

These consist of tank trucks, trucks fitted with heating or refrigerating apparatus, and trucks specially fitted for the transport of certain classes of goods. The conditions governing their admission to international traffic are laid down, as already indicated, in Annex VII of the CI M as revised in 1933. They are to be registered by a railway which is solely competent to decide whether a wagon is to be accepted for international service. They must only be used for the transport of the goods for which they are intended. The wagons, when empty, must be accompanied by an international consistence in one

The regulations in the Annex cover provisions for maintenance, and generally for the relations between the owner of the wagon,

the consignor of the goods and the railway

Express Parcels

Express Parcels are defined as 'goods conveyed by particularly rapid means of transport under an international tariff' Generally speaking, this covers only such goods as may normally be loaded in the luggage van of a passenger train provisions about consignment notes, etc., otherwise the provisions of the C1M are applicable

Express parcels traffic originated in Germany, the movement was looked upon as a means of competition with road transport. In 1929 Germany instituted rarial arrangements with Holland, and later with Belgium (1931), with France (1952) and with Great Britain (1934) Finland and the three Scandinavian countries have also entered into an agreement based on the Berne Convention

Other Related Questions

A certain number of questions have arisen within the field covered by the CIM and have been the subject of international discussion Some of these are noted below

Newspapers The conveyance of newspapers was taken up at a Conference of Press Experts convened by the League of Nations in 1927 The recommendations made were followed up by the UIC, who recommended (1928) that international transport of newspapers should be governed by the CIM and not by the respective national laws and regulations, and that (where possible) through trains and 'trains de luxe' might be used for the purpose, but trains run by international sleeping car companies could only he used subject to their special contracts. The UIC also attempted to establish a unified tariff system but failed to do so

In 1929 the Transit Committee of the League called a European Conference on the subject, and this adopted a Final Act endorsing the conclusions of the UIC In December 1929 the UIC adopted regulations by which the railway Administrations undertook to institute an accelerated transport for newspapers. The C.I.M. was accepted as the legal basis, with special consideration for cases where

the transport of newspapers was a postal monopoly

Negotiable Transport Documents The introduction of a negotiable transport document was taken up by the League of Nations in 1929, at the instance of the International Chamber of Commerce It was pointed out that this would enable goods to be sold in course of transport, the bearer of the document could raise money on the goods transported or offer the document as security for bills issued by htm

A negotiable transport document is not allowed under the CIM, and the UIC saw great difficulty in introducing such a document. The question was the subject of continued discussion, and came up again at the Rome Conference (1933) for the revision of the Berne Convention No agreement was reached, but the revised CIM left it free to States to conclude special arrangements with one

another

Italy then took the lead and concluded a special agreement with other States associated with her The participants (in addition to Italy) were Austria, Bulgaria, Czechoślovakia, Danzig, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Poland and Yogoslavia, Spain and Greece joined later It applies only to certain categories of goods in full wagonloads between specified stations

Container Traffic This traffic first came under international regu lation in 1931, when the UIC drew up a model tariff It adopted international regulations for exchange of containers as from 1 January 1933, and thereafter the exchange of container traffic became a regular feature of international transport as between the countries which accepted the model tariff. The UIC also took up the question of standardizing customs procedure

In 1933 the International Container Bureau was established at Paris to frame rules for standardization, loading equipment, safety devices, customs formalities, etc. Its membership included the League of Nations Transit Committee, the International Chamber of Commerce, railways, forwarding agents and manufacturers, a number of experiments have been carried out by international competition, with a view to settling the best design of container, but a general Convention had not been framed when war broke out

Mistellaneous Other questions affecting goods traffic dealt with

by the UIC include (i) Joint working of railway and customs services at frontier stations,
(ii) Labelling of fragile packages in international traffic,
(iii) Tariff conditions for furniture vans in international traffic, and

(iv) Method of packing certain goods requiring special precautions

BERNE CONVENTION FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PASSENGERS AND BAGGAGE

As has already been seen, the question of establishing an Inter national Convention for the Transport of Passengers and Baggage to correspond with the International Convention for the Transport of Merchandise (CIM) had already received consideration before the first world war Such a convention had in fact been prepared and circulated, and was finally revised by an International Conference at Berne in 1911 It still needed diplomatic approval This was given at a diplomatic conference held in 1924

General Provisions

The Convention was entitled Convention Internationale concernant le Transport des Voyageurs et des Bagages par Chemin de fer, or (for short) C1V It was last revised in 1933 and the new text

It consists of sixty four provisions covering the transport contract, came into force in 1938 1 both for passengers and baggage (Arts 5-27), the lability of railways vis a vis passengers and one another (Arts 28-52) and a number of Broadly speaking, the CIV was modelled on the CIM Traffic miscellaneous provisions

LINTS vol CXCII p 327

may not be refused if the passenger complies with the provisions of the Convention (Art 4) Tariffs must be uniformly applied, but reductions are allowed if duly published and open to all (Arts 23 and 24)

In the case of liability for accident, the CJV differs notably from the CJM Whilst in the case of baggage (Art 29) the rulways accept collective responsibility for loss or damage (as with mechandise), they accept no such collective responsibility for accident, or loss due to delay, to passengers (Art 18) Liability for accidents to passengers, or for delays and loss of connection, falls on the railway where the incident occurred, and is subject to the laws and regulations of that country In this particular, the CIV differs also from the Brussels Maritime Conventions, and the Warsaw Convention on air carriers' liability 1

The States covered by the CIV are the same as for the CIM, and the rules for State membership, accession, resignation, etc., are the same

Supplementary Provisions

As in the case of the CIM, the duty of framing conditions supplementary to the Convention (CIV) devolved naturally upon the administrational body known as the CIT (p. 4), and that body did in fact draw up such conditions at Oslo in June 1924. The UIC, however, had already taken the matter in hand [May 1924] and had completed a draft on similar lines. The two bodies reached agreement in 1926, and thereafter the UIC has carried the matter forward. Further additions to the model tariff conditions then adopted have been framed to cover group travel (1927), carriage of schoolchildren and students (1929), cheap return tickets in connection with exhibitions (1931), and finally international tourist trains run as part of a touring programme (1937). An attempt to frame a polyglot list of technical terms (1938) was cut short by the

Other Related Questions

Combined Coupon Tickets The issue of combined coupon tickets had for many years before the first world war been a well known convenience of international travel, requiring mutual co-operation between the railways concerned. The pre was organization having disappeared, a new organization was speedily required. It is characteristic of the chaotic conditions railing after the war that a new and independent organization was called into being for the purpose

1 See Mance and Wheeler International Air Transport Oxford University Press 1943 p 35 and International Sea Transport Oxford University Press 1945 p 30

apparently without consideration of the possibilities of cognate

organizations already in existence

The Union Internationale pour l'Emission de Billets à Coupons Combinés (UIBC) was formed in 1921 with the Belgian Railway Company as the managing Administration, and a President nominated by the French Railways. It came to include railways in all the western European countries (except Norway) and in Switzerland and Italy, Germany remained aloof, and with her all the countries of central and eastern Europe except Czechoslovakia and Roumania

The situation was evidently anomalous, and in 1925 the UIC recommended that all members of the UIC should become members of the UIBC Germany, however, refused and claimed that her own organization (the Mitteleuropaisches Reiseburo, MER) gave all the facilities that were required. This was in fact true only of passenger traffic arising in Germany traffic desiring to enter or cross Germany was subject to various disadvantages. It seems that the Germans resented the formation of the UIBC They alleged that it took the place of the Vereinsreiseverkehr, an off shoot of the VDEV, which had efficiently performed the same function, and that Germany had been excluded from the UIBC The Austrian and Hungarian Railways took the same line

Insurance of Luggage This was considered by the UIC in 1923 Having reviewed actual practice, they recommended that insurance, when required, should be effected through a special company bound

to the railways by contract

GREAT BRITAIN AND THE BERNE CONVENTIONS

Great Britain has never been a party to the Berne Conventions The reasons given for this abstention are mainly of a legal character The Conventions regulate various matters without regard to British law, which would have to be amended in so far as the conditions of the Conventions are contrary thereto. The advantages to be gained were not held to be great enough to justify such action On the other hand, there is no disposition to modify the Conventions to accord with English law As has been already indicated (p. 3), the acceptance of the Berne Conventions involves no other obligation than the application of the terms of the Conventions to through booked or through consigned international traffic

It may be noted here that the introduction of train ferries between Great Britain and the Continent has led to a considerable develop ment of international traffic passing, in through truck loads, to and from British stations This has increased the desirability of through tariffs Such tariffs have, in fact, been arranged (p 65), but against great difficulties, since the conditions of each such tariff had to be negotiated between the Administrations concerned on the basis of a compromise framed to escape conflict with the laws or regulations of either country

Even so, the situation is far from satisfactory and seems to call for some agreed solution on simpler and more general lines. But the problem is beyond the powers of the Administrations concerned Differences of law are involved, which require governmental action It is understood that in fact a move is now being made to obtain legislation which will enable Great Britain to be a party to the Berne Conventions.

IV EUROPE MISCELLANEOUS

COMBINED TRANSPORT

BOTH the Berne Conventions of 1924 permit (Art 2) undertakings other than railways to participate in the regime of the Conventions, but these undertakings must conform to three conditions.

(i) they must be regular automobile or navigation services,

(ii) they must be complementary to rail transport, and

(iii) they must be guaranteed by a State, or by railway companies which are themselves subject to the Conventions

The Conventions of 1933 make no alteration in Article 2, but add a new provision, intended to meet the problem of air transport. This allows railways and other undertakings to lay down tariff conditions, and to use a transport document, constituting a legal.

régime other than that of the Berne Conventions

In fact, the difficulties of applying the same conditions in respect of liability to rul, sea and air transport are very great, and have not been fully overcome. It may be recorded, however, that in 1930 the UIC and the IATA agreed to apply, in effect, the conditions of the Warsaw (air) regime to air passengers requiring to travel by rail, on the guarantee by the IATA of the settlement of all the railways' claims in respect of them, later, in 1932, the UIC and the IATA succeeded in reaching a standard agreement on general carriage conditions for goods traffic in combined air rail services?

Further, in May 1939, the Passenger Traffic Commission of the UIC, under strong pressure from the International Chamber of Commerce, recommended the adoption of a model international tauff and a model contract for the combined transport of passengers by rail and air, applicable to railways and air transport companies, members of the respective associations. The outbreak of war

occurred before any further progress could be made.

UNIFICATION OF NATIONAL LAWS

The Berne Conventions form the basis of the law of international transport in the countries accepting them. They have sometimes been adopted also as the national law applying to internal transport. But this is by no means universal, and the variety of the national laws was felt as a severe handicap to the full development of trans-

¹ See Mance and Wheelet International Air Transport p 36

port Accordingly the whole subject has been under investigation

by the UIC since 1931

Having regard to the weight of German influence on the structure of the two Betne Conventions, it is not surprising that German law was found to approach most nearly to international law, and that the countries whose legislation was based on German law formed the largest group of European countries. The situations of the different countries were, however, so varied that the UIC has not been able to do more than issue a number of recommendations towards harmonizing national with international law for passengers and luggage (1956) and for goods (1958) So far as is known, no actual steps have been taken to put any of these recommendations into force

UNIFICATION OF STATISTICS

The League of Nations Transit Organization took this in hand for transport generally, leaving the UIC to deal with railway statistics

The UIC through their Accounts Committee made considerable progress in unifying European railway statistics. By the end of 1929 they were able to provide for the compilation of fourteen statistical tables, covering

- (i) Length of line and rolling stock,
 (ii) Operation—train miles and traffic.
- (iii) Pinancial results,
- (iv) Miscellaneous-staff, accidents, fuel, electricity, taxes

The general structure of these statistics has been maintained since 1929

INTER-RATIONAY ACCOUNTING

The settlement of inter railway accounts demands a high degree of co operation

(i) The charges, whether paid at the commencement or conclusion of the transport service, must be distributed in agreed proportions between all the Administrations which share in the service.

(ii) Claims paid, where divisible under the terms of the Conventions must be debited proportionately between the Administrations concerned,

(iii) Charges for the use of rolling stock (passenger or goods) must be levied and paid according to the principles agreed for the purpose,

(iv) Charges paid by or to the public will be paid in the national currency appropriate to each Administration.

This last problem had taken on a singularly intractable character, when currency fluctuations became so frequent during the last decade before the war

In January 1925 there was established, mainly by the activity of the UIC (see p 12), a central clearing office at Brussels for all interrailway accounts (BCC) Other clearing offices, however, con tinued to operate, notably the German Office at Berlin established

long before by the V D E V

Owing to currency disturbances, the BCC ceased operations in August 1912 The UIC was able to set it going again in November 1022 but with a reduced membership—thirty one Administrations out of sixty nine possible. It continued to operate under regulations drawn up by the UIC, but its operations were greatly hampered by monetary disturbances The BCC first worked in dollars, but afterwards used the Swiss and Belgian franc

SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

There is no generally approved machinery for the settlement of European railway disputes Provisions for arbitration, however, form part of the constitution of the UIC and the VDEV, and are included as part of the CIM, CIV, RIV, and RIC These generally provide for arbitration within the organization concerned. to the exclusion of reference to the courts or outside bodies. The League Transit Organization is also empowered, under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention of 1923 (see p 70), to give an advisory opinion. It has acted as mediator on several occasions in cases which were not covered by one or other of the Conventions, etc The Geneva Convention also provides for reference, if necessary, to the Permanent Court of International Justice There is no machinery for preventing rate wars

SANTTARY CONTROL

No difficulty has been found in the application of the International Sanitary Convention of 1926 so far as it relates to rail transport

T.AROUR

The ILO examined the possibility of securing international agreement on hours of work, but made no progress

A general review of the machinery in operation for the co-ordination of European International Rail Transport, as it existed before the outbreak of the second world war, is given in a later chapter (see p 127 et seq), together with some tentative considerations for the guidance of future action

OTHER INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Railway Operations at Frontiers

This extremely complicated question had, before the war of 1914-18, been governed by numerous bilateral agreements. That war, however, rendered many of the frontier stations uscless and created the need of new ones. Thereafter the whole subject has received the consideration of vanous bodies and has been the subject of the most detailed recommendations.

The International Chamber of Commerce has passed several resolutions with the general object of simplifying and expediting

formalities

The League of Nations Transit Organization published in 1933 a detailed study entitled Janulual and Administrative Systems in Fore on the Frontier Sections of Railway Linus and at Janution Stations: 1 This paper draws attention to the unsatisfactory situation arising from the multiplicity of treaters and of laws to be applied, and makes lengthy recommendations. Little result, however, seems to have been obtained.

The Passenger Traffic Commission of the UIC considered the question in 1923, and passed a long resolution dealing with passports and visas, international trains, joint frontier stations, police, customs offices, inspection of registered luggage and similar questions

The Reichsbahn has drawn up a detailed pamphlet enunciating the principles which should be incorporated in formal treaties and those which should be incorporated in agreements between railway Administrations

It is difficult to say how far any of these studies have led to effective action. It may be noted, however, that the following treaties have been concluded since the last war.

Germany and Poland	1920
Czechoslovakia and Poland	1927
Austria and Hungary	1930
Czechoslovakia and Germany	1931
Bulgaria and Roumania	1935

The first of these treaties set the general pattern? It consists of forty four Articles covering the whole field in great detail, but, despite the amount of detail, a supplementary local agreement has to be concluded for each separate frontier crossing

There are many frontiers which are not covered by any general treaty such as those enumerated In these cases there may be special

¹C 144 M 75 1935 VIII ²LNTS vol LXIV p 249

treaties in force in regard to particular joint frontier stations, or there may be two stations, one on each side of the frontier, with separate sets of formalities and a mere delivery from one Admini stration to the other at the frontier point

Train Ferries

International train ferries have been in existence for many years in the Baltic A German Swiss train ferry crosses Lake Constance (Friedrichshafen Romanshorn)

A train ferry for goods traffic only was established between Harwich and Zeebrugge (140 km) in 1924 by Great Eastern Train Ferries Ltd, and was managed by the London and North Eastern Railway In 1933 it was taken over by the Railway Company

In October 1936 a full passenger and goods train ferry service was mangurated between Dover and Dunkirk, by co operation between the Southern Railway, the Nord Railway, the Wagon-Lits Company and the Chamber of Commerce of Dunkerk

Since the war began a new train ferry has been constructed and put into operation between Rustchuk and Giurgiu on the Danube, but it is believed that this has since been destroyed. There is also understood to be a train ferry of a primitive character in operation across the Bosphorus (Haidar Pasha) This might open the way for the through conveyance of wagons from Europe as far as Baohdad and Carro

St Gotthard Convention

The St Gotthard tunnel was originally constructed under an Italo-Swiss Convention of 1869, to which Germany later acceded

This was replaced by the Gotthard Convention of 1909 between

Switzerland, Italy and Germany 1

Under this Convention all three parties agreed to do everything in their power to facilitate traffic between Germany and Italy (Att 4) Switzerland undertook that rates should be as favourable as those on any other transalpine railway (Art 7), and to grant to German and Italian railways and frontier stations the same facilities as to other foreign railways or frontier stations (Art 8) Maximum passenger rates were fixed, Swiss transit rates for goods were not to be raised so long as German and Italian tates were not raised (Arts 10 and 11) In the event of electrification, equipment was to be ordered by tender open to all countries

This Convention, particularly from Article 7 onwards, was very unpopular in Switzerland, as tying the hands of the Swiss Govern

n

ment without any corresponding advantages. It was only ratified in October 1913

Under the Treaty of Versailles (Art 374), Germany undertook to accept the denunciation of the Convention within ten years on request from Switzerland after agreement with Italy Switzerland and Italy, however, were unable to agree, and the Convention was never denounced In 1933 the Swiss Government pleaded the terms of the Convention as debarring them from stopping goods (other than arms) in transit to Italy In the same year the Convention was extended for a further five years

Transit through the USSR

In 1936 there was no hindrance to transit to and from 'trade agreement' countries These included all the principal European countries (except those named below) and also Iran, Turkey, the United States and Yemen On the other hand transit was only permitted by special routes in the case of countries with no trade agreement, although in normal diplomatic relations with the USSR (Austria, Finland, Hungary, Poland, China, Japan and others) Whilst in the case of countries not in diplomatic relations with the USSR (Portugal, Switzerland, Yugoslavia and others) no transit was allowed except by special permission

In addition, certain goods (sugar, matches, rubber, soap, etc.) were prohibited in general, unless expressly allowed in the trade agreements, but were permitted to pass in certain directions.

V EUROPE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

DEVELOPMENT OF RAILWAYS AND RAIL TRAFFIC

THE following figures indicate the development of European railways and railway traffics between 1920 and the outbreak of the second world war

TABLE I

Comparison of Increase in Railway Kilometrage in Various European Countries, 1920-37

111	Various ===-1	_	Increase
Increase less than 5 % Austria Czechoslovakia Germany Great Britain Hungary Lathuania Luxemburg Netherlands	Increase 5% to 10% Belgrum France Portugal	Increase 10% to 20% Denmark Grecce Italy Sweden	erer 20% Bulgaria Estonia Finland Lativia Norway Roumania Yugoslavia

Source Rashvay Year Book 1941 2

Switzerland

Density of Railway Network in the Same Countries, 1923 (kilometres of railway per square kilometre of area)

(k1)	meu	es or ran	may r	* .		Bulgaria	25
Austria Czechoslovakia Germany Great Br tain Hungary Lithuania	8 0 10 0 12 2 16 0 10 2 5 6	Belgium France Portugal	97	Denmark Grecce Italy Sweden	25 67 34	Estonia Finland Latvia Norway Roumania Yugoslavia	30 1 1 43 11 40 37
Luxemburg	20 7						
Netherlands	101						
Switzerland	140		Archeo fur P	esenbabna esen	1925		

Source Archw fur Essenbahmsesen 1925

79 83
•
(four group companies)
g i

POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

11 The beat jests 1995-31 are reckoned as from 1 April of the year beating each column to 31 March of the following calendar year, from 1931 onwards the fixed year begins to 1 January 10 W 10. 1938 and 1939

as percentage TABLE III Railway Expenses

pts 937

248 F

8 8 8 7

		r v	THE THEFT	1	trailway captured	5000					
		as p	ercentage	jo;	as percentage of 1929 expenses	enses				of receif	ğ
	1929	.,	1931	1032	1933	1934	1935	x936	1937	2929	ř
Great Britain (c	(ar standard)	t									
(four group companies)	100	24	89	84	83	8°	98	90	25	8	•
Scandingulan Kegion					;	į	91	1	00.	90	•
Denmark (State Mys)	001	101	76	ž	ă	S	96	70	001	ŝ.	'
Norway	100	102	201	8	20	68	16	96	ro4	86	Ĕ.
Sweden	100	66	66	8	8	86	102	202	120	16	_
Finland	100	26	89	5	88	8	96	101	110	82	•
Western Continental Region											
France (S N C P.)	100	132	100	101	96	88	83	85	117	79	*
Belgrum (Société Nationale)	100	101	06	88	30	74	2	F	1-82	86	-
Netherlands	100	8	80	8	84	80	7	F	2	7	_
Italy (State Rlys)	201	7	82	۲,	ř	7.1	P	2	7	88	_
Central Region				:	2						
Germany (Reschsbahn)	100	16	81	63	89	74	92	29	80	84	-
Czechoslovakia (State Rlys)	100	102	70	80	81	18	40	80	š	10	
Hungary (State Rlys)	100	80	837	90	1	. ç	i, C	81	*	I O	•
Austria (Federal Rlys)	100	101	9	-62	7	71	7.1	72	١,	6	Ī
Baltic Region				-	2					:	
Estona (State Mys.)	001	20	36	87	81	98	03	44	101	-	•
Larvia (Larvian Rlys)	200	12	101	8	0,2	20	F	. 20	07		•••
Lithuania (State Rivs)	100	101	101	8	100	1	.49	20	1	ř	•
Poland	100	ŏ	8	ę	00	:			:	-8	_
Balkan Region			•	;					•		
Yugoshaia (State Riys)	100	102	98	77	7.4	74	82	36	84	66	_
Bulgaria	100	105	114	::	110	{	303	117	104	8	•
	40,	. !		,		,					

DEVELOPMENT OF RAILWAYS AND RAIL TRAFFIC

8858

8228

84.56 The fiseal year a reckoned as from 1 July of the preceding calcindar year to 30 June of the year heading each column a The fiseal years 1929-31 are reckoned as from 1 April of the year heading each column to 31 March of the following calendar year year 90 79 60 16 61 64 68 77 110 100 91 91 118 1119 Αρτιί οί the year feading each *column* 10,31 March of the following cal The fiscal year is reckoned as from 1 The fiscal year as reckoned as from 1 8 8

BUIC, 1938 and 1939, and UIC statistical volutne, 1929 and 1937 From 1935 onwards the fiscal year begins on I January

Owing to changes of boundaries, it is difficult to draw comparisons with the period preceding the first world war. Even over subsequent years the statistics available are partial and unreliable. The following general observations must therefore be regarded as tentative and liable to revision.

Length of Line

The period between the first and second world wars shows little increase in railway mileage in the highly industrialized countries of Western Europe (see Table I) None of these countries can point to an increase in mileage exceeding to per cent. Great Britain and Holland show no increase at all, Germany an increase of barely I per cent This, however, does not imply that railway construction as a whole had come to an end in those countries, but rather that the construction took the form of duplication of tracks and bridges, extension of stations and other facilities and, as will be set out in greater detail elsewhere, electrification of tracks. It cannot, therefore, be confidently affirmed that the interim peace was marked by any decline of constructive activity Increase of mileage is, however, a test of one side of that activity, and it is observable that this activity prevailed most notably in the Balkan and Baltic countries (except Lathuania), where the ratio of railway mileage to area is at its lowest (It is unfortunate that figures for Poland are not available) There can, however, be little doubt that in spite of the growth in railway mileage which had taken place up to the year 1937 there is room for further considerable extension of transport facilities in all those countries Water transport is no doubt susceptible of further development where the circumstances are specially favourable, but road transport, which is making such rapid advances in the densely populated countries of the West, is unlikely to provide an adequate substitute for rail transport in eastern European territories Speaking broadly the main burden of providing improved facilities will have to be undertaken by the railways

Railway Operation

If we turn from the question of railway construction to examine the results of railway operation, we are still in some difficulty owing to the dearth of satisfactory comparative statistics. However, the bulletin and the annual statistical volume of the UTC supply comparable and fairly compachensive figures from 1929 onwards, and this is a service for which they deserve the fullest appreciation. It is unfortunate that the disturbed state of Europe from 1938 onwards makes comparation unreliable after the year 1937.

Receipts Figures for gross receipts for the years 1929 to 1937 are given in Table II The year 1929 is standardized at 100 for all countries, and the figures for other years are given as percentages of 1929 The countries are enumerated in rough geographical

grouping

These figures cover the period of the great depression in trade and may be taken as a fair indication of the progress of that depression and of the recovery from it in each of the countries concerned Any inferences drawn from them are, however, subject to two important qualifications. First, the volume of railway gross receipts must be assumed to remain throughout an equally reliable index of the volume of trade, and secondly the year 1929, adopted as the standard, must be assumed to represent, in all the countries enumerated, the same stage in the general trade cycle. Variations in the exchange value of the different currencies will also have a disturbing effect

Subject to these qualifications the following conclusions may

perhaps be noted

(1) The Scandinavian Group show the greatest measure of recovery from the lowest period of depression in 1932 Receipts in 1937 range from 99 to 121 per cent of the 1929 figures

(2) The Balkan Group, though they suffered more severely in

in 1937 ranging from 91 to 113 per cent of the 1929 figures

(3) The Western Continental Group experienced their worst period of depression some years later than the other groups, 1e in the years 1934-6, and they had shown, on the whole, less recovery than the other groups, at any rate up to the year 1937. This was

perhaps, in part, due to road competition

(a) The Central Group suffered very severely Their worst period was the year 1935 From that date they have shown a steady recovery, though in the case of Germany this may have been largely artificial, but in 1937 their receipts still ranged no higher than 79 to 83 per cent of the 1929 figures. The case of Austria is exceptional, receipts fell continuously to their lowest point (63) in 1934, and subsequent years show no increase. The reason for this may perhaps be sought in the special confusion of the Austrian railway system to which reference is made on page 77 of seq.

(5) The particular conditions of the individual countries concerned probably account for the variety of the results shown in the Baltic Group of countries. The recovery shown in Estonia and Latria was almost as marked as in the Scandinavian countries, on the other hand the heavy and long-continued decline in the receipts of the Polish railways, coupled with the very limited recovery in

1937, call for separate consideration Statistics of the volume of traffic carried by the Polish railways show a steady increase since 1932—4 to a level in 1937 approximately 8z per cent of the 1939 volume. The fact that receipts remained at 60 per cent of the 1929 figure points to a drastic policy of rate reduction as a possible explanation (see pp 61–63).

Expenses In Table III, as in Table II, the year 1929 has been taken as the standard (100) for each country, and the figures for

other years are given as percentages of 1929

The figures show, for nearly all countries, some reduction in expenses during the earlier years, in harmony with the diminution of receipts though less marked in degree Generally speaking, this reduction reached its maximum in the years 1933-1. Thereafter the expenditure tends to increase once again, and this in its turn corresponds with an increase in receipts

The last two columns of the table give the ratio of expenses to receipts (operating ratio) in each of the countries selected, in 1929 and 1937 respectively. In the absence of very marked changes in the level of receipts, it may be taken that an increase of the operating ratio means a smaller margin of profit, and more difficult times generally, to the Administration concerned.

The following comments may be suggested

(r) Among the highly industrialized countries Great Britain and Czechoslovakia showed the highest degree of stability Both coun tries maintained the same operating ratio in 1937 as in 1929, but with a somewhat reduced traffic. In Germany the operating ratio had increased from 84 to 91 on a substantially reduced traffic Belgium, the Netherlands and France showed an even more marked deterioration, and in progressive degree.

(2) In the case of France, whilst slow progress was made in the reduction of expenses up to the year 1935, the next two years showed a definite swing in the opposite direction, leaving the expenses of the SNCF 17 per cent higher than in 1929, along with a decrease

in traffic of 20 per cent since the same date

(3) The Scandinavian Region showed increases of expenditure which go far to wipe out the substantial increases of revenue already noted The position in Denmark and Norway can hardly be regarded as healthy so long as the operating ratio remains at about

roo per cent or higher
(4) Though the Italian and Polish State Railways had suffered a
diminution in receipts even more catastrophic than that experienced
in more industrialized communities, they had at the same time been
able to reduce their expenses so drastically as to redress the balance
They reduced their operating ratio in the case of Italy from 88 to 81,

and in the case of Poland from 89 to 81. This reduction in fact left them with a net balance of profit not less than that which they earned in the more prosperous days of 1929

(1) It is a remarkable fact that, tested by the improvement in operating ratio, the best results are to be found in the Balkan region Though receipts haddiminished, Yugoslavia and Roumania succeeded in cutting their expenditure so heavily as to show substantial improvements in their operating ratios and to bring them well below the unenviable level of 100 Bulgaria effected no improvement, but the Greek railways showed increases in receipts almost adequate to offset their increase in expenditure

The general picture which emerges from these statistical tables is of a railway economy seriously shaken by an unexampled depres sion in international trade, but actively occupied in coping with its difficulties There was general, though not universal, improvement during the latter part of the period under review and every indication that rail transport had survived the blizzard with undiminished vigour The year 1938 did indeed mark an all round retrogression, but the figures are incomplete, and in any event the menace of war was already clouding the outlook. The general impression would appear to be justified that under conditions of peace the railways of Europe, in spite of growing competition, perhaps because of it, would have played an increasing part in the promotion of international trade and transport

It may not be out of place to add special notes on railway con struction in Eastern Europe and in Soviet Russia

Eastern Europe

Taking Europe as a whole, the need for railway extension seems, as already suggested, to be most urgent in the eastern and south eastern countries. This was fully appreciated after the first world war Not merely were railways required for local development and to adapt the pre war systems to the altered territorial situation, but there was an urgent need, both on economic and political grounds, for better through routes connecting the Middle Baltic with the Black Sea, with Istanbul, and with the Mediterranean Poland was particularly conscious of the importance of these through routes, in order to secure the economic advancement of the whole area and, at the same time, to develop north south traffic independent of Germany

Nevertheless, the results obtained were disappointing, this was due mainly to three causes

(a) the mutual realousies of the various countries concerned.

- (b) the difficulty of finance, particularly in the second post war decade,
 - (c) the Danube, particularly the cost and the international complications involved in providing either bridges or train ferries

It was the first factor which stultified the negotiations between Bulgana and Roumana for the construction of an improved through route between Bucharest and Istanbul (1936) with a bridge across the Danube

In 1938 Poland, Roumania, Bulgaria, Yugoslavia and Greece agreed upon a more general programme for improved north south communications. The scheme included the provision, inter dia, of two bridges across the Danube. The Governments concerned, however, were unable to put up the money themselves, or to raise it elsewhere. Since the war began, a new train ferry has been constructed and put into operation between Rustchuk and Guiron.

In the confused conditions which are likely to prevail in South Eastern Europe after the present war, it would still appear to be desirable to give international consideration to the whole problem of railway development in this area, and to the question of through routes linking the Baltic with the Mediterranean The Danube is a transport route of the first importance for linking east and west, but it has been an obstacle to north south transport, and the surmounting of this obstacle by suitable crossings, whether by bridge or train ferry, is one of the most urgent physical problems of international European transport in the future

Soviet Russia

The problems of railway development in Soviet Russia during the period between the two wars were not essentially different from the problems facing the Balkan countries, but the methods employed in meeting them were at once more carefully planned and more thorough going. The result may be seen in the adequacy with which the Soviet railway system has been able to meet the unexampled demands of the war period. As compared with the more densely populated countries of Western Europe, on the other hand, the stration in Soviet Russia, both geographically and economically, was in such contrast as to present a wholly different set of problems for solution.

Railways play a predominant part in the Soviet transport system Before the second world war the railways carried some 89 per cent of the whole railand freight traffic of Soviet Russia, while waterways carried 8 per cent and motor transport 3 per cent Moreover, the waterways were, for the most part, closed to traffic by frost for five to six months of every year It may be said, therefore, that the

railways had little to fear from competition in this quarter, whilst in the case of motor transport, owing to the great distances involved, competition was non existent. The motor transport industry served almost entirely as an ancillary service, for the purposes of shortdistance collection and distribution.

Whilst this situation had arisen more or less naturally from the geographical conditions of a vast thinly peopled area, it was still further emphasized by the conscious central planning of the whole Soviet economy. That economy was based on a deliberate policy of expanding development, economic production was based on a series of five-year programmes, and plans for transport facilities were laid out beforehand to cope with the contemplated expansion of production. Ralway facilities were the central factor in the Government plan of transport development.

The situation was thus totally different from that existing in Western Europe and America. In Soviet territory, traffic came to the railways without asking, was in fact directed to them, and their problem was to expand their facilities with sufficient rapidity to enable them to handle the business. They enjoyed a further advantage which was conspicuously not available to their railway colleagues in western countries—a stable demand for their services in accordance with a pre arranged programme, free from the unpredictable fluctuations of financial crises and international convolving the services.

In these conditions a marked increase in the railway equipment of Soviet Russia was to be expected, and has in fact taken place No statistical figures are available to illustrate the position of railway in European Russia alone, but the following table gives some idea of the development of the railway system in the whole of Soviet territory since 1913

	1913	1921	1928	1938
Length of route (miles) Number of locomotives Number of freight cars Tonnage of freight carned (mil	36 000 20 000 500 000	36 000 19 000b 400 000	47 000 16 000 473 000	55 000 <i>a</i> 25 000 650 000
hons) Net ton mileage of freight	132	39	156	516
traffic (millions) Number of passengers carried	40 900	~	54 800	230 700
(millions)	184	- 1	280	1 177

⁽e) Professor T S Khachaturov in a paper on the Organization and Development of Railway Transport in the USSR International Affairs, April 1945 gives the present figure as 66 000 miles.

⁽b) Of this number no fewer than 12 200 were out of service or under or awaiting tepair.
Source Charles E Whitworth The Russian Railways Annals of the American Academy of Political and Serial Science. Nov. 1943. p. 151

48

These figures present some remarkable results. The volume of freight carried, as measured by ton mileage, has increased nearly six fold between the years 1913 and 1938, passenger travel has increased more than six fold, yet the length of route has increased by little more than 50 per cent, freight cars by 30 per cent, and locomotives by no more than 25 per cent. To some extent this contrast is misleading. The Soviet Government has followed a consistent policy of building more powerful locomotives and higher capacity wagons in place of those scrapped or destroyed, and they have scrapped freely, it is stated, for instance, that at the outbreak of the second world war more than half of their locomotive stock consisted of powerful modern engines, built between 1929 and 1920. The increase in motive power and in wagon capacity is therefore considerably greater than the figures by themselves would suggest.

However, when all allowance has been made for this weakness in the comparison indicated, it remains the fact that the railways of Soviet Russia have made a fuller use than ever before of the track and equipment at their disposal. This has been the result of a deliberate policy They have made it their aim to use heavy weight trains approximating to American practice, and at the same time to make a more intensive use of their track, with a high density of traffic, following in this the example of west European industrial coun tries They have increased the daily mileage of their locomotives, and speeded up the circulation of their wagon stock. It has been a part of this policy to strengthen their permanent way and increase their double track mileage. These tendencies have, it is believed, been carried rapidly forward during the war, and it is claimed that they have brought the Soviet railway system, as a whole into fair comparison with the most up to date systems of railway transport elsewhere, e.g. in the United States of America

It is evident however, that having regard to the extent of the Soviet territories and their population very considerable further extensions will require to be made, and this is admitted. It by no means follows, however, that under a State-planned system, the economic ratio of railway mileage to population need be by any means as high as in countnes where the rivalines of competing railway enterprises have been the governing factor. In the mean time, the railway network of Soviet Russia still falls below the full requirements of traffic. While in Britain and America railway policy has been directed to creating the demand for transport, in the U.S.S.R. all transport which could be classed as unnecessary was considered economically wasteful and a thing to be eliminated. In most countries the mileage rate on merchandize traffic dimmishes as the distance increases (see p. 66). In Soviet territory, on the

contrary, this 'taper tariff' policy is reversed. The mileage rate is actually increased for long distances in order to discourage long-distance traffic.

Speaking generally, it may be hoped that much fuller information bearing on the development of the railway system in Soviet territory will in due course be forthcoming. The history of that development and its future progress under a régime of central planning as part of a centrally controlled economy, cannot fail to be full of the greatest interest to countries whose economy is of a more competitive or less centralized character.

THE RAILWAYS IN MODERN TRANSPORT

We may now appropriately turn from these problems of physical development to the consideration of the railways and railway

transportation generally in their economic aspect

During the period between the two world wars a profound change took place in the nature and outlook of the railway industry. Prior to 1914 the railway industry had reached a high level of performance. Though the mileage of the railways was steadily increasing, particularly in the countries which were least developed, this increase nevertheless did little to change the finance, the methods of operation or the outlook of the railways as an industry. From these points of view the railways appeared to have reached a position of stability, both technically and economically, and this seemed to correspond with a similar stability in the demands for transport made upon them. There was growth and there was improvement, yet neither seemed to foreshadow any change in the general character of the service given or required. The railway industry was in a statuc condition, and was regarded almost as the type of stability in the general economic framework of European industry.

With the end of the first world war new factors arose. The railways found themselves forced to meet new demands both in methods and performance, and to fight for their position in the transport world against growing competition. From being a public service, they became partners and instruments in State policy—even a weapon in the armoury of international economic war. One may perhaps say that from being state they tended to become dynamic

pernaps say that from being static they tended to become dynamic.

It is desirable to consider the nature of these new factors in greater detail.

(a) Intrass in earts of operation A general rise in prices was one of the most unmistakable consequences of the first world war and of the short sharp boom which followed it Though prices fell some way from their highest point, they never returned to anything like their old level The operating costs of the railways were doubled Charges were necessarily raised to meet this increase, but the increase in charges was limited partly by public policy and partly by competition. Even where it was sufficient to maintain the old level of profit (and that was unusual), it left the railways in a more precarious position, inastinuch as their expenses on the new level represented a much higher proportion of their gross receipts, and the margin to meet fluctuations in volume of traffic was much narrower

The point may be made clearer by illustration. In the year 1912, before the first world war, the British railways spent £63 in working expenses to earn f 100 in gross receipts, the balance of f 37 paid a return of 31 per cent on the capital invested After the war (1924) working expenses showed an increase of 138 per cent, one might say that the f.63 had advanced to £150, and without an increase of charges there would have been no balance for the remuneration of capital, indeed, there would not have been enough money to pay current expenses, and the railways would have been bankrupt. Some increase of charges was necessary In the case of the British railways the increase ruling in 1924 may be put at about 60 per cent—the £100 of 1912 would have advanced to £160, leaving a balance of £10 (in place of £37) for the remuneration of capital Owing to changes in the nature and volume of traffic these figures must be taken as illustrative only The same or similar changes were taking place all over the world In a favourable case the charges might be so increased as to leave an undiminished balance for the remuneration of capital, yet even so the situation was definitely changed for the worse. To meet an increase of expenditure such as the British rail ways experienced and, at the same time, to leave an undiminished margin for the remuneration of the capital invested, it would have been necessary to raise charges by 87 per cent The ratio of expenses to receipts would thereby have risen from 63 per cent to 80 per cent, and the margin of receipts to cover fluctuations of traffic would have sunk from 37 per cent to 20 per cent

Where it had not been possible to increase charges so as to cover increased expenses (and this was the commoner case), the position was even more unfavourable. The net recepts were not enough to maintain the old return on capital, and a to per cent decline in traffic might wipe out more than half of this inadequate balance.

A high operating ratio is not in itself a symptom of weakness in a railway, on the contrary, it is more generally an indication of high efficiency. Where raisfic is intensive and methods of operation are economical, a low level of rates will give margin enough to ensure an adequate return upon capital, while a scanly traffic carried athigh rates may spell bankruptcy. It will generally be found that the lowest operating ratio is a feature of the least progressive countries.

Nevertheless, a sudden change from a relatively low to a much higher operating ratio calls for immediate action to avoid disaster, and the nature of the action required has been sufficiently indicated —traffic must be made more intensive, by the introduction of new business, and costs of operation must be reduced by economy and scientific management. These necessities were fully recognized by the European railways in general, and especially in the more fully developed industrial countries.

(b) Competition At the same time the development of road competition, at least in its national aspect, became a serious menace to the well being of the railways. In some cases, the close association between the railways and the State proved useful in imposing certain restrictions on road transport. Nevertheless the competition remained, although less intensive in character. Its field lay precisely with those higher descriptions of traffic which contribute most highly per unit of weight to the revenues of the railways, and left for the most part untouched the heavy traffics in the carriage of which the railways render their highest service to the community, whilst receiving in compensation the lowest unitary contribution to their revenues.

The competition of road transport affects both charges and ser vices. The rates offered were lower, the transit often more expeditions. The railways could only meet the danget by lower rates and better service, and lower rates for the same volume of traffic are ruinous, if they are not accompanied by reduced costs. The railways found themselves shaken out of their old static position into a policy of new and improved services and more scientific economy in magazement.

The effect of the development of air services has not been so marked, though it may be of first class importance in the near future. It seems evident that the competition will be a competition in service only, not in rates, and it will be for the railways to consider how far they can improve their services in speed, comfort and prestige to meet the new rivalry in the air. Some notable advances of this nature were made in the last decade before the war.

Water competition, on inland waterways, has always been a feature of international European transport, and was maintained on a full level of efficiency between the two wars, the competition in rates was active, but there was no evident swing from one form of transport to another, and the competition produced no new creative effect

(c) Instability of Trade
was marked by serious and increasing instability of trade both
national and international The fluctuations far exceeded those

which Europe had known before the first world war, and were more unpredictable No calculations, however prudent, could be relied upon, the old stability of rail transport conditions faded away and gave place to a spirit of adventure and speculation Such adventures called for State support and were in fact generally undertaken for purposes foreign to transportation as such-for instance, the need to stimulate distressed industries, the encouragement of a national port policy, or the implementation of some international trade hargain. The main feature of such adventures was the use of rail tariffs not as a reasonable support for a stable industrial system, but rather as a weapon for the achievement of international attack or defence. a political rather than an economic instrument. The uncertainty of the international exchanges, which was so marked an element in international instability from 1929 onwards, accentuated this whole tendency and did much to lower railway problems to the level of a stock exchange speculation

It was perhaps the emergence of an amment programme in certain European countries which brought back the railways in those countries from these speculative ventures to their essential business of handling an intensive traffic with scientific economy and regularity.

There were no doubt other factors which affected the railway situation and railway policy, such, for instance, as changes of political boundaries, but these were mainty of a local or temporary character. The three factors already described were more nearly universal in their character, and their effects on railway policy are worth special consideration.

Tt may, at the outset, be noted that the first two factors—higher operating ratio, and road competition—pulled in the same direction, in both cases the remedy was to be found in more scientific management, better service and new traffic. On the other hand, the third factor—the speculative, nationalistic stude of railway policy—diverted attention from the problems of sound management into a field where patient economies hardly counted, and where the prize itself had little relevance to railway finances. Nevertheless, all three factors worked together to change the whole character of the railway problem as it presented itself both to Governments and to Admunistrations.

The use of railway tariffs for political ends, or for purposes not purely related to transport revenues, will be dealt with in some detail in later paragraphs

Reference has already been made to the action taken and the efforts made by the European railways in the direction of reducing

costs and of creating new traffic during the inter war period. The institution of the express parcels service (p. 27), the provision of containers (p. 28) and the establishment of improved fast freight services (p. 21) were all items in this general programme, although admittedly owing their initiation in part to the new stimulus of road transport. The urge towards standardization in track, in locomotives, and in passenger and freight carrying vehicles had its origin in the same over inding desire for more scientific management, though it has to be confessed that, in these particular directions, only limited progress was made. The introduction of electric traction had the same objective in view and was as a policy pursued with more success. A special reference to this item may not be out of place at this stage.

Electric Traction

Among the most notable changes brought about by the war of p144-18 was the increase in cost of coal. With this there was in certain countries a tendency towards the use of poorer qualities. In either case, the call for efficiency in consumption, or for the discovery of satusfactory substitutes, became urgent. At all times, an increase in the price or in the consumption of coal per train mile has been one of the most powerful inducements towards the conversion of steam traction to electric traction for main line working. It proved to be so in this case, there has been a marked development of electric traction, particularly in the more advanced countries of continental Europe, during the futer war period. The following figures are illustrative.

Development of Electric Traction on Main European Systems (kilometres of line electrified)

	1930	1937
Austria	822	900
France	1 596	2 997
Germany	1 541	2 263
Italy	1615	3 929
Netherlands	314	527
Sweden	908	
Switzerland	2 906	3 355 2 362
		~~~~
	8 102	26 333

The figures show a growth of nearly 100 per cent in length of line electrified, even during the disturbed period 1930–7 Figures taken over the whole inter war period would show a still more marked increase

### STANDARDIZATION OF GOODS TARIFFS

The urge towards standardization in the sphere of international transport between the two wars (see pp 15-17) was not confined to the physical standardization of track, rolling stock, etc., but was also directed towards the standardization of goods tanfis with varying success, in particular towards uniformity in nomenclature, in methods of publication and in structure. These will now be referred to in greater detail

### Uniform Nomenclature of Goods

The combination of two or more national tariffs for the carriage of a word serious nature arise when there is uncertainty as to the definition of the commodity in the different countries. According to the definition it may fall into one or more different tariffs or fall outside all existing tariffs in some of the countries through which it is to be transported. The difficulty can only be overcome by the adoption of a standard nomenclature.

The League of Nations Committee on Rail Transport, the International Chamber of Commerce and the UIC have all taken an interest in this question, and have to some extent collaborated

The UIC has, however found the task to be an impossible one, owing

- (a) to the almost infinite variety of articles and of component parts,
- (b) to the lack of standardization in specifications,
  (c) to the lack of standardization in terminology in the different

countries

All that has so far been accomplished has been to compile a timmary nomenclature of the principal goods in three languages—

summary nomenclature of the principal goods in three languages-French, German and Italian (1930) The International Chamber of Commerce was still not satisfied before the war, but the UIC held that it was not practicable to go further to meet their views

### Standardization of Publication Practice

The CIM (1924—Atts 9-10) provided that tariffs must be duly published, but left the detailed application of this principle to the individual States There were naturally wide variations of practice, which led to insunderstandings and disputes. The question of securing uniformity was first taken up by the Czechoślovak. Adnatic Traffic Conference in 1932, and an 'Agreement for the uniform regulation of the publication of tariff measures concerning international railway union goods tariffs' was adopted on 1 January.

54

1934 This was accepted by Austria, Hungary, Italy and Yugoslavia Notices of tariff increases must be

> for seaport tariffs for other tauffs

30 days. 21 days,

but alterations due to currency fluctuations are to have immediate effect Alterations of station lists, routing regulations and other tariff measures require only three days' notice

This agreement has worked satisfactorily

The VMEV has also taken the question into consideration, and in 1933 submitted proposals for the revision of the CIM No detailed regulations were accepted, but Article 9 of the CIM was modified to prescribe fifteen days' notice of increases of rates in international traffic

No further improvement has been effected

# Standardization of Tariff Structure

The first prerequisite for international tartifs is stable currency relations Subject to this, there are the following problems

(1) Standardization of classification, so that the same goods are included in the same class in all the countries illustrated

(2) Standardization of horizontal graduation (e.g., by weight per wagon) in each class, so that the same class shall have the same weight stages for graduation of rate, e g 'less than 5 tons', '5 tons', '10 tons, '15 tons' per wagon

(3) Standardization of the percentage reduction for such horizontal graduations

(4) Standardization in the treatment of less than wagon load freight

(5) Standardization of treatment of unwieldy objects requiring more than one truck

(6) Standardization of treatment of live stock

The first of these problems was tackled in 1934 by the Sudbahn Administrations, who were joined later by other Administrations They spent three years on glass, coal and grain In 1938 the position was reviewed, but no conclusion was reached, the conference merely added metals, machines, vehicles, etc., to their study The function of managing Administration in this inquiry is entrusted to the Yugoslav State Railways

The VMEV has endeavoured to elaborate a model tariff for international goods traffic, based on the relevant provisions of the CIM and DC This was finally approved by the Goods Traffic Committee of the V M E V in June 1938 No further progress has

been made

In conclusion, it may be noted that the rail tariff problems here considered have no direct connection with the quantum of the tariffs. That question has generally been regarded as a matter for settlement between the railway Administrations directly concerned, not for general international agreement. For this purpose the Administrations concerned form 'tariff unions' which may cover single tariffs only, or large numbers of tariffs. Such tariff union themselves are numerous, and multiplied rapidly in the period between the two wars. The principle of the tariff union is not unsound, and it may be hoped that these tariff unions will, in course of time, be consolidated and extended (see p. 64).

SOME ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF TARIFF POLICIES

In fixing their international tariffs European railways have two orders of considerations to take into account

(1) Competition with the other forms of transport

Road competition has affected national rail traffic very seriously, but in international rail traffic it has counted for little so far, perhaps in part because the rail rates for import, export and fransit are, in general, so low that road transport cultivates other and more profit able fields of competition. Nevertheless, road transport cannot be left out of account when we look to the future

Water competition has so far been much more effective. Owing to its much lower cost it is constantly operating in the most unexpected ways. An instance will illustrate this. Sugar from Czecho-slovakia to Switzerland presented a regular traffic systematically divided between three different rull routes, the whole arrangement was shattered by the development of a circuitous water route down the Elbe to Hamburg, by sea to Rotterdam and up the Rhine to Basle

### (2) State Economic Policy

This had come to exercise an increasing, and indeed, paramount, influence between the two wars, notably the directional tantif polery (Rubhungitanife) intended to back up the general policy of autarky Value as the basis of tartif building is increasingly disregarded, and rail tartifs are being more and more used to promote exports and hinder imports—orien to a point which completely disregards even out of pocket costs. As the railways are, in practically all cases, State owned, they can be freely used as instruments of economic policy at the expense of the taxpayer, though the existence of order means of transport, not in State-ownership, sets a limit to the extent to which railway tartifs can be used as instruments of prohibition. The policy, with its mutually conflicting results where pursued by two competing countries, is, of course, highly disadvantageous to

the railway economy Transit tariffs may be governed by pure transport considerations, but even these may be affected by national economic factors if the transiting country itself produces similar goods for export

It may be useful to illustrate these general considerations by a study of the problems presented and the policy followed by various

States, particularly those of Central Europe

#### GERMAN TARIFF POLICY

Application of the Treaty of Versailles

Under the Versailles Treaty the German Government undertook, among other things, to make no discrimination in charges on goods based on whether any port through which the goods are imported or exported is a German port or a port belonging to any foreign country (Art 323) Further, the seaports of the Allied and Associated Powers were entitled to all reduced tariffs

granted on German railways' (Art 345)
These obligations were to be binding for five years from the coming into force of the Treaty, and were to lapse at the end of that period unless revised by agreement reached before the expiration of the five years (Art 378). The Treaty came into force on I lanuary

1920

Nevertheless, the German railways in 1924 made a sense of sweep ing reductions in the tariffs for certain goods exported through German ports, as well as for certain favoured imports, the effect of which was practically to eliminate transit through Holland. The Belgnan, French and Italian Governments protested through the Conference of Ambassadors. When the Conference ultimately moved in the matter (1921), it was found that the five years' period had expired, and that Articles 323 and 3255, not having been revised, had lapsed.

# National Aspects of the Policy

Since the lapse of the relevant provisions of the Versailles Treaty, the Reichsbahn, as an organ of the unified German State, has pursued a frankly national policy. Subject always to the limits imposed by competing means of transport, its policy has been directed towards the development of supposed German national interests, and in reaction against the equally national policy of the State Railway Administrations in neighbouring States. Its leading principles may be described as follows

(i) High rail rates for imports which compete with German industry,

- e g imported grain is classed with flour, and foreign coal is put on a higher scale than German coal
  - (ii) Low rates for imports necessary to German manufacture, eg cotton, wool and jute
- (iii) Low rates for German raw materials unfavourably placed in competition with the imported raw materials, e.g. sulphune and from central Germany to Silesia in competition with acid from Poland, or again coal from German inland coalfields to coastal factories in competition with imported coal

(iv) Low export rates, e g on German coal, or iron and steel

- (v) Low port rates to help German total, or the land settled with foreign ports, e.g. Gdynna. In this case the Polish Railway Administration played the leading part by quoting low tanifa against Bremen for Polish imports, and also low through tanifs for Ozechoslovak imports (see p. 62).
- (vi) Low rates to one German manufacturing area to equalize con cessions given under preceding heads to another area

In judging this policy as a whole, whether in Germany or elsewhere, it has to be remembered that many of the steps taken could be justified on purely commercial or economic grounds, as tending to increase the net revenues of the railway Administrations con cerned, or at least to minimize losses. In other cases political considerations no doubt played the leading part, but even here economic grounds could be fairly put forward in justification of the action taken, as tending at least towards an increase of the national income In all this there is nothing that can be described as unusual, or foreign to the policy of State railway organizations in other countries However that may be, all these tendencies have become more marked under the National Socialist régime. In 1938 nearly 75 per cent of all traffic was being carried at special rates, and the average receipt per ton kilometre had fallen to 3 56 Rpf from 4 48 Rpf in 1028 To meet this, most of the normal tariffs were increased by 5 per cent

In 1936 the Rechsbahn had 530 exceptional tariffs, of which 133 were export and 49 import tariffs National policy must bear the main responsibility for these developments, but it is fair to keep in mind that road competition has undoubtedly been a contributory influence

Some Competitive Aspects of German Tariff Policy

Statistics available for 1923 show the general tendencies of Reichs bahn rate policy as already developed at that date

(i) The general increase in rates (receipts per ton kilometre) over 1913 was 45 6 per cent,

(ii) On distances up to 200 kilometres the increase was 55 per cent, (iii) On distances over 500 kilometres the increase was 50 per cent,

(iv) On class tariffs the increase was 56 per cent,

On special tariffs the increase was 33 per cent,

On small lots of milk (special tariff 25) the increase was 49 per cent

These figures bring us up to the year 1945, in 1944 and still more in 1925, owing to the lapse of Articles 343 and 345 of the Versalles Treaty, there was a great development in exceptional tariffs to German scaports. This policy had a double object—to develop German exports (and raw material imports) and to secure the traffic thus created to German railways and scaports, in competition with the water notite by the Rhine and the Low Countries, or with the foreign rail route by Mediterranean ports. The competition with Trieste (as against the Hamburg route) became particularly acute. A provisional settlement was reached at the end of 1926, and a definitive settlement, based on a territorial division between Austran, Czechoslowak and German railways, was reached in 1928, an arrangement was, at the same time, made for Reichsbahn-Danube traffic and a tariff union established.

It was a point of policy with the Reichsbahn to maintain the flexibility of its exceptional tauffs. Many of them were frankly competitive and had to be varied to meet competitive conditions, otherwise valuable traffic might have been lost. On the other hand, competitive conditions might become easier and allow of an increase in the tariff. For instance, in 1918 the French rail tariffs were increased, and the Reichsbahn took the occasion to increase by 30 per cent its tariff on certain goods between the Swiss frontier and German seaports.

Export tariffs did not relate solely to seaports, a number of export tariffs via land frontiers were also introduced, e.g. for coal from Westphalia to Hungary and Yugoslavia via the Danube

Transit fatiffs played a special part in competition with foreign railways and ports, for instance, the Reichsbahn participated in direct tariffs between Italy and Scandinavia, between Czechoslovakia and Switzerland, etc. In all, the Reichsbahn had helped to form 120 tariff unions of various kinds by 1928.

# SPECIAL CASES OF COMPETITIVE TARIFFS

Differential Treatment of British and American Asphalt

Occasionally, the logical application of the exceptional ratife principle led Germany unto differences with foreign Powers. In 1930 Great Britain complained that American asphalt benefited from a lower exceptional tariff than British asphalt, but the Reichbalan, whilst admitting the fact, land no difficulty in replying that, owing

to the greater distance of its port of origin, American asphalt could use the Mediterranean ports as easily as the Baltic ports, which British asphalt could not, and that the lower tariff from the Baltic ports was, therefore, necessary to secure the American business. They clinched the argument by pointing out that German asphalt, which (unlike British) had no competitive choice of route, was deuted the advantage of the exceptional tariff which was open to the British article.

This case may be taken as typical of the difficulties involved in giving demonstrative proof of the common allegations of 'discrimination'.

## Special Coal Tariffs-Germany/Switzerland/Great Britain

A similar complaint of discrimination arose in regard to coal traffic Great Britain complained that British coal imported into upper Germany and Switzerland was being unfairly prejudiced by discriminatory rail tariffs

The facts were complicated, but may, again, be given as typical In 1920 the Reichsbahn introduced what were known as 'uper tainfis'. The essential feature of these tariffs is that the increment per kilometre grows less as the total distance increases. This helps long distance traffic. The principle, in testel, is not only sound, but of general, almost universal, application. It is, for instance, fully recognized in Brutish law as applicable to railway maximum charges.

and is carried much further in British railway practice

As a consequence, lower rates came into force for the carriage of coal by rail from the Ruhr to upper Germany and eastern Switzer land Such coal was however also carried by rail to Rhine shipping-points, then by river to the upper Rhine landing points, and again by rail to destination This 'water route' was prejudiced by the reduction of the direct rail rate. The Reichshahn, presumably for reasons of State, met the grevance by quoting a lower rail rate, from the Rhine landing points to destination, on coal which had already been carried by rail from the Ruhr (or elsewhere) to the Rhine shipping points, or on an equivalent tonange. This lower rate, however, was not, by its conditions, applicable to coal which had not previously been carried by a German rail route, e.g. British coal transhipped to Rhine barges at Rotterdan or Antwerp

The British authorities accordingly complained of discrimination and represented that the new tariff conditions were contrary to Article 20 of the Statute on the International Regime of Railways (see p. 123) The German Government denied this allegation

The Swiss Federal Railways also became involved Some part of the coal (whether German or British) for East Switzerland had been landed at Basle and carried by the Swiss Federal Railways to East Switzerland. When the lower rates on German coal came into force on the German railways between the Rhine and East Switzerland, this business was lost by the Federal Railways. They took opportunity later to compete once more by lowering their own rates, but since the reduction in rates on the German railways applied only to German coal, the Swiss reduction was also limited to German coal, and although British coal still enjoyed the old rate it was in fact shut our

Neither Germany nor Switzerland accepted the British view of the transaction, and no action was taken in rectification

## North Sea/Adriatic Seaport Competition

In 1924-5 Italy, Hungary and Yugoslavia agreed upon a reduced ranff for goods in truck loads between Yugoslavia, Hungary and the Adnatic ports. The German railways feated that these reductions would affect traffic, especially imports, passing between Hamburg/Bremen and Austria, and accordingly they reduced their rats between these points to the level of the Trieste/Fiume rates, they only extended this concession, however, to the imports of those countries which could use the Baltic or Mediterranean routes in differently. Thus, French, Spanish and Portuguese traffic received the benefit, but Scandinavia, the Baltic countries and (later) Great Britain were excluded. The competition was in some measure mutgated in 1926-7 by a tariff agreement between the competing railways.

In 1931-2 Italy, Hungary and Austria entered into agreements for the formation of a joint tariff council whose task, it would be to facilitate the exportation of their respective products and generally to strengthen the economic bonds between Italy and Central Europe

## German Polish Seaport Competition

As soon as the new Polish State had established itself and over come its initial problems, it realized that the maintenance of its economic independence was bound up with the development of its actual ports, Danzig and (later) Gdynia. At first the major part of its foreign trade was with Germany and was conducted across the land frontiers with that country. (In 1922, 47 per cent of Polish imports came from Germany, and Germany took 60 per cent of Poland's exports.) Poland set herself to correct this state of affairs, whilst Germany fought to establish her predominance. This led to a rupture of trade negotiations between the countries and a ruthless customs war. Special efforts were concentrated on the development

of traffic via Danzig and Gdynia, the turnover of those points rose from 2,778,000 tons in 1925 to 6,705,000 tons in 1926, and ultimately to 16,300,000 tons in 1938 Meanwhile, the German share of Polish international trade sank from over 50 per cent in 1922 to 19 per cent in 1937

This change was largely the effect of Polish port and railway policy Poland's policy was to concentrate Polish exports and imports on Danzig and Gdyma Owrng to the location of Polands manufacturing areas, this involved the quotestion of special railway tariffs that paid little regard to the distance factor. At the same time, customs tariffs on import traffic at Polish seaports were reduced or abolished whilst being maintained at the land frontiers. In this way, transit of imports over the land frontiers was discouraged in favour of the ports.

Money was lavished on the equipment of the ports, Gdyma in particular, and to strengthen their position Poland endeavoured to make them entrepols for traffic to and from points beyond her own frontiers. With this object she established special inset national railway tarifis with the neighbouring States of central and Eastern Europe. These tariffs also Insked the Polish ports with twee ports on the Danube, and Roumanian Black Sea ports. Poland pursued this policy with increasing vigout right up to the war, justifying it (apart from political grounds) on the alleged cheapness of Polish transport. In 1914 the Polish ports and railways opened offices in Prague, later in Belgrade, Bucharest, Vienna, Budapest and Branslave.

In 1927—30 the annual average of transit goods via Polish ports did not exceed 300,000 tons In 1937 this traffic had increased five fold Transit traffic with Czechoslovakus amounted to 1,186,000 tons, with Roumania to 230,000 tons and with Hungary to 100,000 tons

The Reichsbahn endeavoured to counteract the Polish policy It was, of course, powerless as regards Polish imports and exports, especially when Poland used the customs partner as an additional obstacle to imports over the land frontier. On the other hand, both the Reichsbahn and the German Government have done their utmost to compete with the international tanfis which Poland had established with Hungary, Austria and Czechoslovakıa. The struation of the German ports, the shorter distances and the favourable river traisport have all told in favour of Germany. Competing tariffs were put into force for Czechoslovakı traffic, and when Poland futther cut her tanfis the Reichsbahn instituted the so-called Auribining taktik, under which they undertook to refund to traders any sum by which the charge under the German tanff exceeded that to Gdyna under

the Polish tariff This 'factic' was adopted in 1933, nevertheless, the Polish international traffic continued to expand Later, the German Government used currency intreaces ('blocked' marks, etc) to strengthen their position. The tariff battle seems to have been in full swing when was broke out in 1939

In the meantime Czechoslovakia and Hungary, with three groups of ports competing for their traffic (German, Polish and Medi-terranean), were in a favourable position to profit from every turn of the game, although Czechoslovakia, at least, seems to have been impressed (in spite of occasional political differences) with the importance of supporting the Polish partner

#### SOME EFFECTS OF RAIL TARIFF POLICY ON INLAND WATER TRANSPORT

The Rhine and the Danube are the principal waterways of international importance

The Rhme is the natural feeder of the Dutch and Belgian ports, and in this is competitive with German railways and German ports. The special seaport tariffs which had maintained stability before the war of 1914-18 were cancelled at the end of the war, with the result that Rotterdam and Antwerp benefited at the expense of Hamburg Between 1913 and 1926 the traffic of Rotterdam had increased by 68 per cent, that of Antwerp by 61 per cent and that of Hamburg by 21 per cent only

As soon as she deemed herself free to do so Germany re established the seaport tariffs, e.g. with Switzerland, and cancelled the special tariffs for Dutch and Belgian ports. The French and Belgian railways responded by equivalent export tariffs to French and Belgian sea ports, though the distance was greater. The Dutch railways would have done the same, but their route lay via Belgium, which refused to reduce its high tariff for the intermediate section, and thus shut them out. The Reichsbahn alleged, it is difficult to say with what justification, that this 'left bank' policy did more to injure the Rhine water route than their 'light bank' policy.

In the case of the Danube, the Reichsbahn for obvious geographical reasons adopted a different policy. The Danube was not a competitor, on the contrary, it was a valuable ally, and the Reichsbahn tariffs were directed to encouraging traffic from Germany (or German scaports) for Austria, Hungary and South East Europe to pass via the German ports on the Danube (Regensburg and Passau). The Czechoślovak railways adopted a similar policy, particularly favouring the port of Bratislava.

On the other hand, the Austrian Federal Railways regarded the Danube waterway as a competitor, and did what they could (1926) to prevent transhipment from rail to water by granting special all rail tariffs

Poland also regarded the Danube waterway as a competitor since it facilitated the transit of traffic from West Europe and Germas seaports to South East Europe in competition with the Polish Roumanian router Poland and Roumania had a common interest in this (see p 62), and in the years 1926 to 1929 established a large measure of co-operation with exceptional tariffs to Galatz and Constanza, and a general improvement of facilities This included port improvements at the places named, and a proposal to double the railway between the Polish frontier and Galatz

#### TARTER UNIONS

Reference has already been made to the formation of tariff unions (p 56). Such a union may be defined as a combination of two or more railway Administrations to facilitate the transport of one or more commodities over one or more international routes. Tariff unions are very numerous. The co-operation of the members may take the place of competition between them, or may be directed to developing competition with other Administrations outside the union, or competition with alternative forms of carriage.

All such unions are based upon agreement as to the quantum of a particular rate, or scale of rates, or a series of scales These may be varied from time to time, as circumstances may dictate, by agreement between the members. Variations in exchange will exercise, as is evident, an exceedingly disturbing influence. But economic conditions such as the growth, or cessation, of com petition with non member organizations, will also have their effect according to the normal commercial considerations applying to such tariffs generally It is fair to say that the various railway Administra tions, if free to act in their own interests, would naturally promote the development of these tariffs at an economic level of rates as the best means of encouraging the growth of international traffic and of railway revenues, if it were not for the financial and political considerations which have so often pulled in an opposite direction This aspect of the matter will come up for further consideration at a later stage

#### Distribution of Revenue

The tariffs fix the agreed quantum of the rates between two given points. It remains to fix the agreed distribution of this quantum between the two or more Administrations concerned in the transport. In the simplest cases, certain fixed expenses are first deducted and credited to the Administration concerned, e.g. terminal expenses to the terminal companies, the balance is then divided according to distance, i.e. the kilometrage owned by each participant in the route covered. But this simple principle is subject to a multitude of complications

(a) One participant may perform services, such as haulage, on a

part or the whole of the route owned by another

(b) The route of one participant may have been especially costly to construct or may have involved individual works of special cost In such cases a 'bonus' addition to the kilometrage of that participant may be agreed

(c) One of the participants may enjoy some tactical advantage which enables him to exact a special share, or quota, as an induce-

ment to forgo this advantage

(d) A non member may have no share in the transport at all, but receive a share, or quota, of the proceeds as an inducement not to establish a competitive route in alliance with other non members

These are no more than an indication of the considerations, legitimate and less legitimate, which may govern the division of a through rate or an international tariff scale between the various participants or potential participants. Not only the work done, but the strategic position of each participant, may decide the issue. The problem may be complicated, but, if commercial interests alone were at stake, its solution on reasonable lines would present no insuperable difficulty. Since 1918 the number of exceptional tariffs has increased, and the classification of goods has become more complicated, these features have done something to complicate the question of distribution, but currency instability and political considerations have proved themselves to be disturbing factors of greater importance.

## Position of Great Britain

Whilst continental railways have entered freely into tariff unions for the development of international traffic, it has not been possible for the Brutist railways to be parties to the international traffis as between continental countries. The reason for this is that the terms and conditions for the conveyance of traffic under such traffis are based on the Berne Convention, to which, as already explained (p. 31). Great Britain has bitherto been unable to subscribe. Britain's geographical position is also in part responsible. International tariffs as between Great Britain and the Continent do exist, however, and these are based on conditions specially adapted to effect a compromise between the Berne Convention and English law. There

are other differences of practice, e.g. in regard to less than wagon load traffic, which militate in a minor degree against co-operation. But it has to be borne in mind that any international traff scale which may be proposed for adoption is most unlikely to involve any contravention of maximum powers or classification regulations that problems of undue preference are seldom insoluble, and that the export or import traffic which will be in question in such cases is for the most part carried in quantities and under conditions of an entirely exceptional character. The British railways are undoubtedly interested in the development of such traffic, and with the increase of facilities for direct conveyance by train ferry they may be expected to seek modification in the legislature which will enable them to participate in continental through tariffs governed by the Bene Conveyting.

## PASSENGER TARIFF POLICIES

International passenger tariffs are relatively simple and do not give rise to serious complications. The issues relate mainly to (a) tourist traffic, and (b) transmigrant traffic.

#### Tourist Traffic

In 1922 Italy revived het policy of encouraging toursts by reductions to those who stayed six nights or more, and further reductions if they travelled in parties of eight or more Religious pilgruns, honeymoon couples, even those celebrating their golden weddings received similar encouragement In 1933 Germany followed suit, first with special reference to the Leipzig Fair, but later (1934) all the year round. She improved on the Italian precedents by inventing the 'travel mark' at a specially favourable exchange rate.

France, Switzerland, the USSR and all the smaller countries

were compelled to follow suit in one way or another

It may be observed generally (a) that these reductions of fare (sometimes up to 70 pet cent) went far beyond any 'railway' justification, (b) that they were for the most part pollural in object, a method of obtaining foreign exchange, hence the insistence on a minimum period of stay, and (c) that the net effect was a general cheapening of international travel whether undertaken for business, religion or pleasure

# Transmigrant Traffic

In 1925 Germany granted a 33\frac{1}{2} per cent reduction to transmigrants travelling via German seaports Great Britain protested under Article 323 of the Treaty of Versailles—but this Article had already lapsed and the protest was disregarded

Dining and Sleeping Car Services

The history of the development of these services is, in effect, the history of the competition and ultimate agreement between the Compagnic Internationale des Wagon Lits and the Mitropa Company

The Compagnie dates back to 1876, and until 1914 had practically a European monopoly, though this was in some degree disguised by the creation of subsidiary companies under national designations, such as the Deutsche Speisewagen. During the war Germany formed its own duning and sleeping car services, largely with vehicles seized from the Compagnie, and after the war transferred these services to the Mitropa Company, which, as its name suggests (short for Mitteleuropa — Central Europe), was in a part a propaganda enterprise. The Compagnie endeavoured to strengthen its position by increasing its capital from British sources, but the French interests in the Compagnie defeated this attempt, indeed, the relations of the different nationalities on the Board were difficult and stood in the way of strong actions.

In the meantime, the Mitropa with a united Board and Govern ment action continued to make progress outside Germany, and ultimately (April 1925) the two concerts came to a settlement which may be said to indicate the bargaining advantage held by the concentrated power of the Reichsbahn. The Mitropa obtained the right to run all international services originating of terminating in Germany, but this did not include any services to Paris, Brussels or Warssaw. All other services, including those which traversed Germany (e.g. between France and Poland) were allotted to the

Compagnie

The annexations of Austria and Czechoslovakia further strengthened the position of the Mitropa

#### VI. EUROPE: PROBLEMS ARISING OUT OF THE FIRST WORLD WAR

#### RAILWAYS IN THE SETTLEMENT OF FRONTIERS

THE question of frontiers, generally, will be considered at some length in a later volume. Here it is proposed to treat it solely as it affects rail transport.

Frontier settlements after the war of 1914-18 necessarily had regard to other factors—ethnographical, strategic or traditional more than to the configuration of the railway network. Moreover, the settlements had to be made in the shortest possible time

Though in some cases better frontiers might have been drawn if railway factors had been taken into account, it is perhaps surprising how often they entered into the discussions and affected the decisions. The following examples may be quoted:

- (a) Hungary | Roumana The three towns of Szatmat-Nemeti, Nagy Varid, and Arad are all predominantly Magyar But since the only railway connecting the Roumannan provinces of North and South Transylvania ran through these towns, they were included in Roumania.
- (b) Rosmana | Yngoslavsa. In this case, on the other hand, railway considerations were, perhaps unwisely, disregarded when dubious ethnographical arguments, put forward by Yugoslavsa, were allowed to sever the important rail connection from Arad to the Danube at Rázás.
- (c) Czechoslowakia | Hungary. Railway and port questions are closely interwoven Bratislava (Pressburg) is the natural Danube port for Czechoslovakia, though only 18 per cent of its population is Czechoslovaki. In consideration of its importance to Czech transport, and of the fact that the population of the adjoining country-side was Czechoslovaki, the port was included in Czechoslovaki, the port was included in Czechoslovaki.
- (d) Austria |Czechoslowaka Czechoslowak frontiers in Bohema were for the most part the recognized frontiers of the old Kingdom of Bohema. In two cases, however, they were extended solely to include sections of railway necessary to complete the Czech railway system
- (c) Czechoslovakia | Poland In this case the district of Teschen was involved, important industrially, but still more important from the point of view of transport. The two great double-track lines connecting the north and the south of Europe, and the south-east and west of Europe intersect at Oderhere (Bohymma) in the Teschen.

west of Europe, intersect at Oderberg (Bohumin) in the Teschen The Poles, on general ethnic grounds, claimed the larger part of the district, and in particular the south eastern area which includes

68

the Jablunka railway linking Slovakia with Moravia and Bohemia Nevertheless it was felt that these railways were vital to the econ omic life of Czechoslovakia, and they were accordingly allotted to her

(f) Austria | Italy | Yugoslavia The Adriatic port of Trieste is the natural southward outlet for Czechoslovakia and Austria Two main routes run northward from Trieste connecting the port (1) by the Tauern railway and Salzburg to Bavaria and Northern Europe, and (2) by Ljubljana (Laibach) and Maribor to Vienna Both these routes passed through a mountainous area, known as the Assling Triangle, whose population was undeniably Yugoslav The ethnical considerations carried the day. The exports of Czechoslovakia and Austria had to pass over Yugoslav and Italian lines to their natural Mediterranean outlets 1

At Frume the problem was of a different character Frume is the natural Adriatic port of Yugoslavia and Hungary, but Fiume was Italian in its sympathies Italy forced the position by seizing Fiume (the d'Annunzio coup d'etat) in 1919 The matter was not settled until 1924, when Italy and Yugoslavia came to an agreement under which Italy kept Fiume, but allowed Yugoslavia the adjoining and inferior port of Susak Hungarian claims do not appear to have been considered

In 1948 or 1949 various frontier alterations were made by Hitlerite Germany, affecting Czechoslovakia, but these were not made with any idea of permanence, still less of convenience in transport. It may fairly be said that they were intended to cause trouble in transport, and otherwise, and to form an excuse for further German aggression

THE RESTORATION OF THROUGH SERVICES AND TARIFFS AFTER THE FIRST WORLD WAR

The railway systems of Europe were left for the most part in a state of exhaustion by the war New boundaries had caused dislocation, particularly in Eastern Europe, but even more important was the fact that both rolling stock and permanent way were suffer ing from inadequate maintenance. Nevertheless, international rail way relations were restored fairly quickly in Western Europe. In eastern Europe, on the other hand, political disturbances of various kinds retarded progress for many years

#### General

An outline of the general organization established for the formulation of principles applicable to transport as a whole will be given

This question will be further dealt with in the final volume in this series

elsewhere ¹ The matter was taken up at a very early stage by the Paris Peace Conference which established the Ports, Waterways and Railways Commission I was the function of this Commission to formulate principles applicable to communications generally. There work was taken over by the Commission of Enquiry on Freedom of Communications and Transit, a body with both neutral and allied members, formed at Paris in anticipation of the creation of the League of Nations. Finally, the League of Nations called together the International Conferences at Barcelona (1921) and at Geneva (1923). The Geneva Conference urged the development of international communications and requested the League Transit Organization to keep itself informed of the steps taken Coloniel Mance was asked to report to the League's Rail Transport Committee, and presented his report in August 1924.

After describing the general situation at the armistice, the relief work of the Communications Section of the Supreme Economic Council, the Portor Rosa Agreements (p. 78), the formation of the RIC (p. 19) and the UIC (p. 11), he was able to report that immense progress had been made since 1919. In the conditions of traffic, and of the exchanges existing in 1923, through passenger tariffs were confined to the more important international trains, and through freight traffic (with through consignment note) was carried by virtue of a series of special agreements prescribing certain derogations from the Berne Convention. These provisions fixed the portion of the charges to be collected from consignor, the portion to be liquidated at frontiers are rauts, and the portion to be collected from consignee. The system was an attempt to minimize the risk of loss due to uncertainty of exchanges, but it indicated how heavily that un certainty militated against a full resumption of international rail

In 1925, the League Transit Organization reported jointly with the International Chamber of Commerce in favour of an increase in the number of international tariffs based on the Berne Conventions

#### The Simplon Orient Express

In August 1910 the Simplon Orient Express was established by agreement between Great Britain, the Netherlands, Belgium, France, Switzerland, Italy, Yugoslavia, Roumania and Greece This was the first effort to recreate a real international service. It linked Ostend, Calais, Boulogne, Paris, Lausanne and Malm with Constantinople, Bucharest and Athens, and the service was guaranteed a monopoly of traffic from Great Britain, the Netherlands, Belgium and France to points beyond Vienna in the direction of Belgrade. However,

¹ See the final volume in this ser es

traffic grew, and in 1921 additional services were authorized Paris-Prague-Warsaw, Paris-Zurich-Vienna-Bucharest, and Holland/ Belgium-Vienna-Bucharest

The traffic continued to increase, and in 1925 the monopoly lapsed Competing services were established, notably from Ostend via Vienna to Constantinople

Relations between Germany and the West

The institution of the Harwich Zeebrugge train ferry (p. 37) brought up the importance of re-establishing the direct English German goods tariff. Legal difficulties were serious, but they were ultimately overcome, and an agreement concerning goods traffic between Germany and England via Belgium came into force on June 1934. An Anglo-Belgian agreement had been concluded previously and was extended to apply via the Netherlands, it was also to embrace traffic via the German Dutch and German-Belgian frontiers to stations in Germany, and in Poland via Germany.

Austro-Hungarian Successor States (Czechoslovakia, Poland, Roumania, Yugoslavia) and their Neighbours

There was a series of agreements between various members of these groups showing that from the first they appreciated the importance of co operation

Poland | Rossmansa 29 October 1919 a convention based on the Berne Convention of 1890 and providing for through passenger and goods services: 1 July 1921 further provisions relative to account ing arrangements July 1921 an agreement relating to additional frontier stations 30 October 1920 a further agreement relating to frontier stations and operations at the frontier!

Italy/Yugoslama 14 July 1924 a general railway convention based on the Berne Convention, adopting the R I C. and R I V as applicable to through trains, regulating customs procedure, etc ² 12 August 1924 a further convention concerning the use of frontier stations ³ 20 July 1925 an agreement with regard to the international station at Figure 4.

Germany | Czesholo aksa | Austria | Hungary | Yugaslama | Bilgaria | Grette | Timkey April 1923 a conference was held to settle conditions for through service (passengers and luggage) between Germany and Constantinople The management was offered to Hungary, but refused, it was then offered to Germany

LNTS vol CXXI p 167 LNTS vol LXXXII p 327 LNTS, vol LXXXII p 423 LNTS vol LXXXIII p 33

72 EUROPE PROBLEMS ARISING OUT OF THE FIRST WORLD WAR

Poland | Hungary 15 April 1926 a convention in regard to pas senger traffic via Czechoslovakia or Czechoslovakia and Austria

Hungary | Yugoslavia 24 July 1926 general agreements based on the Geneva Convention and Statute of 1923 on the International Régime of Railways (see p 123) adopting the CIM and CIV, RIV and RIC, also covering the régime of frontier stations 1

Hungary | Czechoslovaksa 31 May 1927 a similar general agree ment 2

#### The Polish Corridor

Traffic across the Corndor was regulated by a Convention of 21 April 1921 between Danzig, Germany and Poland ² This differ entiated 'privileged transit' from 'unprivileged' 'Privileged' passen gers travelled in trains reserved for the purpose and were to be for the most part exempt from passport and customs formalties 'Privileged' goods were to be carried in complete trains' Disputes were to go to a Permanent Arbitration Tribunal at Danzig

Poland undertook to keep her lines in repair and adequate for the traffic. Germany undertook to lend her locomotives for five years Goods traffic was to be subject to the Berne Convention of 1890 as revised to 1906

revised to 1906

Further conventions, dealing for instance with express goods,

were signed in 1927 and 1930

Germany undertook to pay Poland in respect of the carriage of passengers and goods across the Corridor, but soon fell into arrears. There was continuous negotiation, and at the end of 1936, when the arrears were over 86 million 2loty, and the monthly charges 7 million 2loty, Germany undertook to pay 15 million 2loty in cash and a possible further 17 million in goods. Poland at the same time made drastic reductions in the rates. Traffic was to be confined to the two shortest routes, instead of five routes as before. No proposal appears to have been made about the payment of the arrears.

#### Relations between Poland and the Baltic States

From the earliest days of the peace, Poland and Lithuania were engaged in an embittered frontier dispute. This not only closed the railways crossing the frontier against through traffic, but even stopped the floating of timber on the river Niemen. Polish Luthuanian con ferences ended in deadlock. In 1927 the League of Nations took hand, but in vain the Transit Conference of the League examined the matter and made recommendations, which were (unwillingly).

LNTS vol XCVIII p 165
LNTS vol LXV p 183
LNTS vol XII p 61

accepted by Poland, but rejected by Lithuania The League then asked the Permanent Court of International Justice if any compulsion could be applied to Lithuania on the ground of international obligations, the Court, in October 1931, replied in the negative

Finally, in 1938, Poland delivered an ultimatum to Lithuania, and as a result a convention of the usual kind concerning railway traffic was stened between the two countries on 25 May 1938

In the meantime, Poland entered into arrangements (September 1926) with Latvia for the carriage of Polish traffic to Lithuana via Latvian territory, but not on through consignment notes. Even here the existence of frontier disputes between Poland and Latvia hindered the flow of traffic, and these disputes were not settled until February 1929.

#### Relations between USSR and Central Europe

USSR | Poland A series of conventions was concluded between the USSR and Poland for regulating and developing their exchange traffic (April 1924, April 1925, October 1925, August 1926) Routes were defined and their number increased, through bookings arranged, time table conferences called, and the terms of the Berne Convention were in effect accepted for application. Provision was also made for the construction of rolling stock suitable for the break of gauge. Developments seem to have been smooth and continuous.

ÜSSR hie Baltic State (Germany Early in 1925 the USSR, Estoma, Latvia, Lithianna and Germany entered into an agreement for the routing of Russian German traffic through the Baltic States named. The USSR preferred this route to the Polish route. The traffic increased considerably, and in October 1923 a further convention was signed at Riga, which, in effect, applied to the traffic the terms of the Berne Convention.

the terms of the Berne Convention

On 12 October 1928 Germany and the USSR agreed to establish through goods tariffs, with most favoured nation treatment 1

 $U \tilde{S} \tilde{R}$  [Ramman Passenger and goods traffic by rail between the two countries was suspended in 1918, and remained suspended for twenty years. In 1938 the reconstruction of bridges across the Director enabled traffic to be resumed on the basis of a new agreement concluded in 1931.

## Relations between Europe and the Far East via Siberia

On 16 December 1925 an agreement was signed establishing a through passenger and haggage service between Japan and Western Europe via Khabarovsk and Riga The signatories were the French,

LNTS vol Cl p 75

74 German, Polish, Lithuanian, Latvian, Estonian and Soviet railways, Soviet maritime authorities, and a Japanese shipping company The terms applied were those of the Berne Convention (CIV) (An international passenger and baggage tariff also operated between Great Britain and the Far East, to which certain British railways were party ) Owing to differences between the Chinese, Japanese and Russians the service passed over the longer route by Khaba

rovsk, and not over the Chinese Eastern Railway (Manchuria) On 1 March 1932 a through freight service was established, pass ing in this case over the Chinese Eastern Railway The terms applied were again those of the Berne Convention (C I M ), and one through consignment note was used for rail sea-rail transport, to or from the interior of Japan

The new route opened up active competition, at much reduced rates, with the all sea route via Suez, and has served mainly to facilitate trade between Germany, China and Japan

#### THE GERMAN RAILWAYS IN THE PEACE SETTLEMENT

Provisions of the Treaty of Versailles

The Treaty of Versailles included, apart from its territorial pro visions, a variety of articles affecting traffic and tariffs on the German railways, and on combined rail water routes

Articles 321 and 365 provided for freedom of transit and equality of treatment for traffic between Allied countries and Germany or passing across Germany Under Article 366 the parties undertook to renew the Berne Conventions, and Germany undertook to accept any revision of them for a period of five years. Under Articles 367 and 368 Germany undertook to help in the establishment of through services and accepted the obligation not to discriminate in favour of emigrant traffic to German ports

For Articles 323 and 325 see p 57 Under Article 378 the whole of the above provisions concerning German railways were to expire at the end of five years, unless (a) the provisions were revised by the Council of the League, who were also at liberty to prolong the period of five years, or (b) reci procity was granted by the Powers claiming the benefit established under any of these provisions The Council took no action in the matter

Under Articles 371 and 372 a Commission of Experts was appointed by the Allied and Associated Powers to decide (1) what amount of rolling stock Germany was to hand over for the equipment of the railways in ceded territory (this rolling stock, along with works, installations and stores in the ceded territories, was to be handed over in good condition), and (2) what should be the special agreements as to the working of branch lines intersected by the new boundaries

# Rhineland Railways

Article 43 of the Versailles Treaty prohibited the upkeep of all 'permanent work of mobilization' on the left bank of the Rhine At the same time, Article 3 of the Rhineland Agreement (concluded 28 June 1919 between Belgium, the British Empire, France, the United States and Germany)1 empowered the High Commissioner to issue ordinances for securing the maintenance, safety and require ments of the Allied and Associated forces Under these provisions the German authorities were called upon to remove certain lines which were regarded as 'works of mobilization', and forbidden to construct certain other lines and works which they claimed to be solely of commercial importance. The French and British views tended to diverge, especially after the treaty of Locarno (1925), which in the British view made it impossible for the occupying Powers to enforce their interpretation of Article 43 upon the Germans Finally, in 1929, France and Germany were left to settle the matter with one another, a number of tracks were removed, and various schemes for quadrupling tracks were to be left in abeyance for twelve years During this period no new railways were to be carried across the Rhine between Maxau and the Dutch frontier

## Distribution of German Rolling Stock

The Commission of Experts (see p. 74) allocated about 10 per cent of Prussian Hessian rolling stock (including locomotives) to be divided between the territories ceded to Belgium, Czechoslovalia Danzig, Denmark, Poland and Memel respectively (Denmark, however, waived her rights) This was in addition to the 5,000 locomotives and 150,000 wagons surrendered under the armistice terms. The Commission finished its work in June 1922

#### Reparations

From 1924 onwards the Reichsbahn was drawn into the reparations question. The Dawes Plan of that year constituted the Reichsbahn as a joint stock company administered by a managing board of eighteen directors, half of whom were to be appointed by a trustee appointed by the Reparation Commission, the other half by the German Government and the private holders of preference shartes. Annual payments to be made by the German Government

BFSP vol CAll p 219

ranged up to 660 million gold marks after the third year. The managing director was to be German, but there was to be a foreign resident Commissioner who would have special powers under the trustee.

The scheme was modified in 1929 by the Young Plan The payments were to remain the same, and the independent character of the company was to be maintained, but foreign participation in the board of management ceased, the German Government were to guarantee the payments, to nominate the members of the Railway Council', and to confirm the appointment of the chairman, managing directors and other directors. The arrangement was not successful and was to have been replaced by a subsequent agreement, signed at Lausanne, which abolished the annual payment, before this could come into force, however, the German Government repudiated the whole of the Reparations Agreements

## Upper Silesia

This province, after a plebiscite, was divided between Poland and Germany The Conference of Ambassadors established a joint Administration for the railways, regulated by the German Polish Convention of 15 May 1922 (Part V Titles VIII and IX) This Convention, which was for fifteen years only, set up a Joint Superior Committee consisting of one German and one Polish member. appointed by their Governments, and an Office Staff provided equally by the two Governments The Joint Superior Committee administered the State Railways in the plebiscite area, and was supplemented by a German and a Polish directorate, responsible under it for the systems in German and Polish territory respectively (Art 398) The Superior Committee dealt with the budget for the State Railways and with all that concerned traffic between the German and Polish systems-rules, tariffs, time tables, wagon distribution and maintenance, also with the compilation of traffic and operating statistics Disputes were to go to an arbitrator, who, failing agree ment, would be appointed by the Swiss Federal Railways His decision could be taken before the Upper Silesian Joint Commission, a general arbitral hody

German and Polish goods wagons would form a park to be used jointly. If this park proved inadequate, Germany and Poland would lend the Upper Silesian Railways additional wagons in conformity with the RIV. The Convention specified the number of wagons to be supplied by Germany and Poland respectively. The repair works, all on German territory, were to work for both systems.

Germany and Poland negotiated fresh agreements to come into force on the expiry of the Convention (15 July 1937) As a result,

ten of the thirteen standard gauge exchange stations were maintained, but the joint frontier arrangements were severely restricted, the joint wagon park was dissolved, and considerable legal difficulties ensued. Generally speaking, the exchange arrangements were assimilated to those existing elsewhere on the German Polish frontier.

REORGANIZATION OF THE AUSTRO-HUNGARIAN SYSTEM Provisions of the Peace Treaties

The break up of the Austro-Hungarian Empire dislocated the Imperial Railway System, and the Treaties of St Germain and Trianon did little to amend this situation

They contained provisions for giving Austria and Hungary free dom of access to the Adnatic, and for granting Czechoslovakia running powers to the Adnatic, also for international arbitration in cases of difference. But these were little more than pious aspirations. The railway system had been broken into fragments. The difficulty was to find means of re-establishing railway communications.

The economic objects of the pic-war system (Austrian or Hungarian) had been (a) the development of the north south traffic, between the industrial districts of Bohema, Moravia, Silesia and Galicia on the one hand and the agricultural districts of the south on the other (also the port of Trieste), and (b) the development of Vienna and Budapest as concentration points and entrepôts, for which purpose they were both singularly well placed.

The east west routes were of secondary importance—first, because the traffic (other than international passenger traffic) was light, and second, because the Danube waterway could readily serve the heavy traffic, such as it was

Yet the inevitable effect of the political break up was to split the north south routes into two or, more often, three sections, whilst

leaving the east west routes more or less intact

The economic effect was unfortunate, since the new Successor States had no interest in maintaining the traffic from north to south via Vienna and Budapest, they were inevitably more interested in using their section of the railways for home development

The effect on the nalways themselves was even more deplotable. To take two instances the main route from the north to Vienna was cut into three sections, Polish, Czechoslovak and Austrian, the main south route from Vienna to Trieste was divided between Austria, Yugoslavia and Italy. Each of these sections had a different tariff, quoted in a different language and a different currency. But, still worse, new frontier stations had to be established, and this is a matter involving delicate negotiations, much patence and heavy

expenditure Austria alone had to arrange for fifteen new frontier stations, all of which, for geographical reasons, had to be on non Austrian territory Hungary, which formerly employed twenty seem international stations mostly on Hungarian soil, now required forty nine, of which forty two were on foreign soil Czechoslowkia required thirty new international stations for her traffic with Austria and Hungary alone Neither the time, nor the good will, nor the finds were available for the resk

Whilst the Austro Hungarian railway system was disintegrated by the peace treaties, the railway systems in the Successor States were chaotic and disconnected. They had to be fused by the construction of new lines and the conversion of branch lines into main lines.

Morqover, in all States alike the railways were paralysed by destruction, decay and lack of rolling-stock

#### The Porto Rosa Conference

The old Austro-Hungarian Eisenhahnbetriebs Reglement disappeared with the Austro-Hungarian Empire, and there was nothing to take its place. The old rarifs and conditions ceased to apply, freight had to be paid in advance, through tickets were no longer available, and the rolling stock position was chaotic. In these circumstances a conference was called in November 1921 at Porto Rosa, at which the Successor States were represented along with British, French and United States delegates.

The Conference drew up four agreements

- (1) establishing a temporary regime for the circulation of rolling stock,
- (2) regarding mutual assistance in rolling stock and repairs,
- (3) regarding the restitution of privately owned vehicles,
- (4) regarding the regulation of international traffic

The Conference also adopted a number of recommendations on the standardization of railway tanffs and advocating the summoning of an international conference to study the general regulation of European transportation

#### Distribution of Rolling-Stock

The Distribution Machinery and Procedure The Treaty of St Germain (Art 318) and that of Trianon (Art 30) each provided for setting up a Commission of Experts to settle the distribution of Austro-Hungarian rolling-stock between the Successor States and Italy These articles were based, in intention, upon Article 371 in the Versailles Treaty, under which the distribution of German rolling stock was entrusted, in the case of each participating country.

to a Special Commission of three members. In those Commissions the Chairman was in fact an arbitrator between his two colleagues, his decision was final and was accepted. But in the case of Austria-Hungary the number of the members on the Commission was necessarily greater, and the chairman's vote had no decisive effect. It was an arbitrator that was needed rather than a chairman, and this oversight opened the way to endless trouble

One Commission was established, in October 1919, with a British chairman and one delegate respectively from Czechoslovakia, Italy,

Poland, Roumania, Yugoslavia, Austria and Hungary

The Commission was in trouble from the first . Roumania maintained that there should be a separate Commission under each treaty The British Treasury refused funds for carrying on the work of the Commission, and no agreement as to the basis for the provision of funds could be reached until 1922, when the Conference of Ambassadors settled that each country should pay in proportion to the share of rolling stock received, a settlement which would appear to leave the whole matter open until the Commission had finished its work Difficulties continued, and in 1923 the Conference of Ambassadors abolished the office of impartial president and granted a right of appeal from the Commission to the Conference itself Austria and Hungary objected, alleging that the Commission was becoming less and less impartial and that the Conference of Ambassadors, which was to be the Court of Appeal, included no representatives of Austria or Hungary They urged that, failing an impartial president, the appeal should be to an impartial arbitration committee

There were differences as to these representations on the Conference of Ambassadors, and in November 1925 the Conference set up a Technical Committee of their own composed of British, French and Italian members, whose function it should be to arbitrate on questions not within the competence of the Distribution Commission

On 24 February 1928, after 181 sittings, the Distribution Commission informed the Conference that their work was finished and asked to be wound up In June 1928 the Polish Government supported this request, but the Conference considered the proposal premature. It was not until August 1931 that the Distribution Commission was dissolved, all outstanding reparation questions having by that time been annulled. In looking back over this laborious and furile series of negotiations, it is difficult not to feel that with reasonable foresight and goodwill the matter could have been more speedily and very much more satisfactorily settled. As already indicated, an arbitrator was required, not a committee, and

st should have been his business to settle disputed points after a full hearing of the interested parties, if, however, a court of appeal from the arbitrator's decision was thought desirable, it should have been the Transit Organization of the League of Nations The matters at issue were technical, not diplomatic, and an appeal to a Conference of Ambassadors seems wholly inappropriate

The question of private wagons, and particularly of their repairs was handled by a special Committee appointed by the Conference of Ambassadors in 1920 This Committee, known as the CCMR. (Comite de Circulation du Materiel Roulant de l'Europe Centrale) in turn gave way to a Committee of Specialists, who finally disposed of the matter by publishing approved lists of private wagons in

1927, 1929 and 1931

The Work of Distribution General The Distribution Commission had the responsibility for the distribution of about 73,000 wagons The Austro Hungarian wagon park alone consisted of 254,000 wagons Of these, some had been destroyed or worn out in the war, some had been seized during the war as 'booty of war' by the Allied and Associated Powers, other wagons belonging to Allied and Associated Powers had been seized by the Central Powers, others again were private wagons used (or seized) for war purposes No reliable lists were available, lists had therefore to be drawn up Each of the Allied Powers was allowed to keep its 'war booty', but there was no definition of this term Roumania had seized many Hungarian wagons long after the Armistice and claimed them as 'war booty' These difficulties had to be met before the numbers of the distributable stock could be ascertained or the principles of distribution fixed In the meantime, the only possible basis for wagon exchange was the 'wagon for wagon' principle Even then difficulties arose as to wagon repair. No State wished to undertake heavy repairs to a wagon which might later be adjudged to another State The number of wagons awaiting necessary repairs was formidable, and increased month by month

In March 1924 the Distribution Commission reported to the Reparation Commission at Vienna on the position then reached It was as follows

- (1) Numbers of Locomotives, Carriages and Vans available for Distribution
  - (a) Austrian Rolling Stock

Census of locomouves complete

Census of carriages and vans nearly complete

Census of wagons not yet dealt with

(b) Hungarian Rolling Stock

No census dealt with for locomotives, carriages or wagons

## (2) Principles of Distribution

/ Some of the States (Poland in particular) were coming to the conclusion that as little change as possible should be made in existing distribution

# (3) 'War Booty'

The special claims put forward by Italy, Roumania and Yugo-slavia had not been adjudicated

A considerable advance was made by June 1924. The Commission had by that time formulated its decision in regard to ordinary standard-gauge rolling stock (Austrian and Hungarian) broadly, by letting each State keep what it had got subject to the transfer by Austria and Hungary of certain listed 'distribution' stock 'Booty' stock could also be kept, but would be treated as the equivalent of 'distribution' stock nod, and claims for the transfer of 'distribution' stock modified accordingly. After this there only remained to be taken decisions about special types of rolling stock, and maintenance equipment. These were dealt with in December 1924 and November 1925 by twenty decisions. But all details were not cleared up until they were finally wiped off in the cancellation of all further reparations under the Reparations Agreements of 1930.

Arrans in Hinigarian Deliveries and Hinigarian Counter-Claims. There were special troubles over the Hungarian wagon pack 2 in per cent of the park as existing in November 1918 was alleged to have disappeared. The Hungarians put this down to the chaotic conditions of the final dibidit, and to unacknowledged seizures of 'boot' stock by Italy, Roumania and Yugoslavia. Those States, on the other hand, claimed that the Hungarian records had been falsified

The Distribution Commission, in September 1925, found against Hungary as regards 9 per cent of the stock (out of the 22 per cent alleged to be missing) and allotted 53 per cent of this proportion (3,650 wagons) to the five States Czechoslovakia, Italy, Poland, Roumania and Yusoslava

Hungary objected, and in November 1925 the matter went to the Technical Committee set up by the Conference of Ambassadors, who again found against Hungary Hungary being still recalcitrant, the Conference of Ambassadors decided that 60 per cent of the 3,650 wagons must be handed over by 31 December 1926 and the balance by 31 January 1927

In February 1927 the Distribution Commission reported that only 1,082 out of 5,650 wagons had been delivered. Hungary gave various explanations and put in counter claims. The matter again came before the Conference of Ambassadors and their Technical Committee in 1927 and 1928, but without result. Both claims and

counter claims were extinguished by the Hague and Paris Reparation Agreements of 1930

War Booty' and 'Armstuce' Rolling-Stock. Italy and Yugoslava alleged that certain wagons (Italy, 509, Yugoslava, 102) which fell to them under the terms of the Armstuce had been illegally removed by Austria and Hungary

In November 1923 the Technical Committee of the Conference of Ambassadors found against Austria and Hungary in respect of 80 per cent of these wagons. Some were returned, but questions of cost of transit and counter claims against Italy in respect of wagons seized at Fiume delayed the final settlement, until the whole dispute was extinguished by the Reparation A greements (1930).

Private Wagons These wagons were broadly of three different

classes

(a) those belonging to private nationals of the Successor States.

(b) those belonging to leasing companies,

(c) those belonging to private railway companies

At the Porto Rosa Conference (1921) agreements were adopted by Italy and the Successor States in regard to each of these classes They did not come within the puriew of the Distribution Com mission, nor could they be claimed as war booty or as forfeit under the Armistice The execution of these agreements was therefore entrusted to the C C M R (see p 80)

The general object of the agreements being the restrution of the wagons to their owners, these last were called upon to submit less of missing wagons, while on the other hand each State having private wagons on hand undertook to advise the foreign owners of such wagons that they were at their disposal awaiting instructions. If the car was in a condition to run, free transportation was to be given to its home station.

It was hoped that the wagons concerned would be restored to their owners in 1922, but in fact the process was still incomplete in 1926, when a detailed memorandum by the Czechoslovak representative was presented to the Distribution Commission. The memorandum classified the vehicles as the property of

norandum classified the vehicles as the proper

(i) Nationals of the original Allied States (ii) Nationals of States or areas which had become Allied owing to

the course of the war,
(iii) Nationals of ex enemy countries

It also listed under seven heads the causes which might account for the non restitution of the wagons, but beyond a reference to the rights of the owners under the original Peace Treaties, and under the Porto Rosa Agreements (which related only to the Successor States), it did not contain any very useful recommendations. In the meantime, the question of payment for transit of the empty wagons led to fresh difficulties. The memorandum was approved by the Distribution Commission and by the Conference of Ambassadors. but the position still remained unsatisfactory. The experience of one private wagon-owner, the Floridsdorfer Mineral Oil Factory, may be taken as typical:

Number of tank wagons claimed as owned before the war · 45

6 were at their disposal at home station .

2 were restored to them.

26 were retained as war booty by Roumania. Italy and Yugoslavia.

11 were lost without trace.

Spare Parts and Maintenance Equipment The Distribution Commission, interpreting the Peace Treaties, in 1925 imposed on Austria and Hungary the obligation to surrender spare parts of vehicles and maintenance equipment. Austria and Hungary objected, and the question passed to and fro between the Conference of Ambassadors. their Technical Committee and the Distribution Commission without approaching any nearer to a solution. The obligations of Austria and Hungary were more clearly defined, but Austria refused compliance on principle, whilst Hungary and Yugoslavia in direct negotiation failed to agree (1928) Finally the dispute was extinguished by the Reparation Agreements of 1930

Costs of Wagon Repairs undertaken by Austria Up to the coming into force of the Porto Rosa Agreements (31 December 1921), Austria had carried out the repairs of wagons belonging to the common park. In December 1927 she put forward a claim for a refund No result was obtained, and the question ultimately lapsed under the Reparation Agreements of 1930.

#### The Sudbaha

This railway was constructed to connect Budapest and Vienna with the Adriatic ports of Trieste and Venice. It was built with foreign capital, three-quarters of which belonged to Allied, Associated and neutral countries. It originally lay wholly in Austrian territory, but when Italy recovered Lombardy and Venuce in 1866, she agreed to pay a rent of 30 million gold francs until 1968 for the section of the railway then on Italian territory In 1915 Italy ceased payment and has not resumed it

The settlement of boundaries after the world war split the railway between four countries, Austria, Hungary, Italy and Yugoslavia, but it still remained important to provide it with unified management of some kind. With this object two agreements were made on 29 March 1923, one between the four countries named, and the other between the Sudbahn Company and the same four countries?

The Company was to be managed by a Board of twenty nine members, two nominated by each of the four countries, four by the National Association of French Holders of Securities, and seventien elected freely by the shareholders in general meeting

Any State on paying a specified minimum rental could take over the operation of the lines in its territory, but the lines would still

remain the company's property

A Committee of State Delegates was to be appointed to look after the stabilishment of through rates, based on total distance, for passengers, baggage and goods, with specific responsibility for keeping local and through rates in reasonable harmony, and for negotiating through rates with adjoining railway Administrations

Austria still remained subject to her Peace Treaty obligation to maintain the pre-war regime of tariffs to Adriatic and Black Sea

ports

The general purpose of the agreement between the Sudbahn and

the four States was to facilitate through traffic, and it deals with this under all its aspects in seventy one Articles

In 1021 the finances of Austria were unsatisfactory, and their

in 1933 the linances of Austina were disastistacity, and their restoration was being undertaken by the League of Nations Sir William Acworth, acting as expert railway adviser to the Austrian Government, expressed the view that the Sudbahn Agreements put unreasonable burdens on Austria

(1) The Sudbahn, as a whole, was less profitable than before the war (2) The Austrian portion was the most expensive to work and the

least profitable

- (3) Austria, apart from making good its own deficit, would have to contribute heavily towards meeting the bonded indebtedness of the Company
- (a) Austria had to pay the Sudbahn compensation for loss of traffic through Trieste, and 22½ per cent of the gross receipts from Austrian State Railway traffic over the Austrian section of the Sudbahn
- (5) Austria had to compensate the pre war headquarters staff of the Sudbahn

The League Commissioner General accordingly vetoed the Agreements It was found that Italy had made a secret agreement with Austria, under which Italy would give Austria financial help in

LNTS vol XXIII p 377 LNTS vol XXIII p 255

return for exclusive preferential treatment on the Sudbahn. In the end Austria received some financial relief and the Sudbahn Agree ments were ratified.

# Local Lines Disputes arising from Changes of Frontiers

The Peace Treattes (St German, Art 320, Tranon, Art 304) dealt with privately owned lines severed by the new frontiers. Such cases were to be the subject of agreement between the Company concerned and the State in whose territories they now found them selves. Any appeal lay to arbitrators designated by the League. In fact, there were various alternative, or successive, channels of appeal. Some cases proved very refractory, and were hardly settled at the outbreak of war in 1939. In general, when appeal was made to the League, the Council asked the Transit Committee, or a report, the Transit Committee, or its Rail Transport Committee, appointed a Committee of Enquiry to see if direct negotiations could still prove effective. In many cases this result was achieved by friendly media tion. Failing this, the Council appointed arbitrators whose decision was binding. The Transit Committee were able to report that in 1931 practically all the cases brought to them had been settled.

The following cases may be noted

1 Boldna Valley Railway A Hungarian concern with 5 kms in Czechoslovakia Appeal to League against Czechoslovakia in 1927 Arbitrators appointed in 1929 Direct settlement a few months later (This was the only dispute in which Czechoslovakia was engaged General principles had been the subject of a Czecho Hungarian agreement in 1927 1)

2 Sopron Korzeg Railway Part in Hungary, part in Austria Appeal to League against Austria in 1927 Arbitrators appointed September 1928 Award generally in favour of Austria issued June

3 St Lorenz Slatina Nance Railway Hungary/Yugoslavia Appeal to League against Yugoslavia in 1933 Committee of Enquity asked parties to make fresh endeavours to settle Agreement made

December 1935, but appeal not withdrawn until July 1938
4 South-East Hungary Railway (Delkeleti) Hungary (Yugoslavia
Appeal to League against Yugoslavia 1929 Friendly settlement
1931

5 Dravavolgy Railway Hungary/Yugoslavia Appeal to League in 1930, followed by friendly agreement in 1931

6 Zeltweg Wolfsberg Unterdranburg Wollan Raulu ay Austria Yugoslavia Appeal to League against Yugoslavia, July 1931 Yugoslavia disputed jurisdiction of League, pleading Hague Agreement of 1930

LNTS, vol LXV p 175

on Austrian Reparations Arbitrators appointed May 1933 Decision May 1934 against Yugoslavia Difficulties arose over payment of sums due by Yugoslavia, certain interests claiming to represent the Company applied for a rehearing, this claim was, however, disputed and the case went to the Austrian Courts Their decision was reported to the League Council in May 1937 The Company still destred to be heard by the League arbitrators, and arbitrators were appointed in September 1937 In December 1937, however, the Company withdrew their appeal, and the matter lapsed.

7 Radkersburg Luttenberg Radway Austria/Yugoslavia Appeal against Yugoslavia in 1932 Yugoslavia adopted the same line of defence as in the previous case Legal Committee of League Transit Committee rejected their contentions, and arbitrial award issued in

January 1934

8 Baris Pakrac Railway Austria/Yugoslavia Company appealed against Yugoslavia in 1932 League arbitrators decided in October 1934 against Yugoslavia, who were called on to pay forty-one annual payments and an annual royalty

9 Hulas Bacsalmas Rygyicza Railway Roumania / Yugoslavia
10 Zsebely Czakovar Boka Railway Roumania / Yugoslavia
Appeal to the League in 1930 Friendly settlement April 1931

TI Torontal Railway Roumania/Yugoslavia Appeal to League

112 Arad Cuand Railway Hungary Roumania This was a dispute between the two Governments, arising from the fact that Roumania had pulled up the frontier connection. In 1923 Hungary made an appeal to the Conference of Ambassadors, but withdrew it in favour of friendly negotiations. In December 1925 Hungary appealed to the League under Article 304 of the Treaty of Tranon Direct negotiations between the two Governments were however resumed and a settlement reached in November 1926. The line was then divided between two companies, the frontier connection restored, and a fair division made of the rolling stock.

13 Syatmar Fehrezyarmat Raihay Hungary fikoumania This was a dead-end line terminating in Hungarian territory Roumania pulled up her section thus isolating the Hungarian section. She claimed that the line could not pay for the frontier services required. The Company appealed to the League under the Treaty of Trannob, but

the League did not proceed

14 Debreezen Hagyarad Railway The circumstances here were broadly the same, the action taken and the result were similar

15 Nagykikinda Arad Railway Hungary Roumania The Company appealed to the League against Roumania in 1929, and in January 1931 the League Council appointed arbitrators The appeal

was abandoned in February 1931, when the Roumanian Government agreed to purchase the part of the railway on their territory. The Government declared that their action in making the agreement was an exceptional measure because the affair had been taken to the League under Article 304 of the Treaty of Tranon. The League Council withdrew the item from their agenda.

16 Gyula Local Rashways Circumstances, procedure and settle-

ment similar to No 15

- 17 Lemberg (Luow) Czernowitz Jassy Raslavay This railway ran originally over Austrian and Roumanian territory After the was the Austrian territory became Polish The dispute was entirely financial, dealing with the obligations of Poland and Roumania to the bondholders and shareholders of the Company, both Austrian and British The Reparation Commission first dealt with the matter and in December 1924 decided in favour of the bondholders under the Treaty of Trannon (Ant 203) Roumania, however, objected to making any payment to German, Austrian or Hungarian nationals, but agreed in July 1926 to give preferential treatment to British shareholders Various offers were made, none of them acceptable, and the affair resolved itself into a dispute between shareholders and bondholders.
- 18 United Temes and Somogy Railways This statiway company was formed in 1913 and was taken over by the Hungarian Government on terms of payment of interest on mortgage bonds with semi annual drawings over fifty years Under the Treaty of Trianon the line was advised between Hungary and Roumanian The Hungarian Govern ment remained liable for their shate of the debt (Trianon, Art 186). But as the Reparation Commission were unable to decide in 1855 that this Article applied to the Roumanian share of the debt, the question of the Roumanian payments to the bondholders (through the Investment Registry) remained subject to negonation. The negotiations, complicated by currency questions, were still proceeding in 1932, and it is not clear how they ended

19 Various local companies, originally Hungarian, appealed to the Roumanian-Hungarian Mixed Arbitral Tribunal They were all purchased by the Roumanian Government under agreements dated

April 1932

#### GREEK RAILWAYS

Salomika Ghevgheli Railaiay There were many points at issue be tween Greece and Yugoslavia These were complicated by earlier Balkan wars and by the peculiar position of the Compagne d Exploitation des Chemins de fer Orientaux (Oriental Railways)

This company, originally Austrian, passed in 1890 under the

control of Austran, German and Swiss banks During the war of 1014-18 the Greeks and Serbs each took over their own section of the line, but it appears that soon afterwards a Yugoslav syndicate acquired the shares of the Company, and in 1923 the Yugoslav Government claimed operating rights into Salonika. The Greeks would not consent, and in October 1925 they arranged to pay off the bonds of the Yugoslav syndicate At the same time the Oriental Railways renounced all claims in respect of the Salonika Ghevgheli Railways.

There remained, however, an unsettled dispute between the Greek and Yugoslav Governments as to traffic between Yugoslavia and Salonika, The two Governments in August 1926 entreed into four conventions intended to dispose of this dispute, but later 2 revolution broke out in Greece, and the new Government repudiated the conventions.

Negotiations with the new Government were successfully con cluded on 27 March 1929 by a Treaty of Fitendship, this was accompanied by protocols relating, niter alat, to the port of Salonika, railway services to Salonika and shares in the Salonika Ghevgheli Railway. It was agreed in particular (1) that Greece should pay Yugoslava twenty million French francs in respect of any claim the Yugoslav Government might have on the Salonika Ghevgheli Railway, (2) that Greece would improve the facilities for Yugoslav transit traffic via Salonika and would apply to it the terms of the Berne Convention of 1924, (3) that Yugoslav transit traffic should have special customs facilities and that these conditions should apply only to Yugoslav transit traffic, to the exclusion of traffic to and from other countries

Salanka Monatire Railway This line, which is mainly in Greck territory, was at one time in the hands of German financiers, who worked it through a subsidiary of the Chemins de fer Orientaux In 1912 the Serbs took over the section in Sech territory, and in 1915 Greece followed the Serbian example. In 1923 the Greek Government acquired the rights both of ownership and of operation French bondholders, however, continued to hold a fainarial share in the line their interest was allowed to fall into arrears, and difficulties arose. These were settled by two conventions on March 1932. The German Government also held certain shares in the railway. In this case, the Greek Government claimed that the shares should be sur rendered under Article 298 of the Treaty of Versailles. The German Government contended that the article was inapplicable, and as far as is known the dispute was still undecaded at the outbreak of was

Dedeagatch-Svilengrad Railway In 1925 the Greek Government agreed to allow the Compagnie des Chemins de fer Orientaux to

continue operating this line. They tried to buy the Company out, but ultimately abandoned the attempt as part of the settlement in respect of Salonika-Gheyghei. During the Second World War the German appointed Greek Government expropriated the Chemins-defer Orientaux, who are now contesting the matter in the Greek Cayil Courts.

The main line bitween Turkey and Bulgaria passes for a short distance over Greek territory. Under Article 107 of the Treaty of Lausanne traffic passing over this section is to be free from frontier formalities or transit dues. Under the same article the Council of the League of Nations appointed a Commission to ensure the observance of this provision. By agreement between the Greek and Turkish Governments this Commission desid operations in January 1931.

#### VII AFRICA

#### INTRODUCTION

T is musleading to regard Africa as a unit, either from the transport point of view or, indeed, from any other point of view. It has no common lustory, social, political or industrial. Though it has reached a high degree of development in the north and in the south, there is no common interest between these two areas, and neither of them has taken much interest or played any part in the gradual development of the western area, the Niger and Congo Basins. At the present time the piecemeal development of the western coastal areas under the aegis of various more or less competing European Powers constitutes an artificial handicap to ultimate transport development, as an addition to the natural physical handicaps from which these areas suffer

The physical features of Africa have always presented an obstacle to transport development. The high central tableland, the lack of navigable rivers, the shortage of coal, the scarcity of water suitable for boilers, all hinder modern transport, but perhaps more import ant than any of these is the prevalence of the issets fly, which has made transport by draught animals impossible over very wide areas, and throws the country back on the system of native head porterage, a system which is costly in every way and notably so in human lives.

Though navigable nvers are few, water power is said to be plentiful, and it is possible that the development of these resources may present opportunities for an extended use of electric power, particularly in the form of electric rail traction. For the present, however, such an advance has barely dawned as a possibility of the distant future.

#### INTERNATIONAL MACHINERY

There is no general international transport organization Southern Africa has, however, felt the value of mutual discussion on transport questions. The Southern Africa Transport Conference met at Johannesburg in 1916, convened by the South African Government Is was attracked by deligates from fourteen territories, in which South African, French, Belgian and Portuguese representatives were included.

The Conference set up two Commissions, one for land transport and the other for air transport. The South African Railways were asked to act as a permanent Secretariat. The next meeting of the Conference was to be held at Lourenço Marques in 1949, but owing to the outbreak of the war it has not yet been held

#### TECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION

In 1927 the railway mileage in Africa was distributed between the various gauges as follows

4 ft 8½ m (standard) 5 ft 6 m 5 ft 5 7m (metre) 2 ft 6  m 2 ft	to 3 per cent 57 2 21 7 48 60
	100 0 per cent

At that time the Crown Agents for the Colonies held that the question of uniformity of gauge in Africa was likely to become a matter of pressing necessity before 1940

In 1936 the Southern Africa Transport Conference held that the African gauge problem was not nearly so senous as that of South America or Australia They agreed, however, that for Southern Africa, as an interrelated region, it was desirable to work towards a gauge of 3 ft 6 in

The two views are in conflict, and it would appear that the view of the Transport Conference is founded upon a closer appreciation of the facts of the case. The development of air and road transport adds further weight to their opinion. The functions of the African railways are in fact so largely local, or at most regional, that the question of standardization of gauge is not likely to assume general importance for many years to come, if at all

#### DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS

In 1933 there were 71,838 miles of railway in Africa, the total had increased by 12,000 miles in the previous decade, but the growth was not evenly distributed. North Africa (Egypt and the Sudan, Algeria, Tunis and Morocco) showed little growth. On the other hand the areas represented on the Southern Africa Transport Conference had extended their railway mileage by 32 per cent—from 29,832 miles to 39,408 miles.

It may be said, generally, that apart from the South African area there has been no development of international communications 92 AFRICA

Strategical considerations might point to the importance of east west trans-African lines, but such roundabout connections of this nature as have come into being owe their development to local considerations of a totally different character

## Cape-to Carro Railway

Cecil Rhodes dreamed of a Cape to-Cairo railway. He could not foresee the development of air travel for the carriage of long distance passengers, and in any event the through connection could have been of little service for the carriage of freight traffic. The fulfilment of the dream is probably more remote at the present date than at any time since Rhode's day.

Actually, Imperial Airways covered the Cape to Cairo route in 1936 in less than seven days. At that date a passenger travelling by rail, lake steamer and river steamer could perhaps have accomplished the journey in forty days, and he would have covered 10,700 kilo metres as against 9,000 kilometres by air. This through route is in fact of little value except for a limited volume of high class passenger travel, and this class of travel is better served by sea or air.

#### North West and West Africa

This territory is well developed along the seaboard, but there is no adequate connexion either by road or rail actors the central desert area to north and east. It would seem that the main north-south and east west routes should cross in the neighbourhood of Lake Chad. It would be possible for the British to link up the east west route at this point. The French are more interested in routes from Dakar to the Niger, and from the Cameroons to Brazaville, after the first world war they began the construction of a line from the Mediterranean to the Niger, a project which had been under discussion since 1875, but so far they have made very little progress with the scheme. Short stretches of line appear to have been built at each end of the route. The Italians have sought to obtain access to Central Africa (Lake Chad) from Libya, but could not obtain the necessary territorial facilities from the French.

Speaking generally, these north west and west territories are capable of constituting an economic unit, but the diverse interests of the Governments concerned hinder the development of such a unity. The problem of continental cross-communication may one day be important, at present its significance is military rather than economic. It seems desirable that the Governments concerned should come together, if only to frame a common railway and communications policy, and to minimize future difficulties in regard to gauge and standardization generally

# OUTLETS FOR THE KATANGA MINERAL REGION

The development of the rich copper bearing Katanga region demanded convenient outlets from the southern part of the Belgian Congo to the sea The route to Beira on the east coast of Africa was unsuitable, and the first connection projected was that with the west coast at Benguella (Lobito) in Portuguese Angola In 1908 the Katanga Railway, the Bas Congo-Katanga Railway, and the Benguella Railway concluded a pooling agreement co-ordinating their construction plans and aiming at the division of their gross reccipts on a mileage basis Nevertheless, the through route was not completed until 1931

The east coast route, however, asserted itself after the first world war, with Dar-es-Salaam as the port of shipment In March 1921 Great Britain undertook to give freedom of transit and equality of treatment to Belgian traffic passing from the Congo area to Dares Salaam 1 Tariff facilities were also to be given, with combined rail and shipping tariffs on goods conveyed by Belgian ships using the ports of Dar es Salaam and Kigoma It may be noted, however, that the route still involved the transhipment of goods at four points, and possible interruption of water transit during periods of drought

In 1926 and 1927 Belgium and Portugal signed a similar agreement with regard to transit to the west coast port of Benguella and with provision, also, for the improvement of the port of Lobito and the completion of a through rail route to Katanga The last gap in this route was closed in 1931 Under another agreement of 19272 an exchange of territory was made which enabled the Congo Railway system to effect a junction with the Katanga Lobito Railway, thus further strengthening the position of the west coast ports in competing for the Congo traffic as a whole The Belgian and Portuguese Governments entered into very close mutual working arrangements as regards use of wagons, through rail and shipping tariffs, etc. In particular, the through rail connection puts the west coast ports in a dominant position vis-a-vis the east coast ports

# INTER TERRITORIAL ARRANGEMENTS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN RAILWAYS

The Union Railways have working arrangements with all the

LNTS, vol. V, p 319 LNTS, vol. LXXI, p 449

94 APRICA

railways of South Africa up to the Congo These provide for through documents, exchange of rolling stock, inter railway accounts, etc. The following special points may be noted

1 The harbours and railways of South West Africa became vested in the Railway Administration of the Union (though separate accounts are still maintained) This has made it possible to effect

considerable reduction in rates and fares

2 The Union system operates the railways in British Bechuana land, and a Commission representing the two Rhodesias and Bechuanaland ensures that the lowest possible rates and fares are charged

3 The Mashonaland Railway Company and the Rhodesia Rail ways under an agreement of January 1937 recognize the special rights of the Union Railways in regard to the ownership of certain sections of the line in British Bechianaland

#### SOUTH AFRICA MOZAMBIQUE

There has been a series of agreements between the Portuguese and South African authorities in regard to the use of the Lourenço Marques port and railways These began with the Transvaal Mozambique convention of April 1909,1 and concluded with conventions between the Union of South Africa and Portugal in 19282 and 1934 The crux of those conventions lay in the allocation of a certain proportion of traffic to Lourenço-Marques from a so-called 'competitive' area. This was not to fall below 50 per cent of the total sea borne goods traffic in certain classes, or rise above 55 per cent, if it passed these limits a readjustment of railway rates was to take place

In 1928 the 'competitive' area was slightly modified and a number of railway clauses favourable to the Lourenço-Marques interests were introduced. In 1933 the Union sought to delete these clauses and to reduce the proportion to 45 per cent, probably in recognition of the growing competition of Durban As a final compromise the clauses were omitted from the 1934 Conventions but the principle was maintained, the new proportion was fixed at a minimum of 47 5 per cent, and it was laid down that all matters not provided for in the Convention were to form the subject of a working agreement between the two railway Administrations

## RHODESIA-MOZAMBIQUE NYASALAND

Rhodesia is so placed geographically as to as to have potential

2 Foreign Office Treaty Series, No 9 (1930) Cind 3495 3 Ibid No 4 (1936) Cmd 5085

BFSP vol CII p 110

outlets, eastward to the port of Beira, and southward to the Cape The southward connection was the first to be undertaken, and successive sections of line from Kimberley via Vryburg (1890), Mafeking (1894), Palapye and Bulawayo (1897), and Salisbury (1902) were opened on the dates indicated

were opened on the dates indicated

The Berra route was slower to take shape An Anglo-Portuguese
Treaty of 1891 provided for the construction by the Mozambique
Treaty of 1891 provided for the construction by the Mozambique
Company of a railway from the Mozambique coast at Fontes Villa
Company of a railway from the Mozambique coast at Fontes Villa
to the 'British Sphere' This railway took gradual shape, it was
extended from the coast to Umtali (1898) and thence to Salisbury
extended from the coast to Umtali (1898) and thence to Salisbury
(1902) In the meantime difficulties arose about port accommodation
(1902) In the meantime difficulties arose about port accommodation
development The Berra Railway Company and the Berra Junction
Railway Company were formed, but though the line was extended
to Berra, the accommodation there still remained inadequate Fresh
agreements were negotiated in the years 1922-6, and suitable
extensions of the port accommodation were finally brought into use
the stream of the port accommodation were finally brought into use

In this way, Rhodesia has secured her outlet both to the east and south Other developments have secured a northward outlet as well the industrial developments of the Northern Territory led to the construction of a railway northward from Bulawayo to Wankie and the Zambesi Bridge (1904), then further north to Kalomo (1905), to Broken Hill (1906), finally the Rhodesia, Valuarya Junction Railway Broken Hill (1906) in the Rodesia system was substantially company continued the connection forward to the Congo border at Misunder (1909) By that date, the Rhodesian system was substantially complete. The companies operated with fair success until the great depression of 1930, at that date it became necessary to overhaul their financial conditions A Conference was held at Cape Town in 1934 and under the so called 'Cape Agreemen' more Town in 1934 and under the so called 'Cape Agreemen' more favourable conditions as regards standard revenue and permissible reserves were established.

The port of Berra is important not only as an outlet from Rhodesia, but also as the main outlet from the Shire Highlands and Nyasaland the Shire Highlands Railway Company was formed in 1902 on the Basis of a concession from the Nyasaland Government. The railway constructed from Blantyre to Chiromo, and (in 1908) from was constructed from Blantyre to Chiromo, and (in 1908) from was constructed from Blantyre to Chiromo, and (in 1908) from was received the constructed from Blantyre to Chiromo, and in 1902 the Central Africa Railway Company obtained a conand in 1912 the Central Africa Railway Company obtained a conand in 1912 the Central Africa Railway Company obtained a conand in 1912 the Central Africa Railway Company bas formed to carry the connection forward to Railway Company was formed to carry the connection forward to Beira. This railway was opened for traffic in 1922, but the Zambesia Beira.

96 AFRICA

Bridge was not completed until 1935 From that date, however, the Shire Highlands and Nyasaland secured a satisfactary southern out let over Portuguese territory Meanwhile the Jine had been extended northward from Blantyre to Chipoka on Lake Nyasa For the pur pose of carrying out this scheme the present Nyasaland Railways Ltd was formed in 1930

Another outlet from Nyasaland is at present under construction A line running west from the port of Mozambique is being gradually bushed towards Lake Nyasa. in 1042 it reached Namutarara, about

250 miles from the coast

#### ABYSSINIA

In 1894 Abyssinia granted a concession to French nationals for the construction of a line from the French port of Jibuti to Addis Ababa The line was duly constructed and, armed with a monopoly, made handsome profits

In 1966 Britain and Italy came to an agreement with France for equal treatment of all three nations on the Jlbutt Radiway and on any concession which might be granted to Britain or Italy ² As part of the agreement it was understood that Italy should have the right to develop any concessions that might be granted for railways northward from Addis Ababa, Britain for railways westward. The three agreed not to compete with one another

In accordance with this agreement Britain agreed in 1935 to support an Italian railway from Entrea in the direction of Addis Ababa, and Italy to support British interests connected with Lake Tana ** Railway questions entered into the abortive Hoare-Lavai plan of 1931, and were the subject of negotiations between Italy and France after the conquest of Abyssima The negotiations failed, moreover, the French refused to hand over to the Italians the \$3,500 shares held in the Jubiu Railway by the Negus As a mark of dissatisfaction the Italians boycotted the railway, at great cost and inconvenience to themselves

BFSP vol XCIX p 486 LNTS, vol L, p 286

#### VIII THE MIDDLE EAST

#### GENERAL

UNTIL the outbreak of the second world war railway commune actions in the Middle East were for the most part disconnected and local in character. International rail routes had been projected and their construction was, in some cases, slowly proceeding. But the primary economic need was for local inter communication, and secondarily for provision of connections with the seaboard as outlets for the production of each country. Turkey alone pursued a bold policy of developing her railway system on western lines. Otherwise the general picture was not unlike that presented by Africa (other than South Africa) and, as we shall see later, by South America International rivalines, as in Africa, influenced and retarded railway developments. It may be noted, however, that with the exception of Southern Iraq the main lines are being built to standard gauge.

#### RAILWAYS IN TURKEY

Before the war of 1914–18 the Turkish railway system in Asia consisted chiefly (a) of the Anatolan railway from Haidar Pasha (on the Bosphorus) to Ankara (577 km) with a branch line from Eskischir to Konya (445 km) (b) of the incomplete Baghdad railway from Konya to Aleppo, Nisibin and Baghdad (720 km out of 1698 km had been completed by July 1914), and (c) of certain British and French lines in western Turkey, radiating from Smyrna There was also the Figure zalway, from Damascus to Medina (1,300 km) This was controlled directly by the Ottoman Government The other railways belonged to companies which had obtained concessions from the Government, the Anatolian and Baghdad railways, in particular, were almost entirely in the hands of the Deutsche Bank

After the war of 19,14-18 the Turkish Government embarked on a policy of acquiring all the railways. This policy has been vigorously pursued ever since, and the last stretch of privately owned line was acquired in 1942-3¹. At the same time, the Government has been carrying out a heavy programme of railway construction 6,722 km of hine were being operated in 1939, of these, 3,013 km had been built since 1933. Perhaps the most important of these new lines, from the international point of view, apart from the Baghdad Rail way, is the connection, with the U S S R via Kayseri, Sivas, Erzerum

¹ League of Nations Aperçu Mensuel des Eisenements Importants dans le Domaine des Transports April 1943

and Kars The effectiveness of this line is limited by the fact that one section of it (Erzerum to Sarikamish) has been built to a narrow gauge (2 ft 3½ in) Its reported, however, that this section is now in process of conversion to standard gauge, which already prevals on nearly all other Turkish railways The stretch from Sarikamish to the Russian border conforms to the Russian gauge of 5 ft A line is being extended from Diyarbekir to Circo on the Iraqi border, and has passed Bismil, where oil has been discovered A line which will ultimately connect Turkey with Iran, passing by Lake Van, has been commenced

#### BAGHDAD RAILWAY

The construction of the Baghdad Railway has been the subject of negonation for over fifty years. In 1903 a concession was granted to the Anatolian Railway, and a section of 720 km had been con structed by July 1914 (see p 97). The concession was for ninety-nine years, and provided for the continuation of the railway beyond Baghdad to Basra and a point on the Persian Gulf. Great Birtain had opposed the extension of the railway to the Persian Gulf, and in 1914 Germany, Great Britain and Turkey agreed to fix the terminus at Basra.

During the first world war work on the Baghdad Raulway was continued on account of its strategic importance. At the end of the war the line from Konya to Nisibin (1,101 km) had been completed, while on the Mesopotamian side a stretch of 135 km was in operation.

After the war Germany was compelled to transfer German interests in the railway to the Reparation Commission (Versalles Treaty, Art 260) The Commission thus became possessed of 13,110 shares out of 30,000, the rest were held

8,000 by a French group, 3,000 by the Anatolian Railway, 3,000 by the Turkish Government, 2,490 by various owners

This transfer was followed by prolonged disputes as to the owner ship of the various shares and the rights of the owners, in the course of which the French and British interests were often in conflict The Turkish Government, and to some extent the German ex shareholders, appreciated the advantage of these differences. In any event, little practical progress was made until October 1932, when France and Turkey came to an agreement about the section of the railway on each side of the Turco-Systan border. Conditions of operation, distribution of rolling stock, etc., were to be agreed

between the Administrations concerned, whilst both Governments announced their intention of working the system to the best advantage and of promoting its extension eastward

The work of construction was resumed along the original route across North Syria to Mosul and thence along the west bank of the Tigris to Baghdad, where it meets the metre gauge of the Iraqi system On the night of 17 July 1940 the first passenger train left Baghdad for Haidar Pasha. The trains now run through Anhara instead of through Konya It has been agreed that the Turkish State Railways shall operate the railway as far east as the Iraqi frontier, while the section beyond the frontier is operated by the railways of Iraqi

Even before the completion of the railway, through trains ran from Haidar Pasha to Nisibin. With the completion of new Turkish Lines to the Black Sea ports of Zonguldak (from Ankara) and Samsun (from Sivas) there are now direct rail connections between Baghdad and these ports

#### HEJAZ RAILWAY

This railway was built, with religious funds, by the Sultan Abdul Hamid in the first decade of the present century. It extends from Damascus to Medina (1,302 km), with a gauge of 103 metres (3 ft 3,34 in). The construction of the line had for its ostensible object to facilitate pilgitim travel to Mecca, but it is probable that the Sultan also had the political and strategic importance of the railway in his mind. The first part of the line, from Damascus to Ma'an, was opened in 1904, and the last section, terminating at Medina, was finished in 1908. An extension to Mecca was contemplated, but was never carried out.

After the first world war a dispute arose as to the ownership of the railway, by arbitral award (April 1925) it was laid down that the ownership had passed to the States in which it was then situated, Syria, Palestine, Transjordania and the Hejaz. At that date the railway did not operate further south than Ma'an in Transjordania. The section in the Hejaz was (and still is) derelict. No section of the railway was paying its was.

The British and French Governments established an Advisory Council with Moslem members representing the four territories named and two others representing the Moslem world at large, but little progress was made. On behalf of the Government of the Heigz, Ibn Saud claimed the whole railway Conferences were held in 1928 and in 1935 without agreement being reached. Finally, in 1938, Great Britian agreed to contribute £30,000 to the reconditioning of the Hejaz section, if Ibn Saud would find the rest of the money

required (about £300,000) Nothing was accomplished before the outbreak of the second world war, and the matter is still in abeyance. The line has no economic importance, its significance is now purely religious.

#### DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS

The railways of the Asiatic Middle East form no connected international system, but national economic policies which developed after the first world war point to a more constructive policy. Turkey has begun construction on railways towards the Iraqi and Iranian frontiers. I rain has completed the construction of a trans Iranian line connecting the Caspian with the Persian Gulf. A physical connection between the Iranian and Iraqi systems still remains to be established, but Baghdad is connected to the Mediterranean via Mosul. A Baghdad Haifa line was surveyed in 1931, but failed to obtain the necessary financial support from the Governments concerned Rail connections with India, whether through Afghanistan or Balu constant, offer small prospect of success.

The second world war created a more urgent situation and brought marked changes The recent construction of the standard gauge line between Tripoli and Haifa puts Cairo into direct touch with the Bosphorus The proposed Baghdad Haifa line assumes a new strategic importance as an alternative or relief route to the Suez Canal Iranian rail communications have been modernized and extended to convey supplies to Russia Although oil is now conveyed very largely by pipe line, the future seems to open out favour able prospects of a wide extension of international rail transport in the Middle East. In this connexion attention may be drawn to the treaty1 concluded between Iran and the USSR at Teheran (27 August 1935), under which the two Governments undertook to grant each other most favoured nation treatment in the transport of passengers, luggage and goods by rail, land, water or air, also transport rates and conditions as favourable as to their own nationals This treaty was valid only for three years and expired in June 1938 A new treaty was signed on 25th March 1940 Although ratifications of this treaty have, so far as is known, never been exchanged, the instrument entered into force provisionally from the date of signature

[&]quot;LNT'S voi CEXXVI p 299

# IX THE FAR EAST

### CHINA

 $R_{
m began \, towards \, the \, close \, of \, the \, last \, century}$  At that time China, under an Imperial Government, was prepared to grant concessions to foreign capitalists to construct and manage her railways These concessions were granted for specific periods of years. In 1912, however, China became a republic, and a new policy was inaugurated The Chinese Republican Government brought the railways gradu ally under State ownership, but the foreign financiers obtained the right to provide the technical and financial experts to manage and operate the railways In the case of new railways built after 1912, foreign loans were made to the Chinese Government for their construction, but it was as a rule left to China to construct and manage the railways with the help of approved foreign technicians Later, and especially after the civil wars (1925 and onwards), the Chinese have to an increasing extent taken over the whole manage ment and operation of their railways

During the first period, that of the Imperial Government, Russian, British, French, German and Belgian interests obtained various concessions To name the principal concessions only in 1896 and 1898 the Russians obtained the right to build the Chinese Eastern Railway (the history of these concessions is given later in some detail on pp 102-106) The British built the Tientsin Taku line, and extended this later (1896) to Peking and Mukden, they also built the Shanghai Nanking railway The French and Belgians (1897) built the Peking Hankow line, and the Germans (1898) the Shantung Railway In 1910 the French obtained a concession in Yunnan,

which they retained until 1943 During the second period, that of the Republic, and indeed from 1908 onwards, the policy followed has been that of making loans to the Chinese Government for railway construction A group of banks, representing American, British, French, German, Japanese and Russan interests, agreed in 1912 to co-operate in future general loans to China 1 After the first world war, German and Russian interests ceased to play a part, and in 1920 a new Consortium was formed in which American, British, French and Japanese financial interests participated The Japanese, before agreeing to take part in the Consortium, stipulated that their special position in Manchuna should be recognized by the three other participants, and that the

¹ Chang Kia ngnau China i Struggle for Railroad Divelopment New York 1943 p 66

South Manchurian system in particular should be outside the sphere of the Consortium

The development, and to some extent the management, of the Chinese railways, in 1906 came under the supervision of the Chinese Ministry of Communications (later Ministry of Railways). The results, financially and technically, were at first (1906–25) not unsatisfactory, but since the outbreak of civil war in 1923 a steady deterioration has set in

A return made in 1926 enumerates twenty four foreign loans issued between 1899 and 1923 and gives the following particulars in regard to them

Total sum issued £44 730 000
British share £17 250 000
Still outstanding (1926) £35 925 000
British share £12 500 000

In 1934 M Monnet, who had carried out an investigation in contact with the League of Nations, found that the Chinese railways were indebted to the extent of \$1,000 million, of which \$250 million was in respect of arrears of one kind and another. He found also that during the not very favourable years 1921–32 the annual net cash earnings of the railways had been \$25 million, but in 1934 payments of \$18 million only were being made, of which one-third was in respect of amortization.

The operating ratio had risen from \$4 per cent over the period \$1920-4\$ to 68 per cent over the period \$1928-32\$. This was in part due to the deterioration of the property, but still more to the increase in the number of people employed, which was out of all relation to the volume of traffic carried. The Japansee policy of provoking 'incidents' has also seriously hampered management M Monnet estimated that if they were properly reorganized the railways could be placed upon an economic footing, but they would need large sums to be spent upon repairs to track, workshops and rolling stock (\$67 million) and upon new construction (\$66 million). The continuance of civil war, followed by the outbreak of the war with Japan, has prevented any such scheme from being realized.

#### MANCHURIA

The first railway to be constructed in Manchuria was an extension of the Peking Shanhalkwan Railway north-eastwards from the Grat Wall to Mukden (419 km) The construction of this railway (1890) was due to British enterprise, but the railway soon became a Chinese Government railway

About the same time, as has been seen, Russia obtained concessions at the other end of Manchuria The Chinese Eastern Railway Com

MANCHURIA 103

pany was founded in 1896 by the Russo Chinese Bank (later called the Russo-Asiatic Bank), a concern in which Russian, French and Chinese capital was engaged A great chord line was constructed across the full breadth of Manchuria from Chita in Siberia to Vladivostok (1,480 km on Manchurian territory), together with a connecting line from Harbin on the chord line, through Changchun (Hsinking) and Mukden to Port Arthur and Dairen. These lines were built to the Russian gauge and had no physical connection with the standard gauge railway from Shanhaikwan to Mukden The whole system, known as the Chinese Eastern Railway, was opened in sections between May 1903 and July 1905, and the initiative throughout was purely Russian. The object, in the first instance, was to shorten the route of the trans-Siberian Railway to Vladi vostock. in the second instance, to gain access to ice-free ports at Port Arthur and Dairen (Dalny) The railway served as an instrument of Russian penetration into Manchuna, and it was a contri butory cause of the Russo-Japanese War of 1904-5

After the Russo Japanese War the system was split in two The northern half continued to be known as the Chinese Eastern, whilst the southern half became the South Manchuna Railway It will be most convenient to treat the histories of these two sections separately

and to begin with the South Manchuria Railway

### The South Manchuria Railway

After the Russo-Japanese War, Russia ceded to Japan her rights in the Chinese Eastern system south of Changshun (Hsinking). This cession was confirmed by a Sino Japanese agreement of December 1905. For the operation of this line the South Manchuna Railway was formed under Imperial Ordinance of June 1906. The South Manchuna Railway was in fact a large industrial combine with a harbour at Dairen (Dalny), coal mines, power stations and other non railway activities. The railway was converted to standard gauge, but there was still no physical connexion with the Pekin Mukden line at Mukden.

From the outset the Japanese policy was to use the railway for the development of Southern Manchura, and to feed their port and shipping at Daren They did not extend the railway, which consisted solely of the main line from Changchun (Hsinking) via Mukden to Daren and a second him from Mukden to the port of Annung, but they appear to have looked at first for Chinese co-operation. The task of building feeder railways was accordingly left to the Chinese, the Japanese advanced the money on loan, but the terms were nominal, the railways seldom paid their way, and the Chinese seldom paid either interest to sinking fund. In course of time feeder

lines were constructed, under Chinese management, to outlying points, such as Hailung, Kirin, Tungliao, Taonan, and the port of Newchwang at the north west base of the Dairen peninsula was connected with the Peking-Mukden line

If there ever were hopes of Sino-Japanese co-operation these were soon dashed. The Chinese could not accept the dominance of a Japanese organization, such as the South Manchuria Railway, in their territory. They set themselves, from 1923 onwards, to build lines of their own, without Japanese sach or co operation, and to link these into a system competitive with the South Manchuria Railway. Their efforts, owing to the weakness of the central Chinese Government, were ill combined and ill directed, but were enough to alarm the Japanese The discussions and negoriations which followed were complicated and prolonged, it is not necessary to follow them here, and it must be enough to say that though there were elements in both countries which hoped for accommodation, Chinese national feeling was at stake on the one side, while, on the other, the Japanese military parry steadily worked for a rupture

In the last stage before the rupture the Chinese were working to divert traffic from the South Manchuria Railway to the Chinese system of competitive lines, which covered nearly 1,000 km, and to divert export traffic to the port of Newchwang, or to a new port, developed by themselves, at Hulutao, nearer to the frontier of China proper The Chinese competition was favoured by lower rates, due to currency conditions, and a large volume of traffic was diverted. notably from Dairen to Newchwang and Hulutao The Japanese did their utmost to maintain the Port of Dairen, which had been hard hit by the trade depression of 1929 and later years, but they had also to fear the competition of Vladivostok for traffic from Central Manchuria Accordingly they turned their attention to the establish ment of a port on the Korean coast at Rashin near the Siberian frontier, and to the utilization or construction of connecting lines from Changchun to Rashin by way of Kirin and Tunhua The completion of this route would have dealt a severe blow to Chinese ambitions, but it was not completed when the final breach between Japan and China took place

On I March 1932 Japan proclaimed the independence of Manchultuo The South Manchultu organization was charged with the administration of all the railway lines south of Changehun, whether built by Japanese or Climese capiral, and has welded them into connected system; the Japanese have also completed the connection to the port of Rashin in Korea, and they have developed Darea and Rashin as the principal centres for the export and import traffic

of the new State

# Chinese Eastern Railway

The northern section of the old Chinese Eastern Railway, the chord line and the branch from Harbin to Changchun (Hsinking) remained in Russian hands after the Russo-Japanese War In 1909 a proposal was made by the United States to enable China to redeem the railway and have it administered by an international commission, but this proposal was opposed by Russia and Japan, and was not supported by France or Great Britain It was accordingly dropped

After the war of 1914-18 and the Russian Revolution, the line came, nominally, under Allied control In fact, China administered the railway until 1924, when the Chinese Government recognized the Soviet Government and entered into an agreement with them The agreement pronounced the railway to be a purely commercial enterprise, and declared that its future was to be decided by China and the USSR to the exclusion of any third party. The direction was to be entrusted to a Board of five Chinese and five USSR directors, and the management was to be equally divided between the two countries

Japan reasserted her claims and continued to promote the expan sion of railways in South Manchuria Competition arose between the two, the Japanese system used the standard gauge and the port of Dairen, the Chinese Eastern Railway used the Russian gauge and the port of Vladivostock The Chinese Eastern was, in fact, isolated by its gauge, and the competition developed in favour of Japan In this conflict, Chinese nationalism was also engaged in opposition to Russian influences in North Manchuria Disputes broke out, 'incidents' occurred in 1926 and again in 1929 In the latter year, Chang Tso lin, temporarily in control in Manchuria, turned out the Russian managers and replaced them by Chinese, in defiance of the treaty of 1924 War seemed imminent, but an agree ment reached in December 1929 at Khabarovsk restored the operation of the treaty

Fresh trouble arose upon the creation of the Manchukuo State by Japan The Japanese set themselves to oust the Russians from their share of the railway, and in due course they succeeded By agreement of March 1935 Russia sold her rights in the Chinese Eastern for 140 million yen, and all the Russian staff were withdrawn. The Chinese protested that the Soviet had no right to enter on such an agreement, but the railway fell wholly into Japanese hands It has been converted to standard gauge on Manchukuo territory, that is, from Manchuria on the western frontier to Suifenho on the

eastern (1,480 km ) and south to Changchun The Trans Siberian Railway Service is no longer running, and it is understood that a length of line near the frontier at Suifenho has been taken up A new trans Siberian railway further removed from the Japanese sphere of influence is under construction. It is planned to reach the Pacific opposite the island of Sakhalin. (Information up to November 1941)

## THE CHINA-BURMA CONNECTION

Schemes for linking China with Burma by rail have been under consideration for nearly fifty years The physical difficulties are very great and the financial problem has proved insoluble. The last proposal in 1938 was for a Burma Yunnan Szechuan railway The length of line would have been approximately 1,600 km., and the route proposed was Lashio-Kunlong-Kunming Suifu, and thence by the existing lines to Chungking To the Chinese this constituted their last hope of a rail connection with the outside world. The French Government was not unfavourable, seeing in the proposition a possibility of extending their Haiphong Kunming railway to Chungking, and they agreed to guarantee credits for the Kunming Suifu section Nevertheless, the Sino French negotiations ultimately broke down over the question of security Some construction work was done, but was interrupted by the Japanese occupation of Burma No progress was made in regard to the Lashio Kunlong Kunming section

#### X AMERICA

### PAN-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENTS

THE Pan-American Conference met first in 1889-90 and set up an inter Continental Railway Commission to survey routes for a Pan-American Railway Eight conferences have been held and have passed resolutions, but the Pan-American Railway is still unrealized, and with the advent of the aeroplane it seems likely to remain no more than a pious aspiration

In 1935 the Pan-American Commercial Conference (Buenos Aires) took up the question of the unification of the laws and regulations concerning railway transport, and with this object in view recommended the formation of national and international committees. It does not appear that any further progress has been made

## NORTH AMERICA

The development of the railway system in the United States and Canada is too well known to need detailed reference here. The railways of the United States have grown up in an atmosphere of private enterprise and fierce competition which has certainly provided an ample mileage and equipment to meet the public demand for transport, but has also on more than one occasion shaken the whole structure to its foundation. The crisis of 1930-5 was such an occasion, and although since that date the railways have regained a moderate degree of prosperity, they now find themselves face to face with growing competition from the air and from road transport Nevertheless, they have profited from their disastrous experiences to learn the lesson of co-operation both nationally and internationally The period of embittered rate wars has now given place to a period of increasing harmony and mutual agreement. In particular, Canadian and United States railways work well together, in spite of the inevitable competition entailed by their geographical relationship The Interstate Commerce Commussion (USA) and the Canadian Board of Commissioners, which are the governmental rate fixing authorities, cultivate close and friendly relations with each other

## INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

There is no inter-governmental railway organization, but the inter administrational organization known as the Association of American Railroads (A A R) plays a very important part, including

as it does all the principal railroads in Canada, the United States and Mexico

The Association itself is comparatively new, having been formed in 1934 by the merger of the American Railway Association, the Association of Railway Executives, the Railway Accounting Officers' Association, the Railway Treasury Officers' Association, the Bureau of Railway Economics, and other organizations. Most of these organizations in turn represent amalgamations going back to the formation of such bodies as the Master Car Builders' Association in 1867, the Time Conventions formed in 1872, and the Railway Accounting Officers' Association in 1888 The broad minded intel ligence which brought all these long established, separate bodies into one co-ordinated organization within the last ten years deserves special observation. It may be particularly noted that in the matter of exchange of freight cars the A A R not only regulates the terms of the exchange, but through its appropriate committees directs the daily flow of freight cars, and marshals fleets of cars to meet peak demands, such as the movement of a heavy crop, without regard to the individual ownership of the wagons themselves, but subject always to the appropriate payments for hire, repairs, etc precedent may be considered to have its lesson for European application

It is interesting to note, however, that in certain other directions the European railways, in spite of the greater diversity of nationalities and languages, have carried co-operation further than it has vet gone in America. There is no organization in America comparable with the European Time Table and Goods Train Time-Table conferences, nor is there any such body as the B C C for the division and distribution of recents.

STRUCTURE OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF RAILROADS

The Association is organized in four departments

(r) Operations and Maintenance, (z) Law.

(2) Law,

(3) Traffic,

(4) Finance, Accounting, Taxation and Valuation

Each of these is again subdivided into separate Divisions, Sections, Bureaux and Special Committees, and these have their headquarters in New York, Chicago or Washington as convenience may dictate As an indication of the comprehensive nature of the organization it may be well to deal with each department in greater detail

1 Operations and Maintenance Department

(a) Operating Transportation Division (Hdqrs , Chicago)

This is organized in eight Sections as follows

Operating Section (New York), organized 1884, prepares, revises and interprets standard codes for train operations, block signals and interlocking

Transportation Section (Chicago), deals with rules and regulations governing car service, demurrage and storage, issues Official Rail way Equipment Register covering nearly two million cars used in interchance service

Freight Station Section (Chicago), organized 1888, deals with freight station working and with questions of special interest to freight

agents, has local committees in every large city

Medical and Surgical Section (New York), organized 1920, deals with matters of health, physical standards and petiodical examinations of staff

Protective Section (New York), organized 1919, deals with police protection of passengers, freight, and property, has reduced thefr of railroad shipments from ten million dollars in 1921 to one half million dollars in 1931

Safety Section (New York), organized 1921, organizes educational, preventive, and statistical methods for railroad safety, e.g. concerning grade crossings and trespassers

Telegraph and Telephone Section (New York), organized 1882, deals with standards for telephone and telegraph equipment, operating

practices, and improvement of methods

Fire Protection and Insurance Section (New York), organized 1913, deals with methods of fire prevention, publishes a handbook and issues information and instructions

(b) Engineering Division (Hdqrs, Chicago)

This is organized in three Sections as follows

Construction and Maintenance Section (Chicago), organized 1919. This section carries on its work through the technical committees of the American Railway Engineering Association, which was organized in 1899. It deals with standards, and practices relating to design, construction and maintenance of permanent way and structures.

Electrical Section (Chicago), organized 1906, deals with design, installation and use of electrical apparatus of all kinds, including illumination, traction and heating

Signal Section (New York), deals with standards of railway signalling apparatus and practice Began operations as the Railway Signalling Club. 1801

(c) Mechanical Division (Hdqrs , Chicago)

Began as the Master Car Builders (1867) and the American Railway Master Mechanics Association (1868) It deals with problems affecting the design, building, maintenance and repair of railroad rolling stock and mechanical equipment generally. It experiments with new designs and materials, and carries out continuous tests and research at its laboratory at Purdue University, under the direction of its own research engineer. It is responsible for manda tory rules about the loading of cars, the maintenance of air brakes, and similar questions, and it issues a series of manuals of standard and recommended practice on the subjects with which it deals. Its work on standardization of constructional details has been extremely effective in educing agest a variety of press to implement (each in the contraction).

work on standardization of constructional details has been extremely effective in reducing great variety of types to uniformity (see p 134). The Division maintains a Mechanical Inspection Department and

an Arbitration Committee

It has recently (1937) established an Electrical Section, which deals
with problems involved in the use of electricity on railroad equipment

(d) Purchases and Stores Division (Hdgrs, New York)

Originally organized in 1904. Its name sufficiently indicates the questions with which it deals. In co-operation with the Engineering and Mechanical Divisions it has carried through most useful work in the simplification and standardization of stores such as rivets, copper ferrules and tubing, steel boiler sheets, etc., and further work of the same kind is proceeding.

(e) Freight Claim Division (Hdqrs, Chicago)

Originally organized in 1892. It maintains travelling representatives all over the United States. If publishes a code of Principles and Practices affecting the settlement of freight claims, and works in close co operation with traders both for the settlement and the prevention of freight claims. In furtherance of this policy it has promoted the 'Perfect Shipping and Careful Handling' movement initiated in recent years by the Shippers' Advisory Boards.

(f) Motor Transport Division

Organized in 1928 as a clearing house for information in regard/ to road motor services conducted by railways

(g) Car Service Division (Hdqrs , Washington)

Was organized in 1917 The division undertakes all the obvious duties connected with the flow of freight cars from one railroad to another, the observance of the Car Service Rules, etc. It also takes the responsibility for meeting special demands, heavy crops, emer generes, etc. It co-operates with the Interstate Commerce Commission in all car service matters. It is responsible for anticipating car loading requirements and maintaining contact with shippers.

The formation of Regional Shippers Advisory Boards (1922-3) marked a great advance in this direction. These are voluntary

organizations of shippers, railway representatives are encouraged to attend their meetings, but are not members. There are thirteen of them covering the whole of the United States, correlated by a National Association, formed in 1937. These bodies, in general, meet quarterly and forecast car requirements for the following quarter. The Car Service Division of the Railroad Association combines and issues these forecasts, which have become important as a business barometer. It also issues weekly statements of cars loaded. The general result has been a marked improvement in car supply.

Besides the seven divisions enumerated above, the Operations and Maintenance Department includes two Bureaux and two Special Committees The Bureaux are

- (a) Preight Container Bareau (1921) with headquarters at New York and service offices at Jacksonville, Harlingen (Texas), San Francisco and Seattle It deals with specifications for containers, loading rules, tariffs, etc, and co-operates with shippers and receivers of freight in devising improved packing or improved types of container for particular commodities.
- (b) Bureau for the Safe Transportation of Explosives and other Dan grous Articles (1906), with headquarters at New York It makes inspection at works for the manufacture of explosives, etc., conducts investigations into mishaps, formulates rules, etc. It maintains a chemical laboratory (South Amboy, New Jersey) and co-operates with shippers all over the United States and Canada. Its operations have ensured a very high degree of safety. In 1938, you million pounds of dangerous explosives were handled without a single accident or casualty.

The two Special Committees are

- (a) Committee on Automatic Train Control and Signals (1921) This Committee co-operates with the Interestate Commerce Commission in investigations and tests, also in the application of the Signal Inspection Act
- (b) Joint Committee on Grade Crossing Protection (1930) It cooperates with Governmental and other public authorities in order to standardize and improve safety measures at level crossings

Both these Special Committees have their headquarters at Washington

### 2 Law Department

This department deals with questions of law and legislation. It tepresents the railroads as a whole before the Interstate Commerce Commission, and before commistees of Congress. It also circulates

information on legal matters affecting the railroads. It includes one division known as the Patent Division, which deals with matters of patent law and the common use by all railroads of useful patented devices. The headquarters of the department are at Washington.

## 3 Traffic Department

This branch has its headquarters at Washington, and deals with all questions affecting railway rates and tariffs, routing, publication and simplification of tariffs, etc.

It co operates with traders organizations and with the Interstate Commerce Commission on a variety of questions. In particular, it has a permanent Taniff Simplification Committee, and a special committee studying the simplification of freight classification, in co-operation with the United States Department of Commerce it has adopted a simplified form of shippers' manifests for import and export traffic.

## 4 Finance, Accounting, Taxation and Valuation Department

This department is organized in three Divisions, all with head quarters at Washington

(a) Accounting Division

This began in 1888 as the Association of American Railway Accounting Officers. It issues mandatory rules on inter railway accounting, and maintains committees for arbitration and appeal on disputed questions. It also issues lists of 'recommended practices' on matters of internal accounting, with the intention of promoting simplicity and uniformity. It co operates on these questions and on statistical requirements with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

(b) Treasury Division

This Division, which was organized in 1907, endeavours to establish uniform rules in regard to methods of making and receiving payments, collecting charges, banking arrangements, etc. It is organized in seven territorial groups

(c) Valuation Division

'This was originally organized in connection with the Valuation Act of 1913, which provided for the valuation of all rulroad property It now co-operates with the Bureau of Valuation of the Interstate Commerce Commission in keeping the inventory and valuation up to date

Finally, the research and publishing activities of the Association deserve mention

The Bureau of Railway Economics (1910), with headquarters at Washington, collects facts and statistics, carries on research, and issues statistical surveys covering the whole field of transportation

economics These have great authority in Government, business and educational circles The Bureau has a library of 200,000 volumes at Washington This is open to the public, its reference division holds itself ready to supply information in regard to transportation

Competitive Transportation Research (1934) This Division is attached questions generally to the office of the President of the Association at Washington, and functions under the direction of the Economist of the Association It collects information and studies problems connected with com petitive conditions on roads, railways, waterways and airways

Public Relations The task of presenting to the public the facts about the functions and activities of the railroads is one of such importance that it is entrusted to the President of the Association himself, acting through his own office in co-operation with the

Publications The Association has issued a very large number of individual railroads publications, ranging from pamphlets up to the most elaborate technical productions Most of these are made available to the

public, either for sale or by free distribution

It has also issued a great variety of Standard Codes and Manuals of Recommended Practice, covering every aspect of railway construction, operation, accounting, etc. The principal railways of Canada and Mexico adhere as a general rule to these codes and recommendations

Generally, the Association of American Railroads performs for the North American continent and some 300,000 miles of line what the VMEV did in Central Europe—or all the European organizations together throughout the whole of Europe But the AAR Regulations have no binding force, and in this they are unlike some of the regulations issued by the European organizations

# TECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION

The standard 4 ft 8½ in gauge is common to almost all Canadian and United States railways and to the main lines of the National

The over all gauge is not so uniform. Thus engines on western Railways of Mexico United States lines are built to a more liberal clearance limit than on lines east of Chicago There are also varying weight limits, for instance, many United States locomotives are too heavy for Mexican

lnes, and particular bridges may impose local limitations lnes, and particular bridges may impose local limitations. The standardization of wagons has gone a good deal further than The standardization of locomotives The AAR has developed the standardization of locomotives

standard box-cars of 40 ft 6 in and 50 ft 6 in length Canadian railways are working towards the 40 ft 6 in standard But many other varieties are still to be found

#### INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE OF ROLLING-STOCK

In normal times cars move freely over the North American continent on the basis of a per diem charge (in general, \$1 oo per day) and certain accepted Car Service Rules, for instance, Canadian National Railway wagons pass with newsprint from Quebec to Mexico. There

is no railway pool of wagons

In war time greater freedom is allowed, e.g. as to the return loading of privately owned cars, at the same time it has been found necessary to control more closely the exchange with Mexico owing to the accumulation of wagons south of the Mexican border. Traffic from Mexico northbound to the United States increased by over 120 per cent between the years 1941 and 1943, and nearly 60 per cent of this traffic ranked as stategie material. The situation called for turgent action, and, by agreement between the two Governments, special steps have been taken at United States expense to assist the Mexican railways in the handling of war time traffic, the specding up of car repairs, and other similar operations. The results have been satisfactor to all parties.

Steps are also being taken to improve the exchange of traffic with

the narrow gauge Central American railways

#### OTHER INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

# International Carriage of Goods and Passengers

Rules for the handling of inter line freight claims are common to the United States and Canada, being laid down by the A A R. For instance, claims must be made to the carriers within four months of the date of delivery. There are, however, minor differences, e.g. as to the time limit for overcharge claims. Waybills, whether issued in Canada or the United States, are accepted for through movement.

There are no inter line freight claim settlements or through inter line freight arrangements with Mexican lines

### International Time Tables

Time tables, through running schedules, etc, are arranged be tween individual companies, or at most by regional Passenger (or Freight) Associations

# International Accounting

Accounts between United States and Canadian lines are settled between companies in accordance with A A R rules, just as between United States lines themselves There are no such settlements, and no through booking, with Mexican lines Canadian railways publish freight rates to the 'Rio Grande Crossings' (i.e. the Mexican U.S border) and at the Crossings the cars must be re-billed to the final destination

# TRANS BORDER RAILWAYS

In 1936 United States railroads controlled 1,556 miles of line across the Canadian border, while Canadian railways controlled 7,312 miles across the United States border The Canadian Pacific Railway owned a section of line which traversed the State of Maine, and freight trains were transited under customs seal The case has been likened to the Polish Corridor A similar case may arise with the construction of a United States line across Canada to Alaska

It has not been found necessary to have any special laws or regulations for these trans border railways

# TARIFFS AND CLASSIFICATION

With the object of simplifying rail tariff calculations and creating the closest possible traffic relations, certain 'classification territories' have been mapped out in the United States and Canada within which more or less uniform tariff conditions are applied This movement, both in the United States and in Canada, is of the highest importance, and it has recently undergone a remarkable development

Up to 1945 the area of the United States was divided into three

'Official' classification territory, lying between the Atlantic on the classification territories east, the Canadian border on the north, Lake Michigan and the Mississippi river on the west, and the Potomac and Ohio rivers on the south

'Western' classification territory, bounded by Lake Michigan and the Mississippi river on the east, Canada on the north, the Pacific on the west, and the Mexican border and the Gulf of Mexico on the south

"Southern' classification territory, comprising the rest of the

The 'Official' and 'Western' territories were further subdivided country

These classifications specify standard minima per car-load for into three sections each

various descriptions of commodities according to their loading qualities. The rates charged affect principally manufactured and miscellaneous goods. Bulk traffics move largely under special bulk rates not affected by the classification.

Traders in Southern Territory have recently complained that their rates on 'classified' goods were too high, and discriminated against them when compared with the lower scales of rates in Official Territory They took their complaint to the Interstate Commerce Commission That Commission has now (May 1945) upheld their complaint and has ordered the railways to prepare a uniform rate classification applicable to the whole country with the exception of Mountain-Pacific Territory, one of the subdivisions of Western Territory In the meantime, and as an indication of the general lines upon which the new uniform classification should be carried out, they have. broadly speaking, ordered a reduction of 10 per cent in the rates charged under the Southern and Western territorial classifications and an increase of 10 per cent in the rates charged under the Official territorial classification The change is significant as showing the weight of the forces which make for standardization of classification in a continental area such as the United States It will be referred to later (p 149) when occasion is taken to deal with the problem of standardization of classification in Europe

Canadian territory is divided into two parts Canadian Freight Association Territory, roughly east of, but excluding, Port Arthur on Lake Superior, and Western Canada. It may be noted that the closest traffic relations exist between the three United States territories and the Canadian Freight Association Territory A system of class and commodity rates has been created, and an elaborate structure of differentials has been agreed as a means of putting an end to rate wars between the trunk lines serving the Atlantic ports and New England Under the supervision of the Interstate Commerce Commission in the United States, and the Board of Railway Commissioners in Canada, these rate differentials provide the basis for a common understanding in regard to import and export traffic among the railways from the Gulf of Mexico to Hudson Bay and from the Atlantic to the Pacific They serve to distribute external traffic over the different routes and ports without regard to national boundaries, but with due regard to the character and cost of the different routes, for instance, where a route is partly by water, the differential is framed to allow for the lower cost of this section and the lower speed of transit Thus the inferior but less costly route obtains its fair share of the traffic The Canadian railways enjoy much lower taxation than the United States railways and have had a greater share of capital assistance from the State. the Canadian

National is State-owned These conditions might have been expected to disturb the whole system of differentials, in fact, they are fully recognized and have been taken into account without giving rise to any serious difficulties

In 1910 the Interstate Commerce Commission and the Canadian Board of Railway Commissioners drafted a treaty for the creation of an International Commerce Commission to regulate rates on international traffic. but this has so far led to no result

#### SOUTH AMERICA

#### GENERAL DEVELOPMENTS

Statistics for the years 1930-1 show that there were about 08,000 kilometres of radways in South America. To this total Brazil and Argentina each contributes rather more than one-third, of the larger republics Argentina is the best provided with railways, whether measured by area or population. The various national railways do not yet form part of an internationally linked system, indeed, they are sometimes composed of disconnected fragments. There is no accepted standard gauge, even in the individual States. The only international railway organization is the South American Railway Congress, but its activities are limited. It held its third session in 1920, and its fourth in 1041.

Hitherto the governing factor has been that the republics of South America have looked outwards towards the sea rather than

in the direction of their immediate neighbours

There were signs of a change even before the war, but it was to air transport rather than to the railways that the republics seemed to look for the strengthening of their international connections. This development was not unnatural, having regard to the immense physical difficulties of extended land communications on the South American continent. The war has emphasized this tendency.

So far as the railways are concerned, all South American States alke need an increase of mileage. The war has at the same time increased the urgency of the need and the difficulty of satisfying it. The demand for improved land transport has become more pressing, and international co-operation through lend lease and other agencies appears to be playing some part in this movement. On the other hand, even when loans were available, the war severely restricted not only railway development, but even railway maintenance, owing to the difficulty of obtaining material, skilled labour and technicians.

## OUTLETS FOR BOLIVIA AND PARAGUAY

The most notable recent railway developments have been in Bolivia, Paraguay and the surrounding territories The problem

of developing the resources of Bolivia and Paraguay has assumed special urgency during the war period

Bolivia is cut off on all sides from access to the sea. Her most populous area, the Bolivian plateau, is isolated between the high ranges of the Andes not only from the Pacific but also from Bolivia's own eastern territory, which with one fourth of her population covers three fourths of her area Eastern Bolivia, in its turn, is isolated from the Atlantic by the forests of the Amazons, and has hitherto looked for its only potential outlet, across the Gran Chaco, to the navigable waters of the Paraguay river

Bolivia's position is in some ways comparable to that of Czechoslovakia in Europe, but with this difference, that Czechoslovakia was strong industrially and could command all routes by playing off one neighbour against another, while Bolivia, being undeveloped, has found her efforts frustrated on every side Her powerful neighbours have taken her up and dropped her in turn as suited their own foreign politics, while she has until recently encountered the unalterable resistance of Paraguay in the attempt to secure a port on

the navigable course of the Paraguay river

The discovery of oil on the Gran Chaco has altered the position This discovery enabled Bolivia in 1937 to secure favourable agreements with Chile, Peru and Brazil, and these negotiations in turn have alarmed the jealousy of Argentina Chile, which had deprived Bolivia of her outlet to the Pacific in 1884, had then endeavoured to satisfy her by constructing a railway from Arica to La Paz, but the portion on Bolivian territory was not completed till 1913 and only handed over to Bolivia in 1928 Even so, the transit of Bolivian traffic to Arica remained subject to restrictions, and was far from satisfying Bolivia for its loss of a Pacific port. In 1937, after the discovery of oil on Bolivian territory, Chile entered into fresh agreements In accordance with these agreements Chile grants free transit' to persons and goods, including munitions, going to and from Bolivia, establishes a mixed commission for regulating rail transport between La Paz and the Pacific port of Arica, and-no doubt-hopes to reduce Bolivia's desire for a port of her own on the Pacific It may be noted that at the same time progress is being made with a new transandine railway between Antofagasta (Chile) and Salta (Argentina) 1 This railway may benefit south eastern Bolivia, but it is primarily intended to provide an outlet to the Pacific for the products of the Argentine provinces of Salta, Jujuy, Tucuman and Catamarca

Peru hastened to conclude extended conventions, including the

² On the first Transandine Railway see p 120

construction of a new Pacific Port at Matarani in place of the open roadstead at Mollendo

Brazil was in a position to offer even more substantial favours. The agreement in her case included an agreement (1938) for the construction of a railway to serve the new Standard Oil refinery, and of a line linking Bolivia via Cochabamba and Porto Esperança (Brazil) with Santos on the Atlantic coast. There was also a treaty for the joint exploitation of Bolivia's oil deposits. The new railways will complete a transcontinental connection of some 2,500 miles from the Atlantic coast across Bolivia to Arica on the Pacific coast. The importance of this railway for continental defence was recognized by the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the American Republics at their Scootal Meeting at Hayana in July 1940.

The projected transcontinental railway will be of metre gauge, It will use the already existing lines from Arica to Cochabamba and from Santos to Porto Esperança (on the Brazilian side of the frontier) Work on the intervening section, from Cochabamba via Santa Cruz and Corumba to Porto Esperança, is at present being carried out by Bolivian and Brazilian engineers. From Cochabamba the railhead has been pushed 75 miles forward to Vila Vila, and a further 62 miles are reported to be under construction. From Porto Esperança the line has been completed as far as 100 miles west of Corumba, and it was expected to complete another 100 miles before the of 1944. There would seem to be still a gap of some 500 miles to be bridged.

The agreements with Bolivia were part of a Brazilian project to divert to her own ports the commerce of the upper Plata basin, as part of this scheme Paraguay was persuaded to undertake road construction from Asuncion to the Brazilian frontier. In 1941 the two Governments went further and signed a convention for the construction of a railway link (with Brazilian capital and certain fiscal privileges to the benefit of Brazil) and a bonded warehouse at Santos for Paraguayan merchandize. A sinular agreement was concluded with Bolivia in June 1943

The Argentine Republic has always regarded the Plata basin as coming within her own sphere (the old Vicercoyalty of La Plata) and has begun to take steps to counteract the Brazilian campaign. The Argentine and Boltvian Governments in 1937 agreed to establish a mixed commission to investigate the possibility of linking the State-owned Argentine Central Northern Railway with the Boltvian railways and the oll-wells Surveys were carried out, and the

¹ Ratibay Gozette, 24 December 1943 † Ratibay Gozette, 24 December 1943 † Ratibay of Nations Aperya Mensuel des Evenements Importants dans le Domaine des Transports November 1944

Argentine Government in 1940 undertook to advance the funds for the construction of the first section

In 1941 Argentina entered into an agreement with Bolivia to build a railway from the frontier town of Yacuiba to Sania Cruz in Bolivia, and work is proceeding on this, although rather slowly

Argentina has been equally auxious to retain Paraguay within her sphere. In December 1943, she agreed to give Paraguay free port facilities at Buenos Aires and Rosario.

#### INTERNATIONAL TECHNICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

The exchange of international traffic by rail is inconsiderable owing to the sparsity of international rail junctions. Brazil and Uruguay are the only two Governments which have concluded operating agreements. The convention, signed at Rio de Janeto in May 1913; declares the railway lines between the adjoining fronter stations of Rivera and Santa Anna do Livramento to be international, and this was followed up by Article X of the Treaty of Commerce and Navigation of 1933; which allows each country to run locomotives and loaded or empty wagons into the territory of the other through all existing or future frontier junctions. Article XI established a Commission of Experts to study the possibility of establishing a railway union with special general and local traffic It is not known if the experts have completed their study.

There are no general international regulations comparable to the Berne Conventions or to the voluntary regulations of the AAR in North America

# ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL QUESTIONS AFFECTING RAILWAY OPERATION

The Transandine Railway

This railway, which runs from Valparaiso (Chile) to Mendoza (Argentina), was originally an international concern under Bruish management (through the Buenos Aires and Pacific Railway) on the Argentine side and under Chilean management on the Pacific side In 1922 an agreement was made by which there was to be unification of management under a United Committee, who would appoint the General Manager, fix the tariffs and use the rolling stock in common

Unhappily, bad times supervened in 1931, and a customs tanff dispute broke out between Chile and Argentina This affected particularly the lucrative cattle traffic from Argentina to Chile

^{*}B.F.S.P vol CVII p 611 *L.N.T.S vol CLXXVI, p 397

Traffic fell to a low ebb, and in April 1932 the Transandine Railway suspended operations It did not reopen until December, and within a year the service was once more interrupted by severe flood damage between Mendoza and Punta de Vacas The Chilean Government took over the operation of the line from the frontier to Punta de Vacas, but the Buenos Aires and Pacific Railway was not in a position to repair the breach, and the Argentine Government were indifferent Reconstruction work did not in fact begin until 1937, when the two Governments came to a compromise on the tariff question, and in 1939 the Argentine Government took over the section of the line in their own territory The line was ultimately reopened in March 1944

# The British Railways in Argentina

British capital has contributed very largely to the construction of the Argentina railway system Even as late as 1937 British capital owned and operated 25,000 km out of 40,000 km of line But the history of the investment has not been a happy one, nor is its present position encouraging

To obtain a connected view of the problem it is necessary to go back to the Mitre Law of 1907 This gave certain advantages to the railways in the free importation of railway materials, and freedom from all national, provincial and municipal taxation. On the other hand it imposed a special tax of 3 per cent on net income, and asserted the right of the Government to fix tariffs when net revenues exceeded a certain figure-in effect 6 8 per cent of the capital The Mitre Law is due to expire in January 1947

In the meantime the Government began to build railways on its own account, ostensibly in sparsely populated areas not favoured by British capital In 1933 the State system had grown to some 9,000 km, and its competition was appreciable Road competition also had its effect, bad trade heavily reduced railway revenues, and labour troubles brought about a serious increase in the burden of railway expenses The ratio of expenses to gross receipts rose from 67 per cent in 1924 to 72 per cent in 1928 and 83 per cent in 1933. In 1929 the Argentine Government abandoned the gold standard and depreciated the peso, in 1933 the Government depreciated the peso still further, and the British railways have since incurred heavy losses on exchange

The British railways were thus placed in a very disadvantageous position They have submitted a scheme for the solution of the problem to the Argentine Government, but this scheme had not been accepted by the Government up to the outbreak of the war

### . Rate Negotiations between Chilean and Peruvian Railways

Traffic between La Paz (Boltvia) and the Pacific ports has for many years been a subject of competition between the Anca-La Paz Railway, controlled by the Chilean Government, and the Southern Railway of Peru controlled by the British Peruvian Corporation A pooling agreement was eventually effected, but when the Bolivian Government took over the Bolivian section of the Arica La Paz Railway in 1928, the agreement was allowed to expire and rate cutting began again

Nevertheless, the Bolivian Government had occasion to complain in 1936 that the Chilean Government were charging ligher rates per mile for Bolivian traffic than for Chilean internal traffic, and they invoked the Barcelona Transit Convention of 1921 as pronouncing against such a practice. The Chilean Government sought to put the blame on the Peruvian railway, but they did not alter their practice.

## River Plate Regional Rate Agreement

At the Rever Plate Regional Conference held at Montevideo in 1941 Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay signed an agreement (on 6 February) to grant rebares, so far as their legislation permitted, under tariffs applicable to the different means of transport in respect of consignments to or from Paraguay and Bolivia

## Foreign Controlled Railways

Reference has already been made to the British owned railways in Argentina There are others in Brazil, Colimbia, Ecuador, etc., but the number has been reduced by nationalization. One of these, the Antofagasta Bolivia Railway, originally operated entirely in Bolivia, but after the annexation of the coastal region by Chile it was divided into two independent managements, under Chilean and Bolivian law respectively. The two managements, however, work in close co-operation with common use of rolling stock.

The International Railways of Central America, operating in Salvador, Guatemala and Mexico, are owned by a United States company

# XI GENERAL INTERNATIONAL REGIME

 $\Lambda_{
m lation}$  of the Treaty of Versailles contemplates the formulation of a railway convention (among other conventions) of world wide scope setting out certain basic conditions of rail

The Commission of Enquiry on Freedom of Communications and transport Transit drafted a Convention on the International Regime of Railways The Convention took the form of general principles on freedom of transit and the economic solidarity of nations, to which practical application might be given later by means of separate conventions, at first regional, but eventually, perhaps, general It was further contemplated that these separate conventions would be linked to the general regime arising out of Article 23(e) of the Covenant of the League of Nations They were, however, not to interfere with the autonomy of existing regional conventions, and in particular the Berne Convention of 1890

The discussions in the Commission dealt largely with railway tariffs and their use for political purposes, such as the protection of national industries On this point there were great differences of opinion and in the end the draft Convention left the problem on

The Barcelona Conference of 1921 discussed the draft Convention, one side but the French delegation pointed out that the Berne Convention of 1890 fulfilled, for Europe, all the requirements of Article 379 of the Treaty of Versailles, further, that the Barcelona Conference had no power to modify or extend the Berne Convention In the end the draft Convention was converted into a Recommendation covering four main heads

(a) Through documents for merchandize,

(b) Through booking facilities for passengers and baggage

(d) Facilities for merchandize and passengers not to depend on ownership or nationality

But these recommendations were not to rule out different tariffs for internal, import, export or transit traffic. The Recommendation was, however, accompanied by a resolution recommending the conclusion of a Convention within two years

The Second General Conference on Communications and Transit held at Geneva in November 1923 had before them a new Conven tion drafted by the Railway Sub-Committee of the League of Nations Transit Committee After much discussion and modification a Convention, to which was annexed a Statute on the International Regime of Railways, was finally approved. By 1 September 1918 it had been adopted by twenty five Governments, the most notable exceptions are Australia, Canada, China, South Africa, the whole of South America, the United States and the U.S.S.R.

Although the Convention attempts to combine the principles underlying the Berne Conventions and Regulations, it is in fact a declaration rather than a convention Its legal effect is small, but it has a certain moral value masmuch as it lays emphasis on freedom of transit, and non discrimination, though asserting the full liberty of the contracting States to frame their own trainfs. It provides at least a framework within which regional agreements could be concluded.

# XII THE FUTURE OF INTERNATIONAL RAIL TRANSPORT

THE preceding chapters have been intended to give a general L view of the development and organization of international, transport up to the outbreak of the second world war It has been thought desirable to hold over until a final chapter the main burden of comment on that development and organization, and all suggestions relative to future developments, whether in general or in the particular circumstances which are likely to materialize in the

Those comments and suggestions are here submitted with a full immediate post war period sense of their tentative character—both in themselves and having regard to the uncertainty of the world conditions in which they would fall to be applied Moreover, experience obtained in one part of the world provides no sure guide as to the methods which will best contribute to the development of international transport cooperation in other parts of the world and, in particular, on the continent of Europe, divided and tormented as it has been by thirty years of war and unexampled trade depression. It has been assumed that the desire for co-operation still survives, and it may be hoped that this will be felt with unusual urgency in the field of rail transport, which is of such primary importance for the revival of world prosperity whether it be approached from the economic, industrial or social point of view

It has already been observed that in the period between the two wars, the nations of Europe showed a greater willingness to co-operate in the field of rail transport than perhaps in any other domain of their political and economic relations, and achieved a greater measure of success It is not too much to hope that in the years of peace that he before us that willingness will again be made manifest, and will lead to greater success and more notable

If this is to be achieved, it will be necessary to learn from the lessons of the last peace, and to apply those lessons in laying the developments foundations of our new international organization Europe has been the centre of political disturbance in the period under review, and it is in Europe that the successful or unsuccessful co-ordination of tall transport will have the strongest influence on the economic and social and indeed on the political, developments of the post war world Nevertheless, in the interests of clarity, it may be well to begin with some outline of world organization in the matter of rail transport and the way in which it is likely to develop

#### ORGANIZATIONS OF WORLD-WIDE CHARACTER

There seems no reason to doubt that the political world organization which we must assume will take shape as the result of international conferences and discussions will recognize the expediency of attaching to its Social and Economic Council (or other similar body) a World Transport Organization the possible nature of which is dealt with fully in the final volume of this series. Rail transport is, however, a regional activity. Whilst one region may learn from another, and has much to gain from a full knowledge of railway developments in other regions, any attempt to impose uniformity as between two regions would be very likely to do more ham that good. The world wide organization for railways would therefore play its part most usefully in a consultative or advisory capacity, and as a centre for information and discussion. If this view be accepted, the duties in respect of railways of the new world wide organization mught be enumerated somewhat as follows.

(1) To investigate particular problems of rail transport which are of interest to more than one region, and to make recommendations (2) To compile information and statistics, on a comprehensive

plan, in regard to railway operations and development in all parts of the globe

(3) To offer its services as arbitrator when voluntarily called in by two or more Administrations in dispute

These functions could all be fitly discharged by an appropriate world wide committee on Rail Transport. But problems might also arise affecting various forms of transport in two or more regions. These would seem to come naturally within the functions of a wider body within the Transport Organization as a whole, whether for

report or for arbitration in case of dispute

It may be added that, having regard to the great benefit which results from conferences and discussions between administrators and technicians on the various points arising in the practice of their profession, and particularly in the realm of rail transport, it might be a special function of the world wide Transit Organization to all periodical congresses of railway experts for the discussion of an agreed programme of questions of technical interest In fact, however, this role has for many years been effectively filled by the International Railway Congress Association, which hold its first congress at Brussels in 1843 and its last at Paris in 1943. This association is world wide in character, and its congresses are attended by delegates from all over the world, these are drawn both from governmental and administrational circles. It has its own secretariat

at Brussels, and issues a Monthly Bulletin in English, French and German It would seem in every way desirable to maintain the existence and the independence of this Association, which might nevertheless work in close co-operation with the Transit Organiza-

Certain other world wide organizations will, no doubt, from time to time be called upon to deal with railway questions For instance, the International Labour Office, or its successor in title, might have occasion to deal with the eight hour day or other labour conditions on the rathways of the world, but these would be matters of temporary concern and can be left out of consideration in a discussion of the permanent international structure of railway co ordination From this point of view, it would probably be found that the world-wide organizations to which reference has been made in the preceding paragraphs are adequate to meet all the requirements of co-ordination outside the regional sphere

# REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

It is convenient and practical to regard the railways of the world as falling into a certain number of regions, though it might be difficult to draw the boundaries of some of the regions with accuracy, and, in any event, the boundaries will vary with the progress of railway development The justification for regarding railway problems as largely regional is that the facts of geography and human history have, in the past, isolated certain groups of railways from one another and are still, though in diminishing degree, likely to continue that isolation North and South America, South Africa and Europe constitute four such regions, their regional organization is at various stages of development, and will no doubt continue its development in harmony with the political and economic developthe of those areas For our present purpose it is pre-emmently the problem of Europe which we have to consider, and the lines which it is desirable that European railway co-operation should follow in the post war world

# REGIONAL ORGANIZATION IN EUROPE

A review of the machinery in operation for the co ordination of European international rail transport as it existed before the outbreak of the second world war suggests certain considerations for guidance in future action

There were, before the second world war, two governmental Governmental Organization organizations in existence These were

The International Conference for Promoting Technical Uniformity on Railways (the Unite Technique) and

The 'Berne' Union with its organ the Central Office for Inter-

national Railway Transport

Both these bodies had their centre at Berne, but their goo graphical location is of secondary importance and may be left for later discussion. It is their objects which are the essential con sideration at the present stage. These have been already indicated (pp. 17-30). The two organizations cover different ground, but since their function is to obrain general governmental sanction, or otherwise, to proposals put before them by appropriate govern mental representatives or administrative experts, it is not clear why they have maintained a separate existence

In the new circumstances of European reconstruction the two bodies might appropriately be merged in one as an International Conference with a Central Office of its own. The function of the new body would be to consider, as a conference of governmental representatives, any railway questions brought before them by the appropriate committee of administrational representatives as requiring governmental sanction, whether arising in connection with the code of the Unite Technique or the two Conventions, or other

In this way, a single body would be created representing the Governments of the member States on all matters of international rail transport co operation where governmental approval is needed

On the question of membership, it may be noted that the member ship of the Berne Union includes all the principal States of continental Europe with the exception of the U S S R. It may be hoped that, in the case of the new Union, the U S S R. will be prepared to take part in the discussion as to the ultimate location of its head quarters' organization, and in due course to review its attitude as to membership. It is of course very much to be desired that the weight of the Soviet State and the Soviet railway system should be made available to help in the general development of international rail transport cooperation. This, more than anything else, would prevent the emergence of any Central European domination in the railway development of Europe as a whole

It is also much to be regretted that the United Kingdon stands aloof from the Union (pp 31, 32) It may be doubted it the modifications in British railway, law which would be involved are in any real degree comparable, as a set off, with the additional strength and prestige which would thus be given to the whole conception of international co operation and development in the world of trans

port. It should now be possible to review the whole question with a wider outlook than has perhaps prevailed in the past

The relations between the new Union and any high international authority which may be set up after the war will also call for The new Umon, with or without the USSR and the United consideration

Kingdom, would remain a regional organization only It could function appropriately as a regional rail transport committee of the future international political authority, or of any political regional (European) council which it might set up

# Administrational Organization

There was, as we have seen, no unified or integrated organization covering the international relations of the European railway Administrations as a whole, even in the limited areas covered by particular departments-engineering, commercial, operational or financial—the tendency appears to have been to create new special organisms to deal with new features as they might emerge, rather than to extend the activities of existing organizations In the result, though the ground was covered in one way or another, there was an unnecessary amount of overlapping and some waste of effort

# Some Suggestions for the Future

It will perhaps not be without value to consider, in outline, the characteristics of a more compact and economical system

(a) Any international agreement between railway Administrations may involve changes of law in one or more States, or changes of treaty affecting several States These changes can only be brought about by governmental action, as has been done in the case of the Berne Conventions To achieve this result there must be a recognized procedure for submitting resolutions and recommendations in favour of such changes to a central governmental body, whatever its name and ultimate status in the world order

(b) For this purpose, a central administrational body is required, representative of all European Administrations This central body will discuss and, if approved, submit proposals requiring legislation to the central governmental body (the new Union) It will also discuss and, if approved, authorize other proposals submitted to it from the subordinate administrational bodies referred to below, in so far as such proposals exceed the powers of the subordinate bodies but do not need governmental sanction

(e) There should be subordinate administrational bodies dealing with particular departments At this level, the classification of the five permanent committees of the UIC supplies a useful precedent. There would be committees dealing with (i) Passenger Traffic (iu) Goods Traffic, (iu) Accounts and Cleating, (iv) Rolling Stock Exchange, and (v) Technical (Engineering) Questions, the list being again subdivided into rolling stock, permanent way, electrical—perhars also signaling and other specialized departments.

Alternatively, the British and American precedents might be found more convenient. If so, the committees would be rearranged as follows: (i) Operating (Passengers and Freight), (ii) Commercial (Passengers and Freight), (iii) Civil Engineering (incl. Signalling), (iv) Mechanical Engineering, (v) Accounts and Clearing Rolling stock exchange becomes a sub-department of (i) and the payments therefor a sub department of (v)

The application or modification of the various conventions of other basic sets of regulations would be distributed between these committees in accordance with their subject matter, and any new matter which might arise for decision would be assigned by a Central Bureau to the committee or committees to whose province it was judged appropriate it was judged appropriate.

In this way, a single co ordinated organization would remain permanently in being, qualified to give expert consideration to any questions which might come up for solution in the whole range of railway affairs, and, after consideration, to take action, if within its powers or to recommend action to a higher authority if the importance of the question made that course necessity.

The organization of the Association of American Railroads, itself in some degree a body of international character (see p 107), may perhaps be cited as a useful precedent for similar co ordinating

action in the European field

It has already been suggested that the geographical location of the new governmental Union is a matter of secondary importance. The same suggestion is appropriate in the case of the various administrational bodies. Some general considerations, however, may be put forward, affecting both the Union and the administrational bodies.

(i) It is desirable that the new Union should have its main seat at some conveniently central city. Readiness of access and adequacy of

accommodation are important considerations

(u) To avoid all possibility and (equally important) all suspicion of partiality or pressure, in the conduct of the affairs of the new Union it is desirable that the centre chosen should be situated in the territory of some minor power, and that the minor power should have as few competitive interests as possible in international transport

(iii) To ensure harmony, efficiency and reasonable promptitude

in action, it is desirable that the supreme administrational body shall establish its headquarters at the same centre as the new (govern

(iv) There is a general case of the same nature for establishing the mental) Union subordinate administrational committees (so far as their functions are general to the whole region) at the same centre, but other considerations might easily outweigh the advantages of concentration, and if it were felt to be desirable, the headquarters of the various administrational bodies and committees might be widely distributed, to satisfy the claims of nationality or geographical convenience

(v) There will be need of an expert permanent staff to carry on the routine conduct of the affairs of the new Union, the supreme administrational committee and the more important of the sectional committees Such a staff will be difficult to select and will require prolonged training It will be well for the new organization to look in the first place to those who have been already carrying on such work under pre war conditions, and this should have its influence, as a consideration affecting the choice of a location for the main

Subject to these considerations, we may perhaps follow out some seat of the whole organization what further the possible lines of the future organization

Assuming that a new Union is constituted as the European govern mental authority presiding over international railway affairs involving treaty or legislation, we find two bodies each of which might claim to fill the position of the central administrational organization, through which alone international railway questions requiring legislation or treaty should be submitted to the Union These are the CIT (p 4) and the UIC (p 11) The CIT was in fact set up by the Berne Union for this very purpose, though only in the narrower field covered by the CIM and, later, by the CIV The UIC was set up in complete independence of the Berne Union, and with a far wider field to cover than the CIT It is, in fact, framed to cover the whole sphere of railway affairs

The solution would appear to be to combine these two bodies, retaining the comprehensive sphere which is, in theory at least, the feature of the UIC, but retaining also the close association with the central governmental organization which is the feature of the CIT The title UIC might be retained as being correctly descriptive of the new body On the other hand it may be thought better to confine the use of the word 'Union' to the new governmental Union, and to find some alternative word, Conference for instance,

for use in the title of the administrational body

In any event, if—as seems desirable—international railway organizations are to take their place in a wider organization for transport generally, it is important that they should be as simple as possible, otherwise the general transport organization would

become too complex for efficient working.

The permanent committees of the U,I C might well be munituned, but they would properly absorb other committees outside the U,I C which have hitherto dealt with matters appropriate to one or more of the U,I C Committees. Thus, the Committee dealing with Rolling-Stock Exchange would take over all questions relating to the R IV and R IC. The Technical Committee would undertake the maintenance of standards set by the Unite Technique and deal with all questions of construction, standards, etc., the Accounts and Clearing Committee would perhaps combine with the B CC (p. 12). The machinery for the revision of the C IM and C IV, would call for special consideration. It might either be placed under a special committee or divided between the Goods Traffic and

Passenger Traffic Committees Time Table Conferences would reappear as special sub committees of the same committees. Their business is, for the most part, such as can be settled without reference

to a higher authority
It may seem presumptious in a pamphlet of this nature to suggest far reaching changes in an organization which has been evolved over decades by experts of the highest capacity. The justification lies in this, that unless the organization of European international rail transport is comprehensive, compact and strong, it will be overshadowed by organizations built up on national foundations, as it has been in the past. The power of directing policy will past from Europea as whole to particular Powers, favoured by geography and armed by experience and persistence to use those favours to the utmost. The growth of the Verein Mitteleuropaischer Eisenbahn-Verwaltungen between the two wars is a phenomenon which should on no account be allowed to repeat itself, it remains a constant

#### TASKS AND FUNCTIONS OF EUROPEAN REGIONAL ORGANIZATION

menace and a warning

We have considered so far the nature of the organization required for the co-ordination of rail transport in Europe. It now remains to turn our attention to the work which that organization will be required to perform

It must, for the present, be assumed to be the aim of all the Governments and Administrations parties to the organization to increase the volume of international rail transport and the efficiency of its operation. On this assumption, an international organization may perhaps be considered as directing its efforts to achieving four main objects.

- (a) uniformity of physical standards,
- (b) efficient distribution of rolling stock, particularly wagons,
- (r) uniformity of conditions of carriage, both between Administrations and in relation to the public,
- (d) stability of tariffs, and some measure of uniformity in their structure

None of the objects here enumerated are new They have already. with varying success, occupied the attention of the different inter national railway organizations. But they return to the centre of the stage with increased urgency and it must be confessed under conditions of great complexity. How far the measure of physical uniformity which has been obtained before the war will be found to have been maintained when the dust of war has settled, it is impossible to say It is known, however, that the Berne Conventions on conditions of carriage, with certain inevitable war time modifica tions, were maintained within the German sphere of domination when this was at its most extensive, and it may be assumed that these conditions will again emerge, when stable peace time conditions are restored, as the abiding foundation of international transport Other conditions-those for instance, which deal with the exchange of rolling stock-will probably call for more deliberate reconstruction to meet the changes in the political and economic sphere which are likely to be a feature of the new world settlement It will be desirable to treat each of these main objects of endeavour separately

## (A) Uniformity of Physical Standards

The code of the Unité Technique represents a substantial achievement in the work of creating uniform standards of gauge, clearance (load gauge) and other matters essential to the free exchange of rolling stock. That work represents the foundation upon which all of course need to be amplified and modernized in hatmony with the development of transport methods, and the means by which these changes can be brought about have already been indicated (p. 129). At the same time, there are other directions in which, under the pressure of changing economic conditions, the work of standards 2 auton could be widely, and usefully extended.

Standardzaton of Rolling Struck Standardzation of the wagons themselves, though not essential is desirable in a high degree It is probable that, in the sphere of international transport costs, few developments would be more remunerative than a movement toward a reduction in the types of rolling stock in use over the continent of Europe, nor, apart from national sentiments, could any occasion

be more favourable for simplification than the occasion which will be offered to Europe when the post war reconstruction is taken in hand. Old rolling stock has been destroyed to an incalculable exteur in the course of military operations, on the other hand so far as new rolling stock has been built, it is probably of some standardized patterns. In any event, there will be a vast work of new building and of heavy repairs to be undertaken. Viewed impartially, the occasion is one which should not be missed for building new rolling stock to a few standard patterns of an all European character, and in this connection the remarkable results achieved by the American Association of Railroads (see p. 110 et seq.) might well be taken as a model

This Association representing 300,000 miles of line in North America has as a result of the work done on standardization by its Mechanical Division and its predecessor organizations,

- (a) reduced the number of sizes and kinds of freight car axles from 16 to one standard design in five sizes
- (b) reduced the number of types of journal boxes from 58 to one standard design in five sizes,
- (e) reduced 20 different types of brake shoes and 27 different types of brake heads to one standard design in each article.

while in the field of railway stores, the Purchase and Stores Division of the Association has reduced the number of types of various stores by 60 to 90 per cent

The economy in the ordering of stores and in the stocks to be carried, as also in the speed with which repairs can be effected, needs no emphasis

The adoption of such international types of rolling stock, and of freight wagons in particular, would be of immense value to the speed, economy and efficiency of repairs to rolling stock not only in international transport, but also within the national boundaries. The formulation of standard designs for vehicles, and fittings of all kinds, falls naturally and equally within the functions of the CIT and the UIC, acting as advisers to the Unite Technique. A joint conference of the two bodies might of itself prove a valuable prelude to a more completely integrated co operation thereafter, whilst, on the other hand, the experience of individual countries, such as the United Kingdom and the United States, in the construction of standard types, e.g. of locomotives for war time "austernty" purposes, mught supply useful precedents for a post war Europe

An important item in the standardization of wagons is the standardization of systems of refrigeration and heat control. We have seen that there was a considerable development between the two wars in the creation of international through services for perishable

traffics of various descriptions Such services create new demands and are capable of almost indefinite development. Modern methods of refrigeration, or of temperature control, would be largely wasted if they were not turned to advantage in these directions. The rapid conveyance of fruit from Mediterranean to Northern countries, of fish or other northern products in the opposite direction, of milk or meat from a fayourable producing area to points of high consumption, opens a very wide field for the development of the world's resources Such services, however, call for international agreement in the widest sense, not only in their general arrangement-that is no new type of problem for the railways of Europe-but also in the servicing of the trains and vehicles, en route, on a uniform and efficient plan, from one end of the journey to the other. The under lying condition of efficiency in such arrangements is a high degree of deliberate standardization in vehicles and in systems of tempera ture-control

Standardization of Electric Traction We have seen already (p 53) that there was a rapid increase between the two wars in the killo metrage of electrified lines on the continent of Europe That development is likely to continue indeed, two new factors are likely to simulate the process a further increase in the price of coal, and the competition of air transport. It is not necessary to enter in further detail into the advantages or disadvantages of the conversion from steam to electric traction, but this much is clear that under electric traction the need for concerted action and for international agreement on standards becomes more urgent.

At the end of 1918, 43 2 per cent of the European electrified main lines were operating on single phase A C, 39 8 per cent on high-tension D C, and 9 4 per cent on three phase current. For each type of current various voltages were employed. Such variations are wasteful and unpractical. It is not merely that the change from one system to another involves a change of locomotive at a point where, perhaps, no stop of any kind is called for, but systems of lighting, neating, refingeration, etc., are all more or less dependent on the type of electric power supplied, and can only be adapted with some expense, if at all, to varying types of power. It is in every way desirable to increase speed and efficiency in the transport of passengers, penshables, and foodstuffs of all kinds, to attain this end it is important that steps should be taken to bring about uniformity of systems and standards in all that concerns electric power, as between one country and another.

There are many other directions in which the search for common physical standards would produce beneficial results. These will suggest themselves in the ordinary course of transport business. It

is not necessary to say more here than that the organization for originating, discussing, and (if found useful) recommending such measures of standardization should be easy of access and prompt in action. The various committees of the UIC would seem to offer the necessary facilities.

### (B) Efficient Distribution of Rolling Stock

The most efficient and economical system for the use and distri button of freight rolling stock would undoubtedly be a common stock of wagons, distributed by a joint committee representing all the Administrations members of the Union However, with the exceptions suggested later, this must be regarded as an ideal unlikely of realization. The problem must be approached on the assumption that each Administration will possess its own stock of wagons, supplemented by an undefined number of privately owned wagons The distribution of such wagons for the requirements of inter national traffic was elaborately regulated, between the two wars, on the principles laid down in the RIV and RIC, a generally satis factory system of mutual payments was evolved, and the settlement of accounts was conducted through the BCC at Brussels, except during the periods of violent currency fluctuations. The object of the reconstituted UIC and of its appropriate committees must be, as with the RIV and RIC in the past, to expedite to the utmost the transit and exchange of rolling stock in international use, and to re duce to its lowest possible limits all empty and uneconomical running

It may be hoped, however, that in certain fields, e.g. the provision of refrigerated stock, room will be found for a less individualistic policy. In such cases, where the volume and movement of the traffic are reasonably stable, there would be great advantage in the creation of a common stock subject to regulation by a joint committee, whose aim it should be to obtain the greatest possible utilization of the stock in the joint interest of all participants. The principle might be applied by two or more member Administrations, or by the whole body of members as circumstances might reader desirable.

As between pairs, or groups, of neighbour States some form of 'common user' might be applied to all descriptions of wagon stock, perhaps on the lines adopted by the British failway companies during the war. All such developments are to be encouraged, not only on political grounds, but as tending to secure the best possible publication of the stock available, and the munitum of empty transmost.

#### (C) Uniformity of Conditions of Carriage

Here, again, it is appropriate to recognize the great work that has been accomplished by the Berne Union in setting up the whole structure of uniform law and regulation by which the international transport of freight in Europe has been governed for fifty years, nor is the work of the Berne Union in respect of passenger traffic, though of more recent date, any the less fundamental. If the future organization of international rail transport should follow the lines here suggested, it will be for future conferences acting on recommendations placed before them by the U I C, to extend the structure of uniformity so as to include other elements as judged most advantageous to the development of international traffic.

In this connection, reference has already been made (p 33) to the important question of the Unification of National Laws and to the steps taken by the UIC, unfortunately without result in the formulation of proposals for harmonizing national laws on the carriage of passengers and goods traffic. The question of negotiable transport documents (p 28) in which the International Chamber of Commerce takes such an active interest, is a further item calling for the consideration of the future international organization.

# (D) Stability and Uniformity of Railway Tariffs

We have seen that the problem of securing a greater uniformity of tariffs occupied the attention of the railway experts to a notable evtent between the two wars (pp 54-16) The subject was approached from three points of view—noninclature publication and structure. The first problem had been taken up on general lines affecting all Europe, the other two from the regional point of view, but the results have been equally disappointing it may be assumed that these efforts will be renewed, it is certainly desirable that they should be

Publication Of the three problems the most urgent and, on the whole, the simplest is that of publication. The discussions and negotiations that took place on this question between the two wars have already been given in some detail (p 54) In the end a certain measure of agreement was reached (1933) and Article 9 of the C.I M was modified to prescribe that increases in international tariffs should not become effective until fifteen days at the earliest after their publication This length of notice is certainly inadequate in the case of shipment traffic, where arrangements must be made well in advance. It will be desirable that the whole question should be reviewed in the hope of devising more ample and elastic arrangements and of securing their general application. There is no diffi culty in the formulation of a scheme, the difficulty hes in securing its acceptance and enforcement. (It should be noted that variation in the exchange value of a particular currency has always been re garded as justifying an immediate corresponding variation in tariffs ) Nomenclature International tariffs are quoted as applicable to particular denormantation of merchandize I is an elementary neces sity that there should be no musunderstanding as to the nature of the merchandize intended, arising from ambiguity in the terms used in the languages of the different countries interested in the tariff. Yet it is a fact that, in the constant change and development of commercial production, the same difficulty of interpretation arises even within national boundaries where the language difficulty does not arise, and permanent committees are engaged in the task of standardizing the description and classification of new forms of product. We shall speak of classification later, but the difficulty of interpretation is no less real, and it is intensified as soon as it becomes necessary to translate into another language.

The importance of arriving at a standard nomenclature, founded upon the absolute equivalence of certain descriptive denominations in all the principal languages of Europe, has been fully realized in the past, so also has the difficulty of achieving, and maintaining, such a result I is well worth while to renew the endeavour, which indeed demands the regular consideration of an appropriate standing committee, forming an integral part of the prospective international

organization

Structure With the question of standardization of the structure of rail tariffs we enter upon a new field, and one where success is in the highest degree difficult of achievement Moreover, the value of the achievement itself is open to challenge

The point is one of some importance, both as a matter of practice and of theory, and it will be desirable to make a few observations

on its general significance

There has at all times been a general presumption in favour of an ideal railway tariff, logically based on mileage and classification, under which an appropriate rate is assignable to any commodity passing in any quantity for any length of journey Such a tariff structure constitutes, in fact, the legal basis of the railway rate system in Great Britain and in many other parts of the world It is, however, equally to be observed that the quotation of rates in practice nowhere conforms to such an ideal, and nowhere even tends to do so On the contrary, the quotation of 'special tariffs' or 'special rates' which apply, regardless of the ideal structure, to individual commodities, or to malvadual commodutes between andvadual party of stations is increasing. There are, indeed, a multitude of sound economic, social and political reasons against the rigidity of an ideal scale, and the increasing competition of other forms of transport provides one of the most cogent of those reasons It is, in fact, idle to look for ward to a time when the ideal tariff will prevail and all 'special rates'

he abolished On the other hand, it would be even more mistaken to fly to the opposite extreme and work for a regime of exclusively 'special' rates without any backbone of principle other than the needs of the moment

It is probably fair to say that in most countries of the world it is recognized that some skeleton framework for rate calculation is desirable, if only as a scale of maximum charges, and as a guide indicating the extent of any particular departure from the ideal. If such a skeleton framework is a beneficial feature in the railway freight system of individual countries, it can hardly be gainsaid that it should also prove beneficial in any international scheme for the furtherance of international traffic.

So much, perhaps, would be accepted, and though there may be a strong body of opinion which would claim that the ideal in view is not worth the labour involved in obtaining it, yet in reply one may point to the fact, already noted (p. 57), that the railways of Europe were engaged on a part of this task before the second world war, and had made some modest progress in the work. It may be hoped that the work will be carried further, and that it will be thought worth while to undertake it on rather more comprehensive lines One may also point to the recent developments in the United States of America, where popular pressure exerted through the appropriate court of justice has compelled the assimilation of the classifications which have hithertor vided in the different 'territories' (see p. 116)

Every rate structure starts from a classification of commodules Given some approach to agreement on nomenclature, the preparation of a model classification would appear to be the necessary first step in the international sphere. Such a task should not prove to be beyond the powers of a capable body of experts. National classifications no doubt exist in many countries, and these would continue to apply nationally, unless voluntarily abandoned. It would probably be found, however, that there was a considerable degree of similarity in the principles on which those classifications were built up, and that the reasons which had operated to include a particular commodity in a high rated class, or a low rated class, in one country had operated similarly in other countries. Considerations of relative value, relative ease of handling, relative bulk or fragility, do not change greatly with political boundaries or with climate.

But classification, though basic in its importance, is only the initial problem in tauff structure. There remains a whole series of problems familiar to the technical expert, but too detailed for enumeration here. Some of them have already been mentioned (p 15) and others of a similar character may assume importance in post war discussions. The feature which is common to all these

problems is the desirability of obtaining the greatest possible measure of international agreement on a standard, even if there is considerable variation in practice. A hard-and-fast uniformity is clearly outside practical politics

It may be appropriate to conclude with one general observation on the political aspect of this whole question The tendency towards uniformity or standardization springs from the practical needs of international transport. These needs are strongest as between two neighbouring countries. If no attempt is made through the central regional organization to satisfy the needs which are, in fact, felt by all in greater or less degree, then the place that should be taken by the central organization will be filled by some individual Administra tion, or group of Administrations, and there will be set up the nucleus of a separate geographical organization which, from the vantage point of achieved success, may in the end overshadow and belittle the central organization. That, as has been sufficiently indicated, would be no new thing in European railway organization, and it is of the highest importance that the experience should not be repeated. The practical demand for an increasing degree of uniformity and standardization is there, if it is to be satisfied, then the initiative and the continuous driving-power should come from the centre, otherwise the solution will strengthen particular national or sub regional interests at the expense of the central authority

This principle, however, in no vay imposes on the central authority the obligation to begin by laying down general standards applicable to the whole region, before descending to the special standards applicable between particular Administrations. On the contrary, it may easily prove to be the more practical course to ascend from the particular to the general. The essential feature is that the central authority, not the individual Administration, should guide and

control the course of the inquiry

#### TRANSPORT CONSIDERATIONS AND POLITICAL BOUNDARIES

It has been thought destrable in an earlier part of this volume (p 68 et seq) to deal in some detail with the special arrangements arising out of the war of 1914–18, not so much on account of the nature of the settlements reached, as on account of the methods adopted and their surcess or failure in reaching any settlement at all Generally speaking, it has to be admitted that an immense amount the settlement of the settlement at all control of the settlement and the settlement of the settlement of the settlement and the settlement of the settlement and the settlement are settlement as the settlement as the settlement are settlement as the settlement as

of negotiation took place, and much friction was generated, with inadequate and unsatisfactory results. The Reparation Agreements of 1930 passed a sponge over many disputes which had become too embittered and too complicated for any other form of settlement, but that point was only reached after ten years of wasted effort. It is inevitable that the question should be asked whether the answers to the railway transport problems raised by the second world war must be equally delayed and equally inconclusive.

It can be urged that after the first world war there was not sufficient experience or agreement as to the technique to be employed for the settlement of such problems. There was at the same time some apparent reluctance to make use of the organization of the League of Nations. On the other hand, the League perhaps did less than it might have done to organize itself for the solution of the problems that arose is such profusion. It is to be hoped that what ever international authority takes the place of the League will set itself as soon as possible to evolve the machinery of negotiation and impartial arbitration which is necessary for the speedy settlement of these troubles.

It is desirable in the first instance that the treaties themselves should be so framed as to give the least possible occasion for dispute Many considerations other than those of transport will enter into the formulation of the treaties, and transport considerations will insertably occupy only a minor position, nevertheless, they cannot be left out of account without disadvantage. In fixing new boun disres such considerations should be given their due weight. A political boundary should not, except with the strongest justification, assign to one country a short length of line which forms part of a through route between towns in another country. In the same way, the junction between two lines serving one country should not, except for the most compelling reasons, be assigned to the territory of another country. Problems of this nature could be multiplied, and the transportation arguments are clear enough, it is the ethnical and political arguments that disturb the balance.

However great may be the desire to avoid unnecessary disturbance of transport conditions, it may be taken that any change of boun danes will give rise to numerous disputes. The questions involved will be varied and will be likely to range from the rights of shareholders down to the repairs and distribution of rolling stock. Some will ruse questions of law and will have to be dealt with through legal channels with ultimate reference, if necessary, to the recreated International Court of Justice, but these will be a minority, and for the most part will leave the practical work of transport unaffected questions of rail transport, and if left unsettled they will have an unfortunate effect on the efficiency of the international transport services. The experience of the last peace indicates every clearly that such disputes, involving as they often do questions of national

amour proppe, may take years to settle, and may in the end only be settled by some rough and general rule imposed from outside. In the meantime, the course of transport is impeded and national feeling embittered. It is seldom that clear principles of justice are involved. What is wanted is not an abstract judicial investigation, but a prompt decision, given with knowledge and reasonable impartiality, and not susceptible of further challenge

In reviewing the settlement of these problems after the last war, it is impossible not to feel that too much stress was placed upon agreement between the parties and too little upon the need of promptitude and efficiency. The result of this attitude may be seen in the long drawn-out discussions between Austria or Hungary and the various Successor States (see pp. 77–83). The procedure adopted—mutual agreement, and failing that arbitration by the League of Nations—has an appearance of simplicity and equity. On the other hand, it was far from expeditious, the points at issue were for the most part of purely secondary importance, but hardly any of them were settled within ten years after the end of the war

The re establishment of efficient transport after the war will be a matter of the greatest urgency. Questions at issue ought not to be left to the slow operation of conferences and the protocol. Some means of expeditions settlement will be a first necessity. A speedy decision is better than delay, even if the speed of the decision should in some degree militate against its equity. Nor is a settlement by agreement necessarily more equitable, more durable or more satisfactory to the parties concerned than an arbitral award. It has to be remembered that in most cases such settlements "by agreement" are the outcome of pressure or persistence or force majeure, and they are seldom regarded as fair by both sides, or indeed by either. A settlement by arbitration is more likely to be lasting and respected

The solution of the transportation problems of the next peace treaties would therefore appear to lie in constituting a strong and simple machinery of arbitration, capable of handling transportation questions of all kinds, and supported by the full prestige of the new international authority. Such a machinery should not be hard to devise, and clauses defining its nature and jurisdiction should form part of the treaties imposed on the various enemy Powers. A reason able time (say, two years) should be allowed for settlement by agreement, after that period, or earlier by consent of both parties, the arbitral body should hold itself ready to act and to decide the issue, on the appeal of either disputant

The constitution of the arbitral body will need special consideration. To ensure prompt action it would seem that the members must be permanent and must be prepared to give their whole time to the work. To ensure that the decisions given are reasonable and, on broad lines, such as will carry conviction to the disputants, the arbitrators must be men with experience in the sphere of rail transport, or have the advice of impartial railway experts as assessors Lastly there must be no doubt that their decisions when given will be implemented with the full support of the international authority. The appointment of the members of the arbitral body by the international authority itself should be sufficient guarantee under this head.

It may with reasonable confidence be expected that the appointment of such an arbitral body under each of the treaties to be entered into by the enemy countries will ensure the settlement of all disputes affecting rail transport, with common sense and substantial justice to the parties concerned, and, most important of all, it may be expected that the settlement will be prompt and final

#### NOTE ON SOURCES

THE published sources utilized in this study may be grouped as follows (1) international treaties, conventions and agreements, (2) documents and proceedings of international organizations and conferences, (3) international railway regulations, (4) Govern ment and other official documents, (5) unofficial reports, books, pamphlets and periodicals

For greater convenience the sources are here arranged by conti nent, and to avoid duplication those common to Europe and other continents are collected together under Europe and General This

applies more especially to treaties, etc

#### A FUROPE AND GENERAL

(I) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES, CONVENTIONS AND AGREEMENTS

The main source up to 1919 has been British and Foreign State Papers, published by H M Stationery Office, and, thereafter, the League of Nations Treaty Series

(2) INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND CONFERENCES

For technical journals published by international organizations see separate heading below

International Labour Office Official Bulletin

Industrial Safety Survey (twice monthly)

Automatic Couplings and the Safety of Railway Workers Studies and Reports Series F. No 1 1924

International Labour Conference 24th Session, 1938

Proceedings

Generalization of the Reduction of Hours of Work Part II Transport Section A Rail Transport (Report V, Part II, vol I)

League of Nations

Handbook of International Organizations 1938

Quarterly Bulletin of International Organizations Official Journal

Aperça Merecel des Evérements Importants dans le Domaine des Transports

Minutes of the Transit Committee

General Conference on Communication and Transit Barcelona,

Preparatory Documents 1920

Verbatim records and texts of the recommendations relative to the international regime of railways 1921

General Transport Situation in 1921 Statements submitted by the States taking part in the Conference (2 vols) 1922

Restoration of the Means of Communication in Europe A 64,

Second General Conference on Communications and Transit

European Conference on the Transport of Newspapers, Geneva,

Preparatory Documents CETJ 1 1929

Records and Texts C 115 M 36, 1930, VIII Report of the Special Committee on competition between railways

and waterways C 127 M 43, 1929, VIII

Report of the Transit Committee on questions raised by the Coun cil in connection with freedom of communications and transit when considering the relations between Poland and Lithuania C 386 M 170, 1930, VIII

Jundical and administrative systems in force on the frontier sections of railway lines and at junction stations C 144 M 75,

Office Central des transports internationaux par chemins de fer Rapport de gestion (annual)

Permanent Court of International Justice

Railway Traffic between Lithuania and Poland (Railway Sector Landwarow Kaisiadorys)

Documents Series C, No 54 1931

Advisory Opinion of 15 October 1931 Series A/B, No 42 The Panevezys Saldutiskis Railway Case

Documents, Series C, No 86 1939

Judgement, Series Z/B, No 76 1939

Union internationale des chemins de fer

Statuts de l'Union internationale des chemins de ter

(Fiche No A 1 ) 4th edition, 1 January 1939

Statuts du premier groupement regional des chemins de fer europeens membres de l'UIC (BUIC, 1930, p 545)

Recueil des fiches (Recueil des decisions) de l'Union internationale des chemins de fer 5th edition, 1 March 1939

Statistique internationale des chemins de fer (annual)

Nomenclature uniforme des marchandises pour le trafic inter

Verein Mitteleuropaischer Eisenbahnverwaltungen

Ubersicht uber die internationalen Verbande auf dem Gebiet des Eisenbahnwesens, February 1933

#### (3) INTERNATIONAL RAILWAY REGULATIONS

The regulations mentioned below include some of the more important of the technical and administrative regulations and recommendations, nearly two hundred in all, issued by the UIC and constituting the Union's Recueil des fiches or Recueil des densions

#### Technical Standardization

Unité technique des chemins de fer Redaction 1938

33 Conditions a remplir par un frein continu pour trains de marchandises (UIC Fiche No B 1)

29 Conditions auxquelles doit satisfaire un frein continu pour trains de voyageurs (UIC Fiche No B 14)

Regles concernant les moteurs de traction electrique (UIC Fiche No 103)

Transmissions electriques de vehicules automoteurs (moteurs et generatrices) Specifications techniques (UIC Fiche No 159)

# Exchange of Rolling Stock

Union internationale des wagons Reglement pour l'emploi reci proque des wagons en trafic international (R I V ) Edition de Stockholm, valable a partir du 1 janvier 1935

Union internationale des voitures et fourgons Règlement pour l'emploi reciproque des voitures et fourgons en trafic international (R I C) Édition de Nice, valable a partir du 1 octobre 1938

Règlement concernant le passage des wagons à marchandises d'un pays à un autre, ayant un ecartement de voie different, au moyen d'un simple changement d'essieux au point de transit (UIC Fiche No 79)

Directives pour l'emploi des automotrices en service international (UIC Fiche No B 12)

# Carriage of Goods

Recommendations relatives à l'elaboration des lois et des règlements de transport interne des Etats (U I C Fiche No 166) Prescriptions relatives aux objets admis au transport sous cer

taines conditions Édition de Pérouse 1937 (LNTS, vol

Dispositions reglementaires pour le transport des colis express en trafic international (UIC Fiche No 32)

Règlement pour le transport des journaux en service international (U.I.C. Fiche No. 69)

Règlement relatif au conditionnement de l'emballage des colis en trafic international (UIC Fiche No 14)

- Tant type pour le transport des marchandises en cadres (con tainers) et des cadres vides en trafic international (UIC Fiche
- Reglement international pour l'echange des containers
- Conditions téchniques a remplir par les containers pour leur uti lisation en trafic international (UIC Fiche No 111)
- Reglement international concernant le transport des wagons de particuliers (RIP) (LNTS, vol CXCII, p 616)
- Prescriptions modeles reglant l'acceptation reciproque en service international de wagons pourvus d'agencements speciaux neces sitant des soins particuliers (UIC Fiche No 45)
- Reglementation internationale concernant les wagons speciaux de particuliers amenages de façon a produire ou a maintenir une
- température determinee (UIC Fiche No 93) Conditions techniques de construction pour les wagons reservoirs et wagons-jarres destines au transport des liquides combustibles et caustiques (UIC Fiche No 145)

# Carriage of Passengers

- Étude d'ensemble des mesures a prendre en vue d'harmoniser autant que possible les differents droits de transport interne avec le droit de transport international en ce qui concerne les voyageurs et les bagages (UIC Fiche No 148)
  - Conditions types a inserer dans les tarifs internationaux voyageurs
    - et bagages (UIC Fiche No 71)
  - Conditions types d'un tarif international pour le transport de voyageurs en groupes (UIC Fiche No 11)
  - Chapitre special a inserer dans les tarifs internationaux de voya geurs pour le transport d'elèves, d etudiants, ainsi que d'enfants, jeunes gens ou jeunes filles, des groupements constitues en vue de leur education morale ou physique (UIC Fiche No 10)
  - Projet d'annexe aux tarifs internationaux de voyageurs et bagages rélative a la delivrance de billets directs aller et retour, com portant des reductions consenties par les Administrations, soit a l'occasion des exposition, congres, foires amener le public a des stations thermales, balneaures ou clima-
  - Dispositions comptables et prescriptions de decompte relatives au trafic combine fer-air (UIC Fiche No 134)

### Accounting

Reglement concernant les prescriptions de decompte applicables aux trafics internationaux voyageurs, bagages, colis express et marchandises (UIC Fiche No 20)

# (4) UNOFFICIAL BOOKS, PAMPHLETS AND ARTICLES CLASSIFIED BY SUBJECT

List of Periodicals

Archiv für Eisenbahnwesen Berlin

Bulletin de l'Union Internationale des Chemins de Fer Patis Bulletin des Transports Internationaux par Chemins de Fer Beme Bulletin of the International Railway Congress Association Brussels

Modern Transport London

Organ für die Fortschritte des Eisenbahnwesens

Technisches Fachblatt des Vereins Mitteleuropaischer Eisenbahn Verwaltungen Berlin

Railway Gazette London

Verkehrstechnische Woche Berlin

Zeitung des Vereins Deutscher (from 1932 Mitteleuropaischer) Eisenbahn Verwaltungen Berlin

General

Giannini, A

La convenzione di Ginevra sul regime internazionale delle ferrovie Rome, 1944

Hantos, 1

Mitteleuropaische Eisenbahnpolitik Vienna, 1929

Der Weg zum neuen Mitteleuropa Berlin, 1933

Pırath, Carl

Die Verkehrsprobleme der Gegenwart (VTW, 28 August and 4 September 1929)

Die Eisenbahnen in der neuzeitlichen Verkehrswirtschaft

(V T W , 8 July 1931)

Die Èisenbahnen der Érde (annual statistics) (A E, 1925–36) Comparaison du trafic, des recettes et des depenses d'exploitation des chemins de fer pour les années 1929 a 1938 (B U I C, 1939, p 113)

International Organizations

Kraessbohrer, A

Der Verein Mitteleuropaischer Eisenbahnverwaltungen (AE, 1933, pp. 12, 345)

Masters, Ruth D

International Organization of European Rail Transport (International Conciliation, No 350 May 1937)
Wolf, P

Die deutsche Reichsbahn und ihre Beziehungen zu auslandischen Eisenbahnen Berlin 1931 Historique de la Constitution de l'UIC et exposé de ses travaux jusqu'en octobre 1924 (BUIC, Nov 1924)

Le Bureau de Compensation de Bruxelles (BCC) (ibid, February 1925)

L'Union des Administrations de Chemins de Fer de l'Europe centrale (ibid, 1933, p 376)

International Railway Associations (reprinted from the Railway Gazette) 1943

# Technical Standardization

# Kother, Dr Hans

Das Problem der Elektrisierung von Bahnen besonders in Deutschland (VTW, 21 & 28 September 1938)

Unger, Franz

Die zweckmassigste Stromart der elektrischen Vollbahnen (V T W, 23 & 30 January, 6 February 1931)

Zehnder, Dr R

Der gegenwartige Stand der Frage der automatischen Kupplung der Fahrzeuge der Eisenbahnen in Europa (ZVMEV. 19 July 1934)

#### Transport of Goods and Passengers

Friebe, Dr

Die neuen Übereinkommen über den internationalen Eisenbahnverkehr (AE, 1934, pp 477, 843)

Giannini, A

Studi sulle convenzioni di Berna sui trasporti ferroviari Rome, 1935

Joseph, Dr K

Die internationale Regelung des Expressgutrechts nach der Revisionskonferenz in Rom 1933 und ihre Geschichte (ZVMEV 25 June 1936)

Landra, A

L'unification des droits internes qui regissent les transports de voyageurs par chemins de fer (BUIC, 1936, p 1) Ludicke

Das einheitliche Übereinkommen zwischen den Eisenbahnverwaltungen uber den internationalen Eisenbahnguter verkehr (Z.V D E V, 20 August 1931)

Maier, Dr Ottmar

Der heutige Stand des internationalen Frachtrechts der Privatguterwagen (ZVMEV, 5 December 1935) Schmid, E

Die begebbaren Frachtpapiere (ZVMEV, 5 July 1934)

Sommerlatte

Entwicklung und Stand des Behalterverkehrs (AE, 1938, P 245)

Spiess, Dr W

De la négotiabilite des titres de transport par chemins de fer et de la possibilite de leur conferer la qualite d'être transmissibles comme les lettres de change (BUIC, 1933, pp 45. 81)

Vogel, Dr P

Regelung des internationalen Behalterverkehrs (Bulletin des Transports Internationaux par Chemins de fer, January 1945)

Les Conventions internationales sur le transport des marchandises et des voyageurs par chemins de fer BUIC, 1926, pp. 29. 65, 97, 129) Conventions et accords internationaux pour les transports par

chemins de fer (ibid , 1931, pp 177, 227)

Les containers en trafic international (ibid, 1935, p 88)

#### Tariffs and Economic Competition

Ahlberg, Dr

Frachtverteilung im internationalen Guterverkehr (Z V M E V , 29 April 1937)

Colson, M

Railway Tariffs and Tolls as an Economic Factor (League of Nations CEI 33, 1937) Fischer, Dr

Die deutschen Seehafen und Gdingen (ZVMEV, 23 November 1933)

Giese, Dr Kurt

Die Seehafen Tarifipolitik, ihre Ziele und Wege (A E , 1928,

Hoffmann, Dr J

Vorschriften für die Veroffentlichung internationaler Gutertarife (ZVMEV, 14 October 1917)

Kleinmann

Eisenbahn und Aussenhandel (VTW, 18 September 1935) Rabe, Dr F

Wahrungsbwertung und Frachtenkredit (ZVMEV, 9 December 1917)

Schubert, Dr A

Zur Frage der Weiterentwicklung des internationalen Eisenbahngutenfwesens (ZVDEV, 6 October 1932) Probleme der Frachtverteilung im internationalen Guterverkehr

(Z V M E V , 21 March 1935)

Grundsatzliches zur sogenannten Auslobungspraxis der Eisenbahnen (ZVMEV, 1 August 1935)

Probleme des internationalen Eisenbahngutertarifwesens

(ZVMEV. 5 November 1936)

Mangel internationaler Gutertarife und Wege zu ihrer Beseitigung (ZVMEV, 28 October 1927)

Schwarz, Georg

Ein neuer Weg fur die Verteilung von Verbands Frachtsätzen (Z V M E V , 25 October 1934)

Spiess, Dr W

Tarif, eine enzyklopadische Studie (A E , 1930, pp 851, 1159, 1497)

Die Gutertarifpolitik der Reichsbahn (ZVMEV, 20 August 1936)

Vogt

Die Beeinflussung des internationalen Verkehrs durch die Tarifund Verkehrspolitik der Reichsbahn (ZVMEV. 20 April 1933)

Wiedenfeld, Kurt

Die Eisenbahn im Wirtschaftsleben Berlin, 1938

Vereinheitlichung der Gutereinteilung (ZVMEV, 10 February 1928)

Sleeping and Dining Car Services

Baumgartner

Schlafwagen und Speisewagen in Deutschland in ihrer geschichtlichen Entwicklung (V T W , 24 September 1930) Kieschke, Dr Weiner

Die Mitropa ist 20 Jahre alt (Z V M E V , 11 February 1937) La compagnie internationale des wagons lits et des grands express europeens (BUIC, 1929, p 119)

Miscellaneous

Armstrong, H F

The Saloniki Dispute (Foreign Affairs, April 1926) Dieckmann

Die Betriebsgesellschaft der Orientalischen Eisenbahnen (A E, 1930, p 401)

Emrich, W

Guterkursbucher (AE, pp 1149, 1419) Fall, Dr

Die Donau Save-Adria Eisenbahn Gesellschaft (vormals Sudbahn Gesellschaft) im internationalen Eisenbahnverkehr (ZVMEV, 23 January 1936)

Janecke, Louis

Schlesische Verkehrafragen (VTW, 23 September 1931)

Khachaturov, T S

Organization and Development of Railway Transport in the USSR (International Affairs, April 1945) Knebel

20 Jahre MER (ZVMEV, 27 January 1938)

Macmahon, A W, and Dittmar, W R

Autonomous Public Enterprise—The German Railways (Poli tical Science Quarterly, December 1939, March 1940, June 1940) Martin, Dr Otto de

Eisenbahnfragen auf der Konferenz von Portorose (AE. 1922, p 321)

Moeller, Dr

Ostpreussens Wirtschaft und Verkehr (ZVMEV, 26 July 1934)

Popper, Josef Der Einfluss des Weltkriegs auf das ungarische Eisenbahnwesen. (AE, 1922, pp 337, 608)

Temperley, Harold

History of the Paris Peace Conference (6 vols) 1920-4 How the Hungarian frontiers were drawn (Foreign Affairs April 1928)

Whitworth, C E

The Russian Railways (Annals of the American Academy of Political and Socil Science, November 1943)

Wyszomirski, Dr. K.

Der Guterverkehr mit dem Fernen Osten über Siberien

(Z V M E V , 20 June 1934)

The Porto Rose Conference (International Conciliation) No 176 July 1922) Réorganisation administrative et technique du reseau de la com

pagnie des chemins de fer du Sud (Sudbahn) (BUIC, September 1925)

Lebensmittelschnellzuge in Italien (VTW, 6 August 1930) Le cinquantenaire du Saint Gothard (BUIC, 1932, p 280)

Les grandes relations par chemins de fer (Revue Générale des Chemins de fer, May 1932, BUIC, 1933, pp 66, 92)

L'influence des recentes modifications de frontières en Europe centrale sur les grandes vues de communication par chemins de fer (BUIC, 1939, P 37)

#### B ARRICA

#### (1) GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS

East African Transport Policy Board

Report on Co-ordination of Transport in Kenya, Uganda and the Tanpanyika Territory By Brig Gen Sir H Osborne Mance Natrobi, 1937

Southern Rhodesia

Report on the Railway System of Southern Rhodesia (3 vols) By Brig Gen F D Hammond 1925-6

Tansanyika

Report on the Railway System of Tanganyika Territory. By Brig Gen F D Hammond 1930

Union of South Africa

Official Year Book of the Union of South Africa

Record of Proceedings of Southern Africa Transport Conference Johannesburg, September 1936

#### (2) UNOFFICIAL REPORTS, BOOKS, ARTICLES, ETC

Camut, J G

Le chemin de fer franco-ethopien de Dubouti a Addis Abeba. (Renseignements Coloniaux, No 10, 1933)

d'Erlanger, E B

History of the Construction and Finance of the Rhodesian Transport System 1938 Hailey, Lord

An African Survey London, 1928

Paschen, W

Die Eisenbahnen und andere Verkehrsmittel in Franzosich Westafrika (AE, 1937, p 197) Remy, Dr

Die Zukunft des Schienenweges im grossafrikanischen Verkehrs problem (A.E. 1937, p 729)

Wandlungen in der kolonialen Verkehrspolitik im schwarzen

Erdteil im Zeitalter des Motors (ZVMEV, 2 September 1937)

Allgemeine Verkehrsprobleme in Afrika (VTW, 29 June 1938)

Schultze, Dr Ernst

Afrikanische Verkehrshemmungen (VTW, 23 November 1938)

Schwarz, Kurt

Wirtschaftliche und geographische Grundlagen für den Entwurf von elektrischen Bahnen für die deutschen Kolonial gebiete in Afrika (V T W , 14/21, 28 June, 5 July 1939)

Wiener, Lionel

Les chemins de fer coloniaux de l'Afrique Brussels and Paris,

Die Bahnen in Britisch Westafrika (AE, 1931, p 448) Der Kap Katro Weg (ZVMEV, 22 July 1937)

The Jibuti Addis Ababa Railroad (Italian Library of Information, New York, February 1939)

#### C. MINDLE FAST

# (1) GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS

Bagdad Railway Convention of March 5, 1903, Statutes of Im perial Bagdad Railway Company, Specification, Loan Contracts, Additional Convention of June 2, 1908 Cmd 5635 1911

#### (2) UNOFFICIAL REPORTS, BOOKS, ETC

Dieckmann

Die Eisenbahnen im franzosischen Mandatsgebiet Syrien und Libanon (A E, 1930, p 351)

Die fruheren and jetzigen Bagdadbahnplane (ZVMEV, 8 February 1934)

Die Verkehrserschliessung Iraks and Irans nach dem Mittelmeer (Z V M E V , 11 March 1937)

Der Transwustenverkehr im vorderen Orient (A E., 1938, P 449)

Furbringer, G Vorderasiatische Verkehrspolitik (ZVMEV, 8 November 1934)

Die turkischen Eisenbahnen nach dem Frieden von Lausanne (V T W , 4 April 1928)

Les chemins de fer d'Asie de la République de Turquie et des territoires sous mandat (BUIC, 1934, p 3111, 1935, p 9) The Baghdad Railway (Railway Gazette, 30 August & 13 September 1949)

#### D FAR EAST

# (I) INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, ETC

League of Nations Appeal by the Chinese Government Report of the Commission of Enquiry C 663 M 320, 1932, VII 1 October 1932 (Lytton Report)

(2) UNOFFICIAL REPORTS, BOOKS, ETC

Bisson, T A

Basic Treaty Issues in Manchuria between China and Japan (Foreign Policy Reports, 23 December 1931)

Railway Rivalries in Manchuria between China and Japan (ibid, 13 April 1932)

Japan and Manchoukuo (1bid, 22 June 1932)

Blum, Dr

Der Kampf um die Eisenbahnen in der Mandschurei (V T W 19 & 26 September, 10 & 24 October 1934)

Chang Kia ngau

China's Struggle for Railroad Development New York, 1943 Cremer, Dr. M

Zur Lage der Ostchinesischen Bahn (AE, 1930, p 951)

Davis Malcolm W

Railway Strategy in Manchuria (Foreign Affairs, April 1926) Dorfman, Ben

Two years of the Manchoukuo Regime (Foreign Policy Reports, 12 September 1934)

Far Eastern Bureau, Nanking

Documents with reference to the Sino Russian Dispute 1929 Par Eastern Bureau, Nanking

Documents with reference to the Sino Russian Dispute, 1929 Kawakami, K K

The Russo-Chinese Conflict in Manchuria (Foreign Affairs, October 1020)

Micheles, Vera A

Russia and China in Manchuria (Foreign Policy Association Information Service, vol. V, No. 11, 7 August 1929) Radermacher, P

Die Eisenbahnen im Fernen Osten (VTW, 10 July 1935) South Manchuria Railway Company

Report on Progress in Manchuria (published at Dairen, at irregular intervals)

Wang, C C

The Chinese Eastern Railway (Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, November 1925)

The Sale of the Chinese Eastern Railway (Foreign Affairs, October 1933) The Chinese Eastern Railway (Foreign Policy Association Informa-

tion Service, vol II, No 1 27 February 1926)
Les Chemins de Fer de la Mandchourie (BUIC, 1932, p 1)

Les Chemins de fer de la Republique de Chine (ibid, 1957, p 57)

#### E AMERICA

(1) INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND CONFERENCES Association of American Railroads

The Association of American Railroads, its Organization and Activities, Washington, n d

Pan American Union

Resolutions concerning railways, mostly the Pan American Railway, appear in the Final Act of the 5th (Santiago, 1921), 6th (Hayana, 1928), 7th (Montewdeo, 1933) and 8th (Lima, 1938) International Conferences of American States, also in the Final Act of the 5th Pan American Commercial Con ference (Buenos Aires, 1931)

See International Conferences of American States 1889-1928, and First Supplement, 1933-40, issued by the Carnegie Endow

ment for International Peace

For news stems see the Bulletin of the Pan American Union

(2) GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS
United States

Supplemental Phases of the Interterritorial Freight Rate Problem of the United States 76th Congress, 1st Session House Document No 271 1939

(3) UNOFFICIAL REPORTS, BOOKS, ETC Barton, F  $\,\mathrm{L}$ 

Principal International and Interterritorial Class Rate Structures of North America (In Essays in Transportation Edited by H A Innis Toronto, 1941)

Duncan, J S

British Railways in Argentina (Political Science Quarterly, December 1937) Jackman, W T

Economic Principles of Transportation Toronto, 1935

Katn, R. S Bolivia's Claustrophobia (Foreign Affairs, July 1938)

Reichelt, Ernst

Bahnen und Bahnprojekte um den Gran Chaco (V T W, 18

August 1957)

Schurz W L

International Communications in South America (Foreign Affairs, July 1925)

The Railway Interrelations of the United States and Canada New Haven, 1947

# INDEX Boldva Valley Railway, 85

٨

Air rail sea co-ordination, 33

Anatolian Railway, 97, 98

Accounting, international, 34-5, 112, 115

Abyssinia, 96

Africa, 90-6

America-

Angola, 93 Ankara, 97, 99

Addis Ababa, 96

North, 107-17

South, 117-24

Bolivia, outlets for, 117-20, 122

Bulgaria Turkey main line, 89

rail connection with Bolivia, 119

rail connection with Uruguay, 120

Bureau Central de Compensation, 9, 12,

13, 18, 20, 35, 132, 136 Bureau International des Containers, 9,

Brakes, continuous, 16

railway system, 117

Bratislava, 68

Bremen, 61

Brazil-

Antwerp, 63	Burma-China rail connection, 100
Arad Csanda Railway, 86	Duluta-Caran
Arad Csanda Ranway	С
Arbitration, 34, 141-3	a 1.
Argentina—	Canada— classification territories, 115-17
British railways in, 120-1	classification territoria, A A R 107-8
rail connection with Bolivia, 120	participation in A A R, 107-8 participation in A A R, 107-8
rail connection with Chile, 129,	
railway system, 117	
Arica, 118, 119	
Assling triangle, 69	Carriage, conditions of
Assuing triangle, 69 Association of American Railroads, 107-	goods, 23, 25-9
13, 114, 115, 130, 134	
Association of Northern Railway Officials,	passengers, 23, 132-3, 136-7 unification of, 132-3, 136-7
Association of Northern	unification of, 132-3, 130-7 Central Council for International Touring,
	Central Council to
Auslahungstaktik, 62	10 - Car International Railway
Austria	Central Office for International Railway
delimitation of frontier	Transport, 4, 9, 2, 7, 7, 1
	Chad. Lake, 92
local lines severed by frontiers, 85, 86,	
	d'Exploitation des, 87, 89
policy regarding the Danube water	Chile- 178-19, 122
	Chile— Bolivian transit traffic, 118-19, 122 Bolivian transit traffic, 118-19, 122
	Bohvian transit traine, 120 rate negotiations with Peru, 122
organization of, after 1919, 77-87	fate negotian
organization or, after -y-y	China, 101-6 Chinese Eastern Railway, 101, 102-3, 105 Chinese Eastern Railway, 101, 23, 24, 25-30, 35,
	Chinese Eastern Railway, 101, 101, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 28, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 28, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25-30, 35, C.I M, 3-4, 11, 14, 19, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14
В	C.I.M., 3-4, 11, 14, See also Berne Con
100 percent	54, 55, 12* "
Baghdad Haifa Railway, proposed, 100	
Baghdad Railway, 97, 90-9	CIV, 4 5, 10, 14, 23, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 24, 29-30, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37
	131 2 See 2180 25 Morth Amer-
of through services, /-	
	103, 115-17 1 transport, 33
Barcelona Transit Convention, 122	Combined 231 1411 3011
	Combined air rail sea transport Combined coupon tickets, 9, 30-1 Comite de Circulation du Matériel Roulant Comite de Circulation du Matériel Roulant
Bas Congo-Katanga Railway, 93	Compte de Circulation du Materier
Basra, 98	dans l'Europe Central, 21 dans l'Europe des transports pa
	dans l'Enrope Central, 21 Comite international des transports pa
Beira, 93, 95 Belgian Congo, outlets for, 93	Comite international des many chemins de fer, 9, 12, 24, 131, 134
Berne Conventions, 1, 2, 3-5, 10-11, Berne Conventions, 1, 2, 3-5, 10-11,	electrique, 17
Berne Conventions, 1, 2, 3	electrique, 17 Commission of Enquiry on Freedom of Commission of Enquiry and Transit 10, 70
23-32; 34, TV and Central Office	
Berne Conventions, 1, 2, 3-3, Sec. 32-32, 34, 70, 74, 132 Sec. 6 C.I.M., C.I.V. and Central Office	131 Community
Berne Union, 9, 10, 13, 25	123
Bohumin, 68	157

Deutsche Bank, 97

Deutsche Dank, 97
Dining-car services, 67
Discrimination, 57, 60-1
Disputes, settlement of, 35, 141-3
Dravavolgy Railway, 85
Durbon, 94

Competition— •	E
Bratish and American asphalt for Ger-	Eastern Europe, 45-6
many, 59-60	Economic and Social Council, 126
British and German coal for Switzer-	Economic Conference at Genoa 1922, 11
land, 60-1	Electric Traction—
German and Polish seaports, 61-3	development in Europe since 1918, 53
German tariff policy, 58–9	future standardization of, 135-6
North Sea Adriatic ports, 59, 61	work of UIC and other bodies, 17
with other forms of transport, 51, 56-7,	Emigrants See Transmigrant traffic
63-4, 121	Estonia See Baltic States
Conference Internationale pour lunite	Europe—
Technique des Chemins de fer See	Berne Union, 23-32
Umte Technque	organization before first world war, 1-7
Conference of Ambassadors, 57, 79, 80,	organization after first world war, 8-22
81, 83	political and economic factors, 39-67
Congo, Belgian, outlets for, 93	problems arising out of first world wat,
Congo Basin, 90	68-89
Consignment notes (Waybills), 25, 114	European Goods Train Time-Table Con-
Consortium, China, 102	ference, 9, 21 2
Constanza, 64	European Time Table Conference, 6, 9,
Container Bureau, International (BIC),	20
9, 29	Exchange unions, 5-6, 18-20
Container traffic, 29, 111	Expenses of some European railways, 41,
Costs of operation, 49-51	43-5
Couplings, automatic, 16	Express parcels, 27
Coupon tickers, combined, 9, 30-1	
Customs, 29, 35	F
Czechoslovakia—	Fat East—
attitude to German-Polish competition,	railway development in, 101-6
_ 63	through traffic via Siberia, 73-4
Boldva Valley Railway, 85	Fernes, 37, 46, 71
management of goods time-table con-	Fiume—
terence, 28	competition with North Sea ports, 61
policy regarding Danube water route,	in peace settlement, 69
63	France-
railway aspects of frontiers, 68-9	Jibuti Railway, 96
running powers to Adriatic, 77	Frontiers-
through traffic with Hungary, 72	railway operations at, 36
	railways in settlement of, 68-9
-	suggestions for future delimitations of,
D	141
-	frontier stations, 29, 36-7, 78
Dairen, 103, 104, 105	_
Damascus, 97, 99	G
Dangerous goods, 26, 111	Galatz, 64
Danube—	Gauge—
effect of rail tariff policies, 63-4	Africa, 91
in pre 1914 Austrian transport system,	South Amenca, 117
n 77	Turkish USSR rail connection, 98
Danzig, 61-2	change of, 19
Dar-es-Salaam, 93	standardization of, 133
Dawes Plan 1924, 75	Gdyn12, 61-2
Debreczen Nagyvarad Railway, 86	Geneva Conference 1923, 70, 123

Germany-

competition for tourist traffic, 66 facilities for transmigrants, 66 in peace settlement 1919, 74-7 Reichsbahn attitude to inland water transport, 63-4

tariff policy, 57-63 through traffic with Western Europe, 71-2 through traffic with Turkey, 71

through traffic with USSR, 73

Goods traffic, international regulations for, 25-9 Great Britainattitude to Berne Conventions, 31, 128

attitude to international tariffs, 65-6 See also Competition Greece, disputes arising out of first world

war, 87-9 Gyula Local Railways, 87

#### н

Haidar Pasha, 37, 97, 99

Hasfaproposed Baghdad Haifa Railway, 100 Impoli Haifa Railway, 100

Hamburgcompetition with Adriatic ports, 59, 61 competition with Antwerp and Rotter

dam, 63 Harbin, 103, 105

Hauptwagenamt, Berlin, 18, 19 Hejaz Railway, 97, 99-100 Hours of work, 35 Hulas-Bacsalmas Railway, 86

Hulutao, 104 Hungary-

local lines severed by frontiers, 85, 86, settlement of frontiers with Czechoslovakia and Roumania, 68 organization of through services, 71-2

Instability of trade, 51-2 Insurance of luggage, 31

International Air Traffic Association, 10, International Carnage and Van Union

(RIC), 9, 19-20, 35, 70, 132, 136 International Chamber of Commerce, 10, 33, 36, 54, 70

International Conference for Promoting Technical Uniformity on Railways See Unite Technique

International Container Bureau (B I C ), 9,

International Electro Technical Commis sion (CEI), 9, 17 International Labour Organization, 16, 35 International organizations-

list of, 9-10

future of, 126-7 International Railway Congress Associ ation, x, 9, 126

International Railway Union (U.I.C.), 9 11-13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 54, 70, 129, 131,

International Railways of Central America,

International Régime of Railways, Convention and Statute on, 35, 125 International Telecommunication Union,

International Union for the Issue of Com bined Coupon Tickets (UIBC), 9,

International Union of Tramways, Local Railways and Public Motor Trans

International Wagon Union (RIV), 9,

18-19, 35, 132, 136 Iran, international rail connections, 100

Iraq-Baghdad Railway, 99 other international lines, 100

Italy-competition for tourist traffic, 66

interest in Jibuti Railway, 96 negotiable transport documents, 28 St Gotthard Convention, 37-8 settlement of frontiers with Austria and

Yugoslavia, 60 through traffic with Yugoslavia, 71

interest in Manchurian railways, 102-6 participant in China Consortium 101-2 Jibuti Railway, 96 Johannesburg, Southern Africa Transport Conference 1936, 90

Katanga mineral region, outlets for, 93 Kigoma, 93 Konya 97, 98, 99 Kunze Knorr brake, 16

#### T.

Labout, 35 La Paz, 118 Latvia See Baltic States Lausanne, Treaty of, 89 League of Nations Covenant 10

Transit Organization, 9, 10-11, 28, 29, Lemberg Czernowitz Jassy Railway, 87 Liability for loss or damage, 29-30, 33

Lithuania, frontier disputes with Poland,

Lobito, 93, 94 Locarno, Treaty of, 75 Lourenco Marques, 90, 94 Luggage, international regulations for 29-31 Lwow See Lemberg Manchuria, 102-6 Medina, 97, 99

Mexico, 108, 113, 114, 115 Middle East, 97-100

Mileage of some European countries, 39, 42 Mitropa Company, 67

Mitteleuropäisches Reisebüro, 21 Mozambique, 94-6 Mukden, 101, 102, 103, 104

Nagykikinda Arad Railway, 86-7 Negotiable transport documents, 28, 137 Newchang, 104 Newspapers, 28

Niger, 90, 92 Nisibin, 97, 98, 99

Nomenclature in goods tariffs, 54, 138 Nvasaland, 04-6

Oderburg, 68 Office Central des Transports Internationaux par Chemins de fer, 9, 23 4, 27,

128 Operating ratio-Argentine railways, 121 Chinese railways, 101-2 some European railways, 41, 44-5 general remarks, 49-50 52

Operation, costs of, 49-51

Pan-American Railway, 107 Paragusy, outlets for, 117-20 Parcels, express, 27 Passengers, international regulations for. 29-31

Peace Treaties 1919-Austro-Hungarian railways, 77-9, 83-4 German railways, 56-7, 74-5 German interests in Baghdad Railway.

continuous brakes, 16 international bureaux, 9-10

St Gotthard Convention, 37 8 Permanent Court of International Justice decision in Polish Lithuanian dispute,

powers to settle railway disputes, 35

Peru-Bolivian transit traffic, 118-10 rate negotiations with Chile, 122

communications with Balkans, 45

German-Polish seaport competition, Lwow Czernowitz-Jassy Railway, 87

organization of through services, 71-2. policy regarding the Danube, 64

Polish Corridor, 72 Upper Silesia, 76-7 Port Arthur, 103

Porto Rosa Agreements, 70, 78 Portugal-

Angola, 93 Mozambique, 94-6 Private Wagons CIM regulations, 27

distribution of Austro Hungarian, 78-80, 82-1

Publication of tariffs, 54-5, 137 Radkersburg Lüttenberg Railway, 86

Receipts of some European railways, 49, 42-4 Refrigerator wagons, 17, 134-5 Reparations-

application to German railways, 75-6 Reparation Agreement 1930, 81, 82, 83, Reparation Commission, 75, 80, 98

Rhineeffect of German seaport tatiffs, 59, 63 German transhipment tariffs, 60 Rhineland railways, 75

Rhodesia, 94-5 See International Carriage and Van Union R.IV See International Wagon Union

River Plate Regional Rate Agreement,

Rolling stockdistribution of, in peace settlement, 75, 78-83

distribution of in future international organization, I 12-1 exchange of, 17-20, 114, 132-3

standardization of, 133-5 Rotterdam, 61 Roumania-

local lines severed by frontiers, 86, 87 settlement of frontier with Hungary and Yugoslavia, 68 organization of through services, 71,

Russia, 8, 101, 102-3, 105 See also U.S.S R.

IND	EX
	provisions of CIM, 25-6
S	provisions of CIV, 29-30 provisions of CIV, 29-30
	special tariffs, 57-61, 138-9 special tariffs, 57-61, 138-9
Gotthard Convention, 37-8	special taritis, 57-01, 136 40 standardization of, 53 6, 136 40 standardization See Standardi-
	standardization of, 53 6, 130 45 Technical standardization See Standardi-
alonika Ghevgheli Railway, 87	Technical statical culture
alonna at Railway, 85	zation
alonika Monastii	Teschen, 68
Samsun, 99	Teschen, 68 Through services, restoration of, in 1919,
	69-74 Time-Table Conference, European See
Santa Cruz, 119, 120	Time-Table Conference, Editor
Santos, 119 Sea rail-air transport, combined, 33	Time tables, international Time Table Conference European Goods Time-Table Conference European Goods
Sea rail-air trainsport,	Time-Table Conference Editoperational
Shire Highlands, 95 Siberia, through traffic via, 73-4	
Siberta, through transc via, 75	
	in North America, 114
Simplon Orient Express, 70-1	in Enrope
Sleeping car services, o	goods 6, 9, 21-2
Smyrna, 97 Sopron Köszeg Railway, 85	passenger, 6, 9, 20-1
Sopron Köszeg Railway, 0)	Torontal Railway, 86
	Tourist traffic, 30, 66
relations with Mozambique, 94	Transandine railways, 118, 120-1
secretariat of Southern 2111100	Transandine fam.
port Conference, 90 Conference.	Barcelona Convention on, 122
Southern Africa Transport Conference,	German transit tariffs, 19
	German transit
	transit through
	Angola, 93 Mozambique, 94-6
South Manchuria Railway, 102, 103-4	Mozambique, 94
Sandardization-	Tanganyika, 93
-Canada 24-6 120-40	USSR, 38
technical, 15-17, 113-14, 132-6	Transmigrant traffic, 66 Trans Siberian Railway, 103, 105-6
See also Unification	Teans Siberian Range
Statistics-	Transvivania, 00
comparability of, 42-3	Trieste- competition with North Sea ports, 59,
	competition with tree
development of electric traction, 53	
track gauge in Africa, 91	in peace settlement 1919, 69
	Trinoli fiana mana-7,
some European railways, 39-41	Turkey-
USSR railways, 47	main line to Bulgaria, 88-9
Sudbahn, 55-6, 83-4	main line to Bulgaria Germany, 71
Switzerland-	main line to Bulgaria, 60 pt through traffic with Germany, 71
administration of	
Central Office (Berne), 4, 25	υ
Unite Technique, 2-3	Unification 132-3, 136-7
RIC, 19	
	of conditional laws, 33 4, 107, 137
RIV, 18 initiation for establishing Berne Un	of physical standards, 13
	of physics, 34
St Gotthard Convention, 37-8	of statistics, 34 Union Internationale des Chemins de fer Union International Railway Union
Szatmar Fehergyarmat Railway, 86	
O	See International Railway Union Union Internationale des Voitures et Four- Union International Car
T	Union Internationale des Voltures des gons (RIC) See International Car
m T-	
12rms— 1 of 50-6	3 Terrenationale des Wagons (10 1 1)

#### т

compensive, special cases of, 59-63 economic aspects of tariff policies, 56-7 effect on inland water transport, 63-4 German tariff policy, 56-9 North American tariff arrangements, 115 17 passenger tariff policies, 66-7

Union Internationale des Wagons (R I V ) See International Wagon Union Union Internationale pour l'Emission de Billets a Coupons Combines, 9 31 Unite Technique, 3 9, 13, 15-17, 20, 128 United States, 107 17

161

United Temes and Somogy Railways, 87 Upper Silesia, 76-7 Uruguay, frontier atrangements with Bra-

21, 120 USSR introduction of automatic couplings, 16

non-membership of CIM, 25 railway development in, 46-9 organization of through services, 73

Verein Deutscher Eisenbahn-Verwaltungen, 5, 7, 9, 13 15, 31, 35 See also Verein Mitteleuropäischer, etc Verein Mitteleuropäischer Eisenbahn

Verwaltungen, 9, 13-15, 55, 132 See also Verein Deutseher, etc Vladivostock, 103, 104, 105

W
Wagon Lits, Compagnie Internationale
des, 37, 67

Wagons, standardization of, 17, 113-14.

133-5

'War booty' rolling stock, 82

Waybills See Consymment notes

Westinghouse brake, 16

Y

Yacuiba, 120 Young Plan 1929, 76 Yugoslavia—

delimitation of frontiers with Austria and Italy, 69 Roumania, 69 local lines severed by frontiers, 85, 86 Salonika Ghevgheli Railway, 87–8 organization of through services, 71, 72

z

Zambesi, bridges across, 95 Zetweg Wolfsberg, etc., Railway, 85 Zonguldak, 99 Zsebely Czakovar-Boka Railway, 86